RELAXATION APPROXIMATION OF SOME INITIAL-BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR P-SYSTEMS *

GILLES CARBOU[†] AND BERNARD HANOUZET[‡]

Abstract. We consider the Suliciu model which is a relaxation approximation of the *p*-system. In the case of the Dirichlet boundary condition we prove that the local smooth solution of the *p*-system is the zero limit of the Suliciu model solutions.

Key words. Zero relaxation limit, *p*-system, Suliciu model, boundary conditions. subject classifications.35L50, 35Q72, 35B25.

1. Introduction

We study a relaxation approximation of the following p-system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - \partial_x u_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2 - \partial_x p(u_1) = 0. \end{cases}$$
(1.1)

For the viscoelastic case, Suliciu introduces in [19] the following approximation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - \partial_x u_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2 - \partial_x v = 0, \\ \partial_t v - \mu \partial_x u_2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (p(u_1) - v), \end{cases}$$
(1.2)

where ε and μ are positive.

The aim of this paper is to prove convergence results for the initial-boundary value problem when the relaxation coefficient ε tends to zero.

Under the classical assumption

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, p'(\xi) > 0, \tag{1.3}$$

the p-system is strictly hyperbolic with eigenvalues

$$\lambda_1(u_1) = -\sqrt{p'(u_1)} < \lambda_2(u_1) = \sqrt{p'(u_1)}.$$
(1.4)

The semi-linear approximation system (1.2) is strictly hyperbolic with 3 constant eigenvalues

$$\mu_1 = -\sqrt{\mu} < \mu_2 = 0 < \mu_3 = \sqrt{\mu}. \tag{1.5}$$

In all the paper we assume that μ is chosen great enough so that the subcharacteristic-type condition holds

$$\mu > p'(u_1) \tag{1.6}$$

[†] Université Bordeaux 1, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France, (carbou@math.u-bordeaux1.fr).

[‡]Université Bordeaux 1, Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France, (hanouzet@math.u-bordeaux1.fr).

for all the values of u_1 under consideration.

Formally, when ε tends to zero, the behaviour of the solution $w^{\varepsilon} = (u^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon}) = ((u_1^{\varepsilon}, u_2^{\varepsilon}), v^{\varepsilon})$ for the relaxation system (1.2) is the following: $p(u_1^{\varepsilon}) - v^{\varepsilon}$ tends to zero, so that u^{ε} tends to a solution $u = (u_1, u_2)$ of the p-system (1.1).

Recent papers are devoted to the zero relaxation limit in the case of the Cauchy problem. In [22] Wen-An Yong establishes a general framework to study the strong convergence for the smooth solutions. This convergence result is obtained describing the boundary layer which appears at t=0. We can apply Yong's tools for the Suliciu approximation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x v^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t v^{\varepsilon} - \mu \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (p(u_1^{\varepsilon}) - v^{\varepsilon}), \end{cases}$$
(1.7)

for $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$, with the smooth initial data:

$$w^{\varepsilon}(0,x) = w_0(x), x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(1.8)

We give more details about this question in the annex at the end of this paper. Since the lifespan for a smooth solution u of the Cauchy problem for the p-system is generally finite (see [12]), the strong convergence of the solution u^{ε} to u can only be obtained locally in time. Nevertheless, under the assumption

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, p'(\xi) \le \Gamma < \mu, \tag{1.9}$$

if w_0 is smooth, the solution for the semi-linear Cauchy problem (1.7)-(1.8) is global and smooth. In this case, the question is: what about the global convergence ?

Under further additional assumptions (in particular $p'(\xi) \ge \gamma > 0$) the weak convergence to a global weak solution of the p-system is obtained by Tzavaras in [21] using the compactness methods of [17].

Other convergence results in some particular cases can be found in [8] and [10]. For other connected papers see also [13, 16, 20]...

In this paper we study the zero relaxation limit for the initial-boundary value problem. To our knowledge general convergence results are not available for hyperbolic relaxation systems in domains with boundary in the literature. A special well investigated problem is the semi-linear relaxation approximation to the boundary value problem for a scalar quasilinear equation, see [11, 15, 9, 14], and [5, 1] for related numerical considerations.

A first example of convergence result for a particular *p*-system (1.1) is obtained in [4]. In that paper the *p*-system is the one-dimensionnal Kerr model, so *p* is the inverse function of $\xi \mapsto (1+\xi^2)\xi$. The relaxation approximation is given by the Kerr-Debye model which is the following quasilinear hyperbolic system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u_1^\varepsilon - \partial_x u_2^\varepsilon = 0, \\ \\ \partial_t u_2^\varepsilon - \partial_x \left((1+v^\varepsilon)^{-1} u_1^\varepsilon \right) = 0, \\ \\ \\ \partial_t v^\varepsilon = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left((1+v^\varepsilon)^{-2} (u_1^\varepsilon)^2 - v^\varepsilon \right). \end{array} \right.$$

For these two models we consider the ingoing wave boundary condition. In the case of the smooth solutions we obtained a local strong convergence result. The main tool of the proof is the use of the entropic variables as proposed in [7]. In these variables, the system is symmetrized and the equilibrium manifold is linearized.

Here we study the zero relaxation limit for the Suliciu approximation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x v^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t v^{\varepsilon} - \mu \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (p(u_1^{\varepsilon}) - v^{\varepsilon}), \end{cases}$$
(1.10)

for $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, with the null initial data

$$w^{\varepsilon}(0,x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.11}$$

and with the Dirichlet boundary condition

$$u_2^{\varepsilon}(t,0) = \varphi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(1.12)

For the null initial data to be in equilibrium we assume that p(0) = 0. We prove the strong convergence of u^{ε} to the smooth solution of the initial-boundary value problem for the p-system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1 - \partial_x u_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2 - \partial_x p(u_1) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(1.13)

for $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+$, with the initial-boundary conditions

$$u(0,x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \tag{1.14}$$

$$u_2(t,0) = \varphi(t), t \in \mathbb{R}^+.$$
 (1.15)

2. Main Results

Let us specify the assumptions on the source term φ in the boundary condition (1.12) or (1.15). In order to simplify we chose φ smooth enough on \mathbb{R} and such that supp $\varphi \subset [0,b]$, with b > 0. In this case the boundary conditions and the null initial data (1.11) and (1.14) match each other so both initial-boundary value problem (1.10)-(1.11)-(1.12) and (1.13)-(1.14)-(1.15) admit local smooth solutions.

First we consider the solutions for the second problem (1.13)-(1.14)-(1.15) and using the methods of [12] we establish that the lifespan T^* is generally finite with formation of shock waves.

THEOREM 2.1. Assume the property (1.3). Let $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ with $supp \ \varphi \subset [0,b], b > 0, \varphi \neq 0$. Let g the function defined by

$$g(\xi) = \int_0^\xi \sqrt{p'(s)} ds.$$

We assume that

$$p''$$
 does not vanish on the interval $g^{-1}(-\varphi(\mathbb{R}))$. (2.1)

Then the local smooth solution of (1.13)-(1.14)-(1.15) exhibits a shock wave at the time $T^* < +\infty$ and we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*]\times\mathbb{R}^+)} \le C \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}.$$
(2.2)

We now investigate the smooth solutions of the initial-boundary value problem (1.10)-(1.11)-(1.12) for a fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. The system is semi-linear strictly hyperbolic and the boundary $\{x=0\}$ is characteristic. It is easy to prove that the local smooth solution w exists and, if the lifespan T_{ε}^* is finite, we have

$$\|w\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T_{\varepsilon}^{*}]\times\mathbb{R}^{+})} = +\infty$$
(2.3)

(for general semi-linear hyperbolic systems, see [18]).

If we assume that p is globally lipschitz we establish that the smooth solutions are global.

THEOREM 2.2. Assume the properties (1.3) and (1.9). Let $\varphi \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ with supp $\varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. Then the solution of (1.10)-(1.11)-(1.12) is global and

$$w \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^+; H^1(\mathbb{R})), \, \partial_t w \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^+; L^2(\mathbb{R})).$$

$$(2.4)$$

Finally, let us describe the convergence result.

THEOREM 2.3. We suppose (1.3). Let $\varphi \in H^3(\mathbb{R})$ with $\sup \varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^+$. We consider a smooth solution $u = (u_1^0, u_2^0)$ of (1.13)-(1.14)-(1.15) defined on $[0, T^*[$. We suppose that

$$\mu > \sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T^*[\times\mathbb{R}^+]} p'(u_1^0(t,x)).$$
(2.5)

Let $T < T^*$. For ε small enough, the relaxation problem (1.10)-(1.11)-(1.12) admits a solution $w^{\varepsilon} = (u^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ defined on [0,T] such that

$$u^{\varepsilon} = u^0 + \varepsilon u^1_{\varepsilon},$$

and there exists a constant K such that

$$\|u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{+}))} + \|\partial_{t}u_{\varepsilon}^{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(0,T;L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}))} \le K.$$
(2.6)

In this result we can remark that no boundary layer appears in the time variable because the null initial data belongs to the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{V} = \{v = p(u_1)\}$. For the space variable, we have the same boundary condition for both systems, so no space boundary layer appears.

To prove Theorem 2.3 we don't use the method in [4]: as observed in [7], with the entropic variables, we lose the semi-linear character of the system (1.10). We prefer write the following expansion of w^{ε}

$$w^{\varepsilon} = w^0 + \varepsilon w^1_{\varepsilon} = ((u^0_1, u^0_2), p(u^0_1)) + \varepsilon w^1_{\varepsilon}$$

so that the rest term w_{ε}^{1} satisfies a semi-linear hyperbolic system. In order to estimate w_{ε}^{1} , we use the conservative-dissipative variables introduced in [2]. With these variables the system is symmetrized and its semi-linear character is preserved. Furthermore by this method we obtain a more precise result : for ε small enough the lifespan T_{ε}^{*} is greater that the lifespan T^{*} of the limit system solution and the convergence is proved on all compact subset of $[0, T^{*}]$.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.1

We use the methods proposed by Majda in [12] for the Cauchy problem. We denote by l and r the left and right Riemann invariants of the system (1.1):

$$\begin{cases} l = \frac{1}{2}(u_2 + g(u_1)), \\ r = \frac{1}{2}(u_2 - g(u_1)). \end{cases}$$

These variables define a diffeomorphism which inverse is given by

$$\begin{cases} u_1 = g^{-1}(l-r), \\ u_2 = l+r. \end{cases}$$

These invariants (l,r) satisfy the diagonal system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t l - \nu(l-r)\partial_x l = 0, \\ \partial_t r + \nu(l-r)\partial_x r = 0, \\ l(0,x) = r(0,x) = 0, x > 0, \\ (l+r)(t,0) = \varphi(t), t > 0, \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

where $\nu(l-r) = \sqrt{p'(g^{-1}(l-r))}$. The smooth solution of (3.1) is (0,r) where r is the solution of the scalar equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t r + \nu(-r)\partial_x r = 0, \\ r(0,x) = 0, x > 0, \\ r(t,0) = \varphi(t), t > 0. \end{cases}$$
(3.2)

Under the assumptions (1.3) and (2.1) we will prove that the lifespan T^* of the solution of the problem (3.2) is finite and that this solution exhibits shock waves in T^* . For solving (3.2) we can use the method of characteristics. The function r is constant on the characteristic curves which are the straight lines $t = T + \frac{1}{\nu(-\varphi(T))}x, T \in \mathbb{R}$.

Denoting $\alpha(s) = \frac{1}{\nu(-s)}$ we obtain then that

$$r(T,0) = \varphi(T) = r(T + \alpha(\varphi(T))x, x).$$

Let us introduce the mapping

$$(T,X)\mapsto \Phi(T,X)=(t,x)=(T+\alpha(\varphi(T))X,X)$$

This map is a diffeomorphism for $X < \overline{X}$ with

$$\bar{X} = \left[\max_{T \in [0,b]} - \frac{d}{dT}\alpha(\varphi(T))\right]^{-1}.$$

Under assumption (2.1) we have $0 < \bar{X} < +\infty$ and we have

$$\|r\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+\times[0,\bar{X}[)} \le \|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}.$$

The characteristic curves through (0,0) and (b,0) cut the straight line $\{x = \bar{X}\}$ at times

$$T_1 = \sqrt{p'(0)}$$
 \bar{X} and $T_2 = b + \sqrt{p'(0)}$ \bar{X} so $T^* \in [T_1, T_2]$.

4. Proof of Theorem 2.2

In this section $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\mu > 0$ are fixed. We rewrite system (1.10)

$$\partial_t w + A \partial_x w = h(w)$$

where

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } h(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(p(u_1) - v) \end{pmatrix}$$

and by (1.3) and (1.9) p is globally lipschitz. As zero is an eigenvalue of the matrix A, the boundary $\{x=0\}$ is characteristic, so for completeness we give the proof of the global existence. Using (2.3) it is sufficient to prove that the solution w is bounded on any domain $[0,T] \times \mathbb{R}^+$. In a first step we lift the boundary condition (1.12). We set $\omega(t,x) = \varphi(t)\eta(x)$ where η is a smooth function compactly supported with $\eta(0) = 1$. We replace u_2 by $u_2 - \omega$ and we obtain the following initial-boundary value problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w + A \partial_x w = h(w) + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \omega \\ -\partial_t \omega \\ \mu \partial_x \omega \end{pmatrix}, \\ w(0,x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ u_2(t,0) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.1)$$

We diagonalize the matrix A by the matrix P: w = PW with

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1\\ \sqrt{\mu} & 0 & -\sqrt{\mu}\\ \mu & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t W + \begin{pmatrix} -\sqrt{\mu} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{\mu} \end{pmatrix} \partial_x W = H(W) + \Phi, \\ W(0,x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ W_1(t,0) - W_3(t,0) = 0, t \in \mathbb{R}^+. \end{cases}$$

$$(4.2)$$

We have $H(W) = P^{-1}h(PW)$ so H is globally lipschitz

$$\exists K > 0, |\partial_W H| \le K. \tag{4.3}$$

In addition, Φ is given by

$$\Phi = P^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \omega \\ -\partial_t \omega \\ \mu \partial_x \omega \end{pmatrix}.$$

We denote by T^* the lifespan of the solution W for system (4.2) and we assume that $T^* < +\infty$. We will prove that $||W||_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*]\times\mathbb{R}^+)} < +\infty$ so that by (2.3) we obtain a contradiction.

L^2 estimate

We take the inner product of the first equation in (4.2) by W and we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\sqrt{\mu}(-W_{1}\partial_{x}W_{1} + W_{3}\partial_{x}W_{3})dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}H(W)Wdx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\Phi Wdx.$$

Using the third equation in (4.2) and (4.3) we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \le C(1+\|W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2).$$
(4.4)

H^1 estimate

We derivate system (4.2) with respect to t and with similar computations we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \le C(1 + \|\partial_t W\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2).$$
(4.5)

By Gronwall lemma we obtain from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\|W\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|\partial_t W\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C(T^*).$$
(4.6)

So using the first equation in (4.2) we have

$$\|\partial_x W_1\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|\partial_x W_3\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C(T^*), \tag{4.7}$$

In addition we have

$$\partial_t \partial_x W_2 - \partial_{W_2} H_2(W) \partial_x W_2 = \mathcal{H}(t, x)$$

where

$$\mathcal{H} = \partial_{W_1} H_2(W) \partial_x W_1 + \partial_{W_3} H_2(W) \partial_x W_3 + \partial_x \Phi_2.$$

By (4.3) and (4.7) we have

$$\|\mathcal{H}\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \leq C(T^*),$$

and since

$$\partial_x W_2(t,x) = \int_0^t \left(\exp \int_s^t \partial_{W_2} H_2(W(\tau,x)) d\tau \right) \mathcal{H}(s,x) ds,$$

we conclude that

$$\|\partial_x W_2\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T^*];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \le C(T^*)$$

By Sobolev injections we can apply the continuation principle and we conclude the proof of Theorem 2.2.

5. Proof of Theorem 2.3

We denote by T^* the lifespan of the smooth solution $u^0 = (u_1^0, u_2^0)$ of system (1.13)-(1.14)-(1.15). Since the boundary data φ belongs to $H^3(\mathbb{R})$ we have

$$\partial_t^i u^0 \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; H^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}^+)), i = 0, 1, 2, 3.$$
(5.1)

We define the profile w^0 by

$$w^{0} = (u^{0}, v^{0}) = ((u^{0}_{1}, u^{0}_{2}), p(u^{0}_{1})).$$
(5.2)

We denote

$$\gamma(t,x) = p'(u_1^0(t,x)), t < T^*, x > 0, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\Gamma = \sup_{(t,x)\in[0,T^*[\times\mathbb{R}^+]}\gamma(t,x),\tag{5.4}$$

and by (2.2), $\Gamma < +\infty$. We fix μ such that

$$\mu > \Gamma. \tag{5.5}$$

We will construct the solution w^{ε} of the relaxation problem (1.10)-(1.11)-(1.12) writing

$$w^{\varepsilon} = w^{0} + \varepsilon \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\v^{1} \end{pmatrix} + \varepsilon r, \qquad (5.6)$$

where

$$v^1 = -\partial_t v^0 + \mu \partial_x u_2^0, \tag{5.7}$$

so that r satisfies the following system

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t r_1 - \partial_x r_2 = 0, \\ \partial_t r_2 - \partial_x r_3 = \partial_x v^1, \\ \partial_t r_3 - \mu \partial_x r_2 = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (p'(u_1^0) r_1 - r_3) + F(t, x, \varepsilon r_1) (r_1)^2 - \partial_t v^1, \end{cases}$$
(5.8)

for $(t,x) \in [0,T^*[\times \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ with the initial-boundary conditions}]$

$$\begin{cases} r(0,x) = 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^+, \\ r_2(t,0) = 0, 0 \le t < T^*. \end{cases}$$
(5.9)

The function F is defined by

$$F(t,x,\xi) = \int_0^1 (1-s)p''(u_1^0(t,x) + s\xi)ds.$$
(5.10)

First step: we want to construct a suitable symmetrization for system (5.8). We denote by A and B the matrices

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma(t, x) & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

With this object, we will use the conservative-dissipative form introduced in [2]. We first need a symmetric positive definite matrix A_0 such that AA_0 is a symmetric matrix, and such that

$$BA_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -d \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } d > 0.$$

Following [7], such a matrix can be constructed using the entropic variables. For the special case of the Suliciu model we have

$$A_0(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} (\gamma(t,x))^{-1} & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{0,11} & A_{0,12} \\ \\ A_{0,21} & A_{0,22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We obtain

$$AA_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & -\mu \\ 0 & -\mu & 0 \end{pmatrix}, BA_{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma - \mu \end{pmatrix},$$

and we remark that with (5.5), we have $\mu - \gamma \ge \mu - \Gamma > 0$. Finally we can apply Proposition 2.7 in [2]: the conservative-dissipative variables ρ is defined by $\rho = P(t,x)r$ with

$$P(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} (A_{0,11})^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0\\ \\ ((A_0^{-1})_{22})^{-\frac{1}{2}} (A_0^{-1})_{21} & ((A_0^{-1})_{22})^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 1 & 0\\ -\gamma(\mu-\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & (\mu-\gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

In these variables, system (5.8) is equivalent to

$$\partial_t \rho + A_1 \partial_x \rho + L \rho = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\rho_3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\F_1(t,x,\varepsilon\rho_1)\rho_1^2 \end{pmatrix} + H,$$
(5.11)

for $(t,x) \in [0,T^*[\times \mathbb{R}^+, \text{ with the initial-boundary conditions}]$

$$\rho(0,x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ and } \rho_2(t,0) = 0 \text{ for } t \in [0,T^*[.$$
(5.12)

The matrix $A_1 = PAP^{-1}$ is symmetric

$$A_1(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \\ -\gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 & -(\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ 0 & -(\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix L is given by $L(t,x) = P\partial_t P^{-1} + PA\partial_x P^{-1}$. In addition, F_1 and H are given by

$$F_1(t,x,\xi) = \gamma^{-1}(\mu - \gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} F(t,x,\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}\xi), \qquad (5.13)$$

$$H(t,x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \partial_x v^1 \\ -(\mu - \gamma)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t v^1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (5.1) we have

$$\partial_t^i \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; H^{3-i}(\mathbb{R}^+)), i = 0, 1, 2, 3,$$
(5.14)

and using (2.2) there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$\gamma(t,x) \ge \alpha \text{ for } (t,x) \in [0,T^*[\times \mathbb{R}^+.$$
(5.15)

Using (5.14), (5.15) and (5.5) we have

$$A_1, \partial_t A_1, \partial_x A_1 \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+))),$$
(5.16)

$$L, \partial_t L, \partial_x L \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+))).$$
(5.17)

Using (5.1) and (5.7) we have

$$\partial_t^i H \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; H^{1-i}(\mathbb{R}^+)), i = 0, 1.$$
(5.18)

We recall that by (5.10) and (5.13) we have

$$F_1(t,x,\xi) = \gamma^{-1}(t,x)(\mu - \gamma(t,x))^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^1 (1-s)p''(u_1^0(t,x) + s\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}}(t,x)\xi) ds,$$

so, by (5.14), (5.15) and (5.5) we have

$$F_1, \partial_t F_1, \partial_x F_1, \partial_\xi F_1 \in \mathcal{C}^0([0, T^*[; L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+ \times [-1, 1])).$$
(5.19)

Now we fix $T < T^*$ and we introduce T_ε defined by

$$T_{\varepsilon} = \sup\left\{t \le T, \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^+)} \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right\}.$$
(5.20)

We will prove that, for ε small enough, $T_{\varepsilon} = T$ and that there exists K such that for all ε small enough,

$$\|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];H^{1}(\mathbb{R}^{+}))} + \|\partial_{t}\rho\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+}))} \le K.$$
(5.21)

First, by variational methods, we obtain L^2 -estimates on ρ and $\partial_t \rho$. To obtain L^2 estimates on $\partial_x \rho$ we use the equations taking into account that the boundary $\{x=0\}$ is characteristic.

Second step: variational estimates

We take the inner product of system (5.11) by ρ and we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} A_{1}\partial_{x}\rho \cdot \rho dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} L\rho \cdot \rho dx + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \rho_{3}^{2}dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} F_{1}(t,x,\varepsilon\rho_{1})\rho_{1}^{2}\rho_{3}dx + \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} H \cdot \rho dx.$$

Using (5.12) we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^+} A_1 \partial_x \rho \cdot \rho dx = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\partial_x A_1) \rho \cdot \rho dx.$$

With the estimates (5.16),.., (5.19) and since $\varepsilon |\rho| \leq 1$ on $[0, T_{\varepsilon}] \times \mathbb{R}^+$, there exists a constant C > 0 such that, for $t \leq T_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}}\rho_{3}^{2}dx \leq C(1+\|\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}\|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}\|\rho_{3}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}).$$

Therefore we obtain that for $t \leq T_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{+}} \rho_{3}^{2} dx \leq C(1 + \|\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \varepsilon \|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} \|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2}).$$
(5.22)

We can derivate (5.11)-(5.12) with respect to t

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \partial_t \rho + A_1 \partial_x \partial_t \rho + L \partial_t \rho + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\partial_t \rho_3 \end{pmatrix} &= -\partial_t A_1 \partial_x \rho - \partial_t L \rho + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\partial_t F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2 \end{pmatrix} \\ &+ \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\\varepsilon \partial_\xi F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \partial_t \rho_1 \rho_1^2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0\\0\\2F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1 \partial_t \rho_1 \end{pmatrix} + \partial_t H. \end{aligned}$$

With the same arguments as before we obtain that there exists C>0 such that for $\leq T_{\varepsilon}$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} (\partial_t \rho_3)^2 dx \le C(1 + \|\rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \|\partial_x \rho\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2) + C\varepsilon \|\rho_1\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 (\|\rho_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \|\partial_t \rho_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2)).$$
(5.23)

We define ψ by

$$\psi(t) = \left(\|\rho(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 + \|\partial_t \rho(t)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{5.24}$$

so we obtain by (5.22) and (5.23) the $L^2\text{-estimate:}$ there exists C>0 such that for $t\leq T_{\varepsilon},$

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\psi(t))^{2} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\|\rho_{3}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} + \|\partial_{t}\rho_{3}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2}) \leq C(1 + (\psi(t))^{2} + \varepsilon \|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2} (\psi(t))^{2} + \|\partial_{x}\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})}^{2}).$$
(5.25)

Third step

We now estimate $\partial_x \rho$ using the equations

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho_1 - \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \rho_2 + (L\rho)_1 = 0, \\ \partial_t \rho_2 - \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \rho_1 - (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \rho_3 + (L\rho)_2 = H_2, \\ \partial_t \rho_3 - (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \rho_2 + (L\rho)_3 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho_3 = F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2 + H_3. \end{cases}$$
(5.26)

From the first equation in (5.26), and with (5.15) and (5.17) we have for $t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}]$

$$\|\partial_x \rho_2\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le C\psi. \tag{5.27}$$

Let us introduce $\tilde{\rho}_1 = \rho_1 + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_3$. From the second equation in (5.26) we have

$$\partial_t \rho_2 - \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1 + \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_x (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rho_3 + (L\rho)_2 = H_2,$$

so, by (5.15), (5.14), (5.17) and (5.18) we obtain that

$$\|\partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \le C(1+\psi). \tag{5.28}$$

We cannot estimate $\partial_x \rho_1$ or $\partial_x \rho_3$ by the same method because the boundary $\{x=0\}$ is characteristic. We rewrite the third equation in (5.26)

$$\partial_t \rho_3 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho_3 = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_t \rho_1 + (L\rho)_1) - (L\rho)_3 + F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2 + H_3.$$

So eliminating ρ_1 we obtain

$$\mu \gamma^{-1} \partial_t \rho_3 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \rho_3 = \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} [\partial_t \tilde{\rho}_1 - \partial_t (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rho_3] + M_1(t, x) \tilde{\rho}_1 + M_2(t, x) \rho_2 + M_3(t, x) \rho_3 + H_3 + F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2,$$
(5.29)

(5.29) with $\rho_1 = \tilde{\rho}_1 - \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_3$. We derivate (5.29) with respect to x and we obtain the equation satisfied by $\partial_x \rho_3$

$$\partial_t \partial_x \rho_3 + \tau(t, x) \partial_x \rho_3 = \sum_{i=1}^6 T_i, \qquad (5.30)$$

with

$$\begin{split} \tau &= \mu^{-1} \gamma \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) + \varepsilon \partial_{\xi} F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rho_1^2 \right. \\ &\quad + 2F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1 \gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} - M_3(t, x) \Big), \\ T_1 &= \mu^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t \partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1, \\ T_2 &= \mu^{-1} \gamma \left(\partial_x (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \partial_t \tilde{\rho}_1 - \partial_x (\gamma^{-1} \mu) \partial_t \rho_3 \right. \\ &\quad - \partial_x (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_t (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}})) \rho_3 \\ &\quad + (\partial_x M_1) \tilde{\rho}_1 + (\partial_x M_2) \rho_2 + (\partial_x M_3) \rho_3 \Big), \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} T_3 &= \mu^{-1} \gamma \partial_x H_3, \\ T_4 &= \mu^{-1} \gamma (M_1 \partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1 + M_2 \partial_x \rho_2), \\ T_5 &= \mu^{-1} \gamma \left(\partial_x F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2 - \varepsilon \partial_\xi F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \partial_x (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rho_1^2 \rho_3 \right. \\ &\qquad \left. - 2 F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \partial_x (\gamma^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) \rho_1 \rho_3 \right), \end{split}$$

$$T_6 = \mu^{-1} \gamma \left(\varepsilon \partial_{\xi} F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1^2 \partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1 + 2F_1(t, x, \varepsilon \rho_1) \rho_1 \partial_x \tilde{\rho}_1 \right).$$

For $t \in [0, T_{\varepsilon}]$, using (5.5), (5.14) (5.15) and (5.19) we obtain that

$$\left|\tau(t,x) - \frac{\mu^{-1}\gamma}{\varepsilon}\right| \le C + C_0 \|\rho_1\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)}.$$

We define $T_{\varepsilon}^1\!\leq\! T_{\varepsilon}$ by

$$T_{\varepsilon}^{1} = \max\left\{t \leq T_{\varepsilon}, \|\rho_{1}\|_{L^{\infty}([0,t] \times \mathbb{R}^{+})} \leq \frac{1}{2C_{0}\varepsilon}\right\},\tag{5.31}$$

so there exists $\tau_1 > 0$ and $\tau_2 > 0$ such that

$$\forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \forall x > 0, \frac{\tau_{1}}{\varepsilon} \leq \tau(t, x) \leq \frac{\tau_{2}}{\varepsilon}.$$
(5.32)

We solve Equation (5.30) by Duhamel formula

$$\partial_x \rho_3 = \sum_{i=1}^6 \mathcal{T}_i,\tag{5.33}$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_i(t,x) = \int_0^t \exp(-\int_s^t \tau(\sigma,x) d\sigma) T_i(s,x) ds.$$

We define Ψ by

$$\Psi(t) = \sup_{[0,t]} \psi(s), \tag{5.34}$$

where ψ is given by (5.24). Integrating by parts in \mathcal{T}_1 we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_{1}(t,x) &= -\int_{0}^{t} \mu^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \tau(s,x) \exp(-\int_{s}^{t} \tau(\sigma,x) d\sigma) \partial_{x} \tilde{\rho}_{1}(s,x) ds \\ &- \int_{0}^{t} \exp(-\int_{s}^{t} \tau(\sigma,x) d\sigma) \partial_{s} (\mu^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}}) (s,x) \partial_{x} \tilde{\rho}_{1}(s,x) ds \\ &+ \mu^{-1} \gamma^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu - \gamma)^{\frac{1}{2}} \partial_{x} \tilde{\rho}_{1}(t,x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (5.32), (5.5), (5.14), (5.15) and (5.28) we have

$$\|\mathcal{T}_1(t,\cdot)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq \int_0^t \exp(-\frac{\tau_1}{\varepsilon}(t-s))C(\psi(s)+1)(1+\frac{\tau_2}{\varepsilon})ds + C(\psi(t)+1),$$

and we obtain that

$$\forall t \le T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \|\mathcal{T}_{1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \le C(1 + \Psi(t)).$$
(5.35)

Using (5.5) (5.14) (5.15) (5.24) (5.34) and also (5.18) for T_3 and (5.27) and (5.28) for T_4 , we obtain

$$\forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \|\mathcal{T}_{2}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} + \|\mathcal{T}_{3}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} + \|\mathcal{T}_{4}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \leq C\varepsilon(1 + \Psi(t)).$$
(5.36)

For the nonlinear terms T_5 and T_6 we use in addition (5.19) (5.20) and we obtain

$$\forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \|\mathcal{T}_{5}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} + \|\mathcal{T}_{6}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \leq C(1 + \Psi(t)).$$
(5.37)

Therefore we obtain the following estimation for $\partial_x \rho$ using (5.27) (5.28) (5.33) (5.35) (5.36) (5.37)

$$\forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \|\partial_{x}\rho\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \leq C(1+\Psi(t)), \qquad (5.38)$$

so we have

$$\forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{+})} \leq C_{1}(1+\Psi(t)).$$

$$(5.39)$$

Fourth step

By a comparison method we estimate Ψ . For $t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^{1}$, integrating (5.25) from 0 to t, using (5.38) and (5.39) we obtain that

$$(\Psi(t))^2 \le C_2 \int_0^t (1 + (\Psi(s))^2 + \varepsilon(\Psi(s))^4) ds.$$
(5.40)

We introduce the differential equation

$$y_{\varepsilon}' = C_2(1 + y_{\varepsilon} + \varepsilon y_{\varepsilon}^2), y_{\varepsilon}(0) = 0.$$
(5.41)

There exists $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ such that, for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$, the lifespan of y_{ε} is greater than T. So we have

$$\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \forall t \leq T, y_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq y_{\varepsilon_0}(t) \leq y_{\varepsilon_0}(T) = C_3.$$

By comparison principle we deduce from (5.40) that

$$\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^1, (\Psi(t))^2 \leq C_3,$$

and from (5.39),

$$\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0, \forall t \leq T_{\varepsilon}^1, \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^+)} \leq C_1(1 + \sqrt{C_3}).$$

Let $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_1 \leq \varepsilon_0$ such that

$$\forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, C_1(1 + \sqrt{C_3}) \leq \frac{1}{2C_0\varepsilon}.$$

So, by (5.20) and (5.31), we have for $\varepsilon \le \varepsilon_1$, $T_e^1 = T_{\varepsilon} = T$ and we conclude the proof by the estimate

$$\exists K > 0, \forall \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_1, \|\rho\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];H^1(\mathbb{R}^+))} + \|\partial_t \rho\|_{L^{\infty}([0,T];L^2(\mathbb{R}^+))} \leq K.$$

6. Annex

Using the method in W.A. Yong [22] we show the convergence result for the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2^{\varepsilon} - \partial_x v^{\varepsilon} = 0, \\ \partial_t v^{\varepsilon} - \mu \partial_x u_2^{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (p(u_1^{\varepsilon}) - v^{\varepsilon}), \end{cases}$$
(6.1)

for $(t,x) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}$ with the smooth initial data

$$w^{\varepsilon}(0,x) = w_0(x) = (u_0(x), v_0(x)) \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}.$$
(6.2)

Let us introduce u^0 the smooth solution of the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u_1^0 - \partial_x u_2^0 = 0, \\ \partial_t u_2^0 - \partial_x p(u_1^0) = 0, \end{cases}$$
(6.3)

with the initial data

$$u^0(0,x) = u_0(x). \tag{6.4}$$

As in Tzavaras [21] we assume that there exists $\gamma > 0$ and $\Gamma > 0$ such that

$$\forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}, \gamma \le p'(\xi) \le \Gamma < \mu, \tag{6.5}$$

so the problem (6.1)-(6.2) admits a global solution $w^{\varepsilon} = (u^{\varepsilon}, v^{\varepsilon})$ such that

$$w^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{C}^0(\mathbb{R}^+; H^s(\mathbb{R})) \cap \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{R}^+; H^{s-1}(\mathbb{R})).$$

We will prove the following convergence theorem.

THEOREM 6.1. Under assumption (6.5), if $w_0 \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ with $s \geq 2$, then there exists $T_1 > 0$ such that when ε tends to zero, u^{ε} tends to u^0 in $L^{\infty}([0,T_1]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))$.

REMARK 6.1. It would be possible to relax hypothesis (6.5) as in Theorem 2.3; in this case, the lifespan of w^{ε} is uniformly greater that T_1 .

REMARK 6.2. In fact it appears a boundary layer in time which affects only the third component of w^{ε} .

Sketch of the proof

First step: the stability assumption in [22] are satisfied. As in [21] and [7], we consider the strictly convex entropy function for the system (6.1)

$$\mathcal{E}(u_1, u_2, v) = \frac{1}{2}u_2^2 + u_1v - \frac{\mu}{2}u_1^2 - \int_0^{v - \mu u_1} h^{-1}(y)dy,$$

where $h(\xi) = p(\xi) - \mu \xi$ which is strictly decreasing by (6.5). So $A_0(w) = \mathcal{E}''(w)$ is a symmetrizer for the system. Denoting $a = (h^{-1})'(v - \mu u_1)$ we obtain

$$A_0(w) = \begin{pmatrix} -\mu - \mu^2 a \ 0 \ 1 + \mu a \\ 0 \ 1 \ 0 \\ 1 + \mu a \ 0 \ -a \end{pmatrix},$$

and the system (6.1) is equivalent to the quasilinear symmetric system

$$A_{0}(w)\partial_{t}w + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -1\\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \partial_{x}w = \frac{1}{\varepsilon}(p(u_{1}) - v)\begin{pmatrix} 1+\mu a\\ 0\\ -a \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6.6)

We denote

$$Q(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p(u_1) - v \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } P(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -p'(u_1) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and we obtain

$$P(w)Q'(w)P^{-1}(w) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6.7)

On the equilibrium manifold $\mathcal{V} = \{v = p(u_1)\}$, we have

$$A_0(w)Q'(w) + Q'(w)A_0(w) = \frac{2}{p'(u_1) - \mu} \begin{pmatrix} (p'(u_1))^2 & 0 - p'(u_1) \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -p'(u_1) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (6.8)

Using (6.6), (6.7) and (6.8) we obtain the stability conditions in [22].

Second step: we use Theorems 6.1 and 6.2 in [22]. We introduce the interior profile $w^0 = ((u_1^0, u_2), p(u_1^0))$ and the boundary layer term $I^0 = \tilde{I}^0 - w^0(0, x)$ where \tilde{I}^0 is the solution of

$$\frac{dI_0}{d\tau} = Q(\tilde{I}_0), \, \tilde{I}(\tau = 0) = w_0(x).$$

We have $I_1^0 = I_2^0 = 0$ and

$$I_3^0(\tau, x) = (v_0(x) - p(u_1, 0))e^{-\tau},$$

and we obtain

$$w^{\varepsilon}(t,x) = w^{0}(t,x) + I^{0}(\frac{t}{\varepsilon},x) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon),$$

so we conclude the proof of Theorem 6.1.

REMARK 6.3. If w_0 belongs to the equilibrium manifold then the order zero boundary layer term vanishes.

REMARK 6.4. In fact using more precisely [22] and the appendix of [3] we can prove that T_1 can be arbitrarily close to the lifespan of u^0 as in Theorem 2.3.

REMARK 6.5. In this annex the matrix P introduced in [22] plays an analogous role as the matrix P in section 5.

REFERENCES

- Denise Aregba-Driollet and Vuk Milišić, Kinetic approximation of a boundary value problem for conservation laws, Numer. Math., 97 (4), 595–633, 2004.
- [2] Stefano Bianchini, Bernard Hanouzet and Roberto Natalini, Asymptotic Behaviour of Smooth Solutions for Partially Dissipative Hyperbolic Systems with a Convex Entropy, Preprint 2005, to appear in Comm. Pure Appl. Math..
- [3] Y. Brenier and W.-A. Yong, Derivation of particle, string, and membrane motions from the Born-Infeld electromagnetism, J. Math. Phys., 46, 062305, 2005.
- [4] Gilles Carbou and Bernard Hanouzet, Relaxation approximation of some nonlinear Maxwell initial-boundary value problem, Comm. Math. Sci., 4(2), 331–344, 2006.
- [5] A. Chalabi and D. Seghir, Convergence of relaxation schemes for initial boundary value problems for conservation laws, Comput. Math. Appl., 43(8-9), 1079–1093, 2002.
- [6] Olivier Guès, Problème mixte hyperbolique quasi-linéaire caractéristique, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 15(5), 595-645, 1990.
- [7] B. Hanouzet and R. Natalini, Global existence of smooth solutions for partially dissipative hyperbolic systems with a convex entropy, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 169(2), 89–117, 2003.
- [8] L. Hsiao and R. Pan, Zero relaxation limit to centered rarefaction waves for a rate-type viscoelastic system, J. Differential Equations, 157(1), 20–40, 1999.
- [9] Wendy Kress, Asymptotic behavior of hyperbolic boundary value problems with relaxation term, In Hyperbolic problems: theory, numerics, applications, Vol. I, II (Magdeburg, 2000), volume 141 of Internat. Ser. Numer. Math., 140, pages 633–642. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2001.
- [10] H. Li and R. Pan, Zero relaxation limit for piecewise smooth solutions to a rate-type viscoelastic system in the presence of shocks, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 252(1), 298–324, 2000.

- [11] Hailiang Liu and Wen-An Yong, Time-asymptotic stability of boundary-layers for a hyperbolic relaxation system, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26(7-8), 1323–1343, 2001.
- [12] A. Majda. Compressible fluid flow and systems of conservation laws in several space variables. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 53. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984.
- [13] A. Matsumura and M. Mei, Convergence to travelling fronts of solutions of the p-system with viscosity in the presence of a boundary, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 146(1), 1–22, 1999.
- [14] Vuk Milišić, Stability and convergence of discrete kinetic approximations to an initial-boundary value problem for conservation laws, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 131(6), 1727–1737 (electronic), 2003.
- [15] Roberto Natalini and Andrea Terracina, Convergence of a relaxation approximation to a boundary value problem for conservation laws, Comm. Partial Differential Equations, 26(7-8), 1235–1252, 2001.
- [16] K. Nishihara and T. Yang, Boundary effect on asymptotic behaviour of solutions to the psystem with linear damping, J. Differential Equations, 156(2), 439–458, 1999.
- [17] D. Serre and J. Shearer, Convergence with physical viscosity for nonlinear elasticity, Preprint 1993.
- [18] F. Sueur, Couches limites semilinéaires, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6), 15(2), 323–380, 2006.
- [19] I. Suliciu, On modelling phase transition by means of rate-type constitutive equations, shock wave structure, Int. J. Ing. Sci., 28, 827–841, 1990.
- [20] S. Tang and H. Zhao, Stability of Suliciu model for phase transitions, Commun. Pure Appl. Anal., 3(4), 545–556, 2004.
- [21] A. E. Tzavaras, Materials with internal variables and relaxation to conservation laws, Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 146(2), 129–155, 1999.
- [22] Wen-An Yong, Singular perturbations of first-order hyperbolic systems with stiff source terms, J. Differential Equations, 155(1), 89–132, 1999.