



#### **QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY**

#### **QUANTUM COMPUTING**

#### Philippe Grangier, Institut d'Optique, Orsay

1. Quantum cryptography :

from basic principles to practical realizations.

2. Quantum computing :

a conceptual revolution hard to materialize





QIPC / S4P

# QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY

A. Beveratos<sup>1</sup>, A. Villing<sup>1</sup>, F. Grosshans<sup>1</sup>, J. Wenger<sup>1</sup>,
T. Gacoin<sup>2</sup>, N. Cerf<sup>3</sup>, G. Van Assche<sup>3</sup>,
R. Brouri<sup>1</sup>, J.-P. Poizat<sup>1</sup> and P. Grangier<sup>1</sup>

1 Laboratoire Charles Fabry de l'Institut d'Optique, UMR 8501 du CNRS, F-91403 Orsay Cedex

2 Laboratoire de Physique de la matière condensée, Ecole Polytechnique, F-91128 Palaiseau

3 Ecole Polytechnique, Université Libre de Bruxelles, Belgique



# The characters







Alice





Bob



Factorisation de RSA 155 (512 bits - été 1999)

"Enigme" proposée par la compagnie RSA (www.rsa.com) Record précédent : RSA140 (465 bits), février 1999

RSA155 = 109417386415705274218097073220403576120037329454492\ 059909138421314763499842889347847179972578912673324976257528\ 99781833797076537244027146743531593354333897;

RSA155 n'est pas premier ! (calcul "probabiliste" très rapide)

Factorisation ?	Préparation : 9 semaines sur 10 stations de travail.			
	<b>Criblage</b> :	3.5 mois sur 300 PCs, 6 pays		
	<b>Résultat :</b>	3.7 Go, stockés à Amsterdam		
	Filtrage :	9.5 jours sur Cray C916, Amsterdam		
	Factorisation	<b>:</b> 39.4 heures sur 4 stations de travail		

#### **PUBLIC KEY CRYPTOSYSTEMS**

#### - Problems :

- Mathematical demonstrations about PKC have a statistical character (the factorisation may be found easily for "unfortunate choices" of a, b)

--> "recommendations" for the choice of the prime numbers a and b

- No absolute demonstration for security -> better computers, better algorithms (obviously kept secret) ?

#### - Article by Peter Shor (1994) :

a "quantum computer" might be able to factorize the product of two prime numbers in a "polynomial" time ! *lot of reactions !* 





#### **CRYPTOGRAPHY**:

#### FROM VERNAM'S CYPHER TO QUANTUM MECHANICS

#### - Shannon's demonstration (1940)

Vernam's cypher cannot be broken, provided that the list of random numbers is as long as the message, and is used only one time ("one-time pad").

#### - Difficult to implement...

The one-time pad is used for military-type purposes. For commercial purposes one uses shorter keys but more complex processing (e.g. : "Data Encryption Standard" : 56 random bits used over a limited period in time).

#### - Problem of "secret key" cryptosystems :

Transmission of the key (the messenger may be corrupted...) "Classical" attacks are physically possible -> quantum cryptography.



#### **QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY : PRINCIPLE**

**Goal** : sending a "secret key" by using the laws of physics to warrant the complete security of the transmission

**Method** : light pulses, ideally "photons" (light quanta with energy E = h v)



#### **QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY : PRINCIPLE**

#### **Crucial point** :

- in all cases there are two analyzer outputs : "transmitted" or "reflected"

- detecting the photon in one output gives the direction of the polarizer axis if this axis is paraller or perpendicular to the analyzer axis



- when the polarizer is oriented in another direction with respect the analyzer, the result is random  $(50 \% - 50 \% \text{ for } 45^\circ \text{ relative angle})$ 



detecting the photon **does not give any information** about the initial polarisation, and moreover **destroys the initial information** 



3 - Bob announces openly his choice of basis (but not the result !) and Alice answers "ok" or "no". Bits with different basis are discarded.

4 - The remaining bits give the secret key

#### QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY : PRINCIPLE (C. Bennett and G. Brassard, 1984)

Alice Basis	Bit	Eve ! Basis	Bit	Bob Basis	Bit	
+	1	X	0	+	()	ekror l
+	0	+	0	X	0	no
×	1	+	1	X	1	ok->1
×	0	X	0	+	1	no
$\times$	1	+	0	+	0	no
+	0	+	0	+	0	ok->0
+	1	+	0	X	0	no
×	0	+	1	X	1	ekror()
X	1	X	0	+	1	no
X	0	+	0	+	0	no

#### QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY : PRINCIPLE (C. Bennett et G. Brassard, 1984)

#### \* Result of the transmission protocol:

- "raw key" exchanged between Alice and Bob

- Alice and Bob measure the error rate by comparing a part of the raw key:
  -> evaluation of the amount of information (maybe) available to Eve.
- \* Error correction and privacy amplification (classical algorithms) :
- the errors are corrected (this reduces the size of the key)
- (ex : block parity tests + bissection)
- Eve's residual knowledge is eliminated (this reduces the size of the key) (ex : hashing functions)
- The size of the remaining key is non-zero if the error rate was < 15%
- **6** Alice and Bob have a totally secure and errorless secret key.



#### **QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY : PRINCIPLE**

#### Light pulse

- the polarisation of a light pulse can be measured easily (use a beamsplitter with R = T = 50%)

p(good result) = 1



#### **Single photon**

- a single photon is detected only once, and the initial polarization cannot be obtained with certainty

p(good result) = 0.5



#### SINGLE PHOTON VS LIGHT PULSE

**Question :** Is it possible to "clone" the polarization state of a photon ?

 $\mid 1 \colon u > \rightarrow \mid 1 \colon u > \otimes \mid 2 \colon u > \otimes \mid 3 \colon u > \otimes .... \otimes \mid N \colon u >$ 



Two arguments : - formal demonstration ...

- "physically forbidden" consequences

#### "CLONING" A QUANTUM STATE ?

#### Linearity of quantum mechanics :

 $\begin{aligned} |\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} |\psi\rangle_{2} & \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} |\phi_{n}\rangle_{2} \\ |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1} |\psi\rangle_{2} & \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1} |\phi_{m}\rangle_{2} \\ (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1}) |\psi\rangle_{2} & \Rightarrow \quad |\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} |\phi_{n}\rangle_{2} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1} |\phi_{m}\rangle_{2} \\ \text{but one would like :} \\ (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1}) |\psi\rangle_{2} & \Rightarrow \quad (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1}) (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{2} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{2} \\ \frac{|\phi_{n}\rangle_{1}}{\sqrt{2}} & \Rightarrow \quad (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{1} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{1}) (|\phi_{n}\rangle_{2} + |\phi_{m}\rangle_{2}) \end{aligned}$ 

#### **Contradiction !**

\* Cloning is possible if {  $|\phi_n >$ ,  $|\phi_m >$  } are orthogonal (then direct measurement is also possible)

\* Cloning is impossible for a set of non-orthogonal states: ok for cryptography

#### WHAT IS QUANTUM IN QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY ?

It it impossible to copy an arbitrary quantum state chosen among
 a set of non-orthogonal states : "no-cloning theorem"
 (demonstration : strongly related to the Heisenberg relations)

- Beyond its consequences for the security of quantum cryptography, cloning would have other unacceptable consequences :

- violation of Heisenberg's relations ...
- conflict between Quantum Mechanics and Special Relativity ...
- The security of quantum cryptography is deeply rooted in quantum laws !



Quantum Key Distribution (QKD) protocol :

- \* Alice encodes bits onto non-orthogonal states of a stream of single photons
- \* Bob detects the photons, and then Alice and Bob agree on the measurement basis.
- \* Any attempt by Eve to measure or copy information the quantum channel will induce perturbations (errors) that can be evaluated by Alice and Bob

-> As long as the error rate is not too big, Eve's knowledge can be reduced to zero by privacy amplification.

EXPERIMENTAL QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY Hugo Zbinden, "Introduction to quantum computation and information", World Scientific, p. 120 (1998)						
Wavelength	800nm	1300nm	1300 nm	1300 nm	1500nm	
(detector)	(Si)	(InGaAs)	(InGaAs)	(InGaAs)	(InGaAs)	
Temperature	Peltier	LN2	LN2	Peltier	Peltier	
ηdet	50%	20%	30%	10%	2%	
Pdark (w :1ns)	10 <sup>-8</sup>	3 10 <sup>-6</sup>	10 10 <sup>-6</sup>	20 10 <sup>-6</sup>	10 10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Att (dB/km)	2.0	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.2	
QBER (2 km) <u>R (2km)</u> QBER (25km) <u>R (25km)</u> QBER (50km) R (50km)	0.00006% 79 kHz 0.2% 25 Hz	0.02% 82 kHz 0.12% 13 kHz 0.93% 1.8 kHz	0.04% 123 kHz 0.25% 20 kHz 1.9% 2.7 kHz	0.25% 27 kHz 1.5% 6.7 kHz 11% 0.9 kHz	0.56% 9 kHz 1.6% 3.2 kHz 5% 1 kHz	
D[QBER=15%]	29 km	84 km	76 km	54 km	74 km	
R(D km)	0.3 Hz	110 Hz	330 Hz	670 Hz	333 Hz	



A quantum leap for cryptography

#### QUANTUM KEY DISTRIBUTION (QKD)

Key distribution is a central problem in cryptography. Currently, public key cryptography is commonly used to solve it. However, these algorithms are vulnerable to increasing computer power. In addition, their security has never been formally proven.

Quantum cryptography exploits a (undemental principle of quantum physics - observation causes perturbation - to distribute cryptographic keys with absolute security. Id Quantique is introducing the first quantum key distribution system, which exchanges keys over standard optical fibers.



#### Main features

- First commercial quantum key distribution system
- Key distribution distance: up to 60 km
- Key distribution rate: up to 1000 bits/s
- Compact and reliable

# id Quantique

10, rue Cingria 1205 Genève Switzerland http://www.idquantique.com

## **QKD** with Attenuated Light Sources



## **Single Photon Sources**



## **NV-Centers in diamond**





#### Pulsed excitation of the NV center







#### Scan of the sample (10 x 10 $\mu$ m)

The background light is reduced by photobleaching of the dielectric mirror (only the NV center survives !)

> Excitation rate 5.3 Mhz Useful single photon emission rate : 116 kcps Global emission efficiency : 2.2 %

> > $C_N(0) = 0.07 = 1/14.2$









QIPC / S4P



















Quantum Key Distribution : Results (part of the transmitted key)





Bob

8000 secret bits /s after error correction and privacy amplification (software « QUCRYPT », Louis Salvail) see : http://www.cki.au.dk/experiment/qrypto/doc/

Evaluations of maximum tolerable transmission losses based on security analysis by N. Lütkenhaus, PRA 61, 052304 (2000)



Measurable advantage for this single photon source



\* Essential feature : quantum channel with non-commuting quantum observables -> not restricted to single photon polarization !

#### -> New QKD protocol where :

\* The non-commuting observables are the quadrature operators X and P

\* The transmitted light contains weak coherent pulses (about 100 photons) with a gaussian modulation of amplitude and phase

\* The detection is made using shot-noise limited homodyne detection





# **QKD** protocol using coherent states with gaussian amplitude and phase modulation



OIPC

Efficient transmission of information using continuous variables ? -> Shannon's formula (1948) : the mutual information I<sub>AB</sub> (unit : bit / symbol) for a gaussian channel with additive noise is given by

 $I_{AB} = 1/2 \log_2 \left[ 1 + V(\text{signal}) / V(\text{noise}) \right]$ 

(a) Alice chooses  $X_A$  and  $P_A$  within two random gaussian distributions.

- (b) Alice sends to Bob the coherent state  $|X_A + iP_A\rangle$
- (c) Bob measures either  $X_B$  or  $P_B$
- (d) Bob and Alice agree on the basis choice (X or P), and keep the relevant values.





#### **Data Transmission between Alice and Bob**



At the end of the quantum exchange Alice and Bob share correlated strings of continuous data, from which they have to extract correlated bits.

Shannon's formula gives the maximum number of extractable bits, but this is an asymptotic value that requires adequate data processing ->

Optimized extraction method : "sliced reconciliation" :

N.J. Cerf, M. Lévy and G. Van Assche, PRA 63, 052311 (2001).









Secret key transmission : predicted results

Ideal SK rate : based on the error rate only, assumes perfect sofware efficiency (= ideal data extraction, reconciliation, and privacy amplification)

	V <sub>A</sub>	T <sub>line</sub>	I <sub>BA</sub>	$I_{BE}$ (% of $I_{BA}$ )	Ideal SK rate	Practical SK rate
	40.7	1	2.39	0%	1920 kb/s	
	37.6	0.79	2.17	58%	730 kb/s	?
	31.3	0.68	1.93	67%	510 kb/s	
	26.0	0.49	1.66	72%	370 kb/s	
in shot- noise units p		bits/ pulse		Corres pulse	ponding to a rate 800 kHz	







# **Coherent state QKD : results**



QIPC

Secret key transmission : final results (after privacy amplification) « Realistic » hypothesis : Eve cannot exploit the noise of the homodyne detection

	V <sub>A</sub>	T <sub>line</sub>	I <sub>BA</sub>	$I_{BE}$ (% of $I_{BA}$ )	Ideal SK rate	Practical SK rate
	40.7	0.7 1 2.39		0%	1920 kb/s	1700 kb/s
	37.6	0.79	2.17	58%	730 kb/s	470 kb/s
	31.3	0.68	1.93	67%	510 kb/s	185 kb/s
	26.0	0.49	1.66	72%	370 kb/s	75 kb/s
in shot- noise units			bits/ pulse		Corres pulse	ponding to a rate 800 kHz



# Conclusion





# Single photon quantum cryptography : PRL 89, 187901 (2002)

- \* Photostable at room temperature, very small  $g^{(2)}(0) = 0.07$
- \* Collection efficiency 2.2% (may be improved ...)
- \* Distance 50m with an error rate 4.6%
- \* Secure bit transmission rate (no loss) : 5 to 8 kbit/sec
- \* Quantitative advantage with respect to weak pulses

# Coherent states QKD demonstrator : Nature 421, 238 (2003)

- \* At short distance / low loss very high bit rate are accessible (1.7 Mbit/sec observed without optimization, may be improved at least 10 times)
- \* Secure bit transmission rate @ 3.1 dB loss : 75 kbit/sec
- \* Competitive against faint pulses ? Test @ 1550 nm required