Well balanced ALE : SIMPLE (LAZY MAN'S) TIME DEPENDENT MESH ADAPTATION FOR BALANCE LAWS

> L. Arpaia and <u>M. Ricchiuto</u> Inria BSO - Team CARDAMOM



Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach, September 14-19 2015

MODEL EQUATIONS

Seek approximate solutions of

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x})) \tag{1}$$

#### **REMARKS** Equation (1) assumed to admit non-trivial steady equilibria characterized by

 $\eta(u,g)=\eta_0=\mathrm{const}$ 

## MODEL EQUATIONS

Seek approximate solutions of

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x})) \tag{1}$$

#### **EXAMPLE** Shallow water flow : $\eta_0 = H(x) + b(x)$



## MODEL EQUATIONS

Seek approximate solutions of

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x})) \tag{1}$$

on an unstructured mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h$ .



DISCRETE EQUATION

$$V_i u_i^{n+1} - V_i u_i^n + \Delta t \oint_{\partial V_i} \widehat{F}(u^n) \cdot \vec{n} = \Delta t \, \Sigma_i(u^n, g)$$

MODEL EQUATION

Seek approximate solutions of

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x})) \tag{1}$$

on a time dependent unstructured mesh  $\mathcal{T}_h(t)$ .



 $\mathcal{T}_h(t=t_2)$ 



## Building blocks

- 1. Discrete model for  $\mathcal{T}_h(t)$  : Time dependent mesh adaptation
- 2. Steady equilibria on moving meshes : Well balanced ALE
- 3. Coupling strategy : projection and evolution or ALE ?

#### 1. TIME DEPENDENT MESH ADAPTATION

- Alauzet et al JCP 222, 2007 : re-mesh and adapt to all solutions in a given time slab
- Guardone et al JCP 230, 2011 : continuous deformation model for re-mesh, ALE projection (variable topology)
- Alauzet Eng.w.Computers 30, 2014 : continuous deformation model for re-mesh, ALE projection (variable topology)
- Tang and Tang SINUM 41, 2003 (conservation laws+adaptation) : continuous deformation with fixed mesh topology : constant data structure
- Baker et al. 2005 (compressible flow+moving bodies) : elastic deformation with fixed mesh topology : constant data structure
- etc. etc

## Mesh adaptation by continuous deformation

'¥



## TIME DEPENDENT MESH ADAPTATION BY CONTINUOUS DEFORMATION

#### Elliptic mesh movement

Given the mesh in the reference frame  $\vec{X} = (X_1, X_2)$ , seek  $\vec{x} = \vec{x}(\vec{X})$  such that

$$abla_{ec{X}} \cdot \left( \omega(
abla_{ec{x}} u) \, 
abla_{ec{X}} ec{x} 
ight) = \mathsf{bc.s}$$

- Elliptic non-linear system of equations for the mapped (new) point positions  $\vec{x}$
- Nonlinear monitor  $\omega = \omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}}u)$  :

$$\omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_h) = \sqrt{1 + \alpha \nabla u^*}, \quad \nabla u^* = \min\left(1, \frac{\|\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_h\|^2}{\beta^2 \max_i \|\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_i\|^2}\right)$$

## TIME DEPENDENT MESH ADAPTATION BY CONTINUOUS DEFORMATION

ELLIPTIC MESH MOVEMENT In terms of displacements  $\vec{\delta}=\vec{x}-\vec{X}$  and force  $\vec{F}=-\mathbf{I}_2\cdot \nabla_X \omega$ 

$$\nabla_X \cdot \left( \omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}} u_h) \, \nabla_{\vec{X}} \vec{\delta} \right) = \vec{F} + \mathsf{bc.s}$$

- Elliptic non-linear system of equations for displacements  $\vec{\delta}$
- ▶ Nonlinear monitor  $\omega = \omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}}u)$  controlling stiffness and force

$$\omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_h) = \sqrt{1 + \alpha \nabla u^*}, \quad \nabla u^* = \min\left(1, \frac{\|\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_h\|^2}{\beta^2 \max_i \|\nabla_{\vec{x}}u_i\|^2}\right)$$

#### TIME DEPENDENT MESH ADAPTATION BY CONTINUOUS DEFORMATION

Elliptic mesh movement : in practice

1. Elliptic PDE discretized on the reference mesh  $\vec{X}$  with  $P^1$  Galerkin FEM :

$$\sum_{j} \kappa_{ij}(\vec{\delta}) \vec{\delta}_{j} = f_{i}(\vec{\delta}) \quad \forall i$$

with  $\kappa_{ij}(\delta)$  the FEM stiffness matrix

2. Solution algorithm : relaxed Newton-Jacobi iterations

$$\vec{\delta}_i^{k+1} = \vec{\delta}_i^k - \frac{\sum\limits_{j \neq i} \kappa_{ij}^k \vec{\delta}_j^k - f_i}{\kappa_{ii}^k}$$
$$\vec{x}_{k+1} = \vec{x}_k + \mu \vec{\delta}^{k+1}$$

## Remarks

- ▶ At each iteration the FEM stiffness matrix  $\kappa^k_{ij}$  depends on  $\nabla_{\vec{x}_k} u_h$  via  $\omega$
- At each iteration we need to compute  $u_h(\vec{x}_k)$ , the projection of the function u on the mesh  $\vec{x}_k$

 $k_{\max}$  iterations -  $k_{\max}$  projections

2. Well balanced schemes on moving meshes

▶ ref ????



## Well balanced ALE = Well balanced + ALE



#### Well balanced discretizations on fixed meshes

- Bermúdez and M.E. Vázquez, Computers and Fluids 23, 1994
- Greenberg and Leroux, SINUM 33, 1996
- Hubbard and Garcia-Navaro, J.Comput.Phys 165, 2000
- etc etc etc



Well balanced discretizations on fixed meshes

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

Assumed to admit non-trivial steady equilibria  $abla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x}))$  characterized by

 $\eta(u,g) = \eta_0 = \text{const}$ 



Well balanced discretizations on fixed meshes

$$V_i u_i^{n+1} - V_i u_i^n + \Delta t \oint_{\partial V_i} \widehat{F}(u^n) \cdot \vec{n} = \Delta t \, \Sigma_i(u^n, g(\vec{x}))$$

Is well-balanced if

$$\eta_i(u^0,g) = \eta_0 = \text{const} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \begin{cases} \eta_i(u^n,g) = \eta_0 \\ u_i^{n+1} = u_i^n = u_i^0 \end{cases} \quad \forall n > 0$$



#### Well balanced discretizations on fixed meshes

Compatibility :

έ

$$\oint_{W_i} \widehat{F}(u^n) = \Sigma_i(u^n, g(\vec{x})) \quad \iff \quad \eta_i(u^n, g) = \eta_0 \ \forall \, n > 0$$

- Exact discrete analog of  $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$
- General strategies to satisfy this contraint : research topic<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>There exist N well balanced schemes... with N very very large..



## ALE RECAP FOR $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$

- ► Farahat et al IJNMF 21 1995 ;
- Lesoinne and Farahat, CMAME 134, 1996 ;
- Farahat et al JCP 174 2001
- etc.



#### ALE RECAP FOR $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$ Definitions :

Deformation speed

$$\sigma = \frac{d\vec{x}}{dt}$$

Deformation Jacobian

$$J = \det \frac{\partial \vec{x}}{\partial \vec{X}}$$

Volume :

$$V(t) = \int_{V(t)} d\vec{x} = \int_{V(t=0)} J \, d\vec{X}$$



#### ALE RECAP FOR $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$

Main results :

▶ Geometric Conservation Law (GCL, evolution of volume) :

$$\partial_t J \big|_{\vec{X}} = J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma$$

Conservation law in ALE form (ALE-CL) :

$$\partial_t (Ju) |_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma u) = 0$$

#### FUNDAMENTAL RELATION ALE-CL reduces to GCL for constant u!!!!



## ALE RECAP FOR $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$

Discretization of ALE-CL, e.g. explicit FV on cell  $V_i$ :

$$V_i^{n+1}u_i^{n+1} - V_i^n u_i^n + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)}^{\partial V_i(t)} \left(\widehat{F}(u^n) - \widehat{\sigma u}^n\right) \cdot \vec{n}(t) = 0$$

 $\blacktriangleright\ \widehat{F}(u)$  and  $\widehat{\sigma u}\ {\rm FV}$  numerical fluxes consistent with  ${\cal F}(u)$  and  $\sigma u$ 

Discrete point diplacement speed

$$\sigma_i = \frac{\vec{x}_i^{n+1} - \vec{x}_i^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{\vec{\delta}_i}{\Delta t}$$



## ALE RECAP FOR $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$

Discretization of ALE-CL, e.g. explicit FV on cell  $V_i$ :

$$V_i^{n+1}u_i^{n+1} - V_i^n u_i^n + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)}^{\partial V_i(t)} \left(\widehat{F}(u^n) - \widehat{\sigma}\widehat{u}^n\right) \cdot \vec{n}(t) = 0$$

#### FUNDAMENTAL RELATION : DISCRETE-GCL

To be consistent with a constant state, for  $u = u_0$ , the scheme MUST reduce to the identity

$$u_0\left(V_i^{n+1} - V_i^n - \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)} \widehat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}(t)\right) = 0$$



ALE RECAP FOR  $\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = 0$ 

Discrete-GCL is the compatibility :

$$V_i^{n+1} - V_i^n = \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)} \hat{\sigma} \cdot \vec{n}(t) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad u_i^n = u_0 \; \forall \, n > 0$$

- Exact discrete analog of  $\partial_t J \Big|_{\vec{X}} = J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma$
- General strategies to satisfy this contraint : research topic<sup>2</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>There exist N ways to get the DGCL.. with N not so large ...



#### ALE FOR A BALANCE LAW

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, \, g(\vec{x}))$$

admitting a steady state characterized by

$$\eta(u,g) = \eta_0 = \text{const} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$



ALE FOR A BALANCE LAW

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

#### STRAIGHTFORWARD APPLICATION OF ALE THEORY

$$\partial_t (Ju) \big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma u) = J \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

plus the GCL

$$\partial_t J \big|_{\vec{X}} = J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma$$



ALE FOR A BALANCE LAW

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

#### STRAIGHTFORWARD APPLICATION OF ALE THEORY

$$\partial_t (Ju) \big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma u) = J \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

plus the GCL

$$\partial_t J \big|_{\vec{X}} = J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma$$

Take now  $\eta(u,g) = \eta_0 = \text{const} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} = \boldsymbol{\mathcal{S}}$  and combine these two relations



ALE FOR A BALANCE LAW

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

## STRAIGHTFORWARD APPLICATION OF ALE THEORY If we take $\eta(u,g) = \eta_0 = \text{const} \Rightarrow \nabla \cdot \mathcal{F} = S$ and using both relations above

$$J\partial_t u \big|_{\vec{X}} - J\sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} u = 0$$

is this true ?



#### ALE FOR A BALANCE LAW

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

# STRAIGHTFORWARD APPLICATION OF ALE THEORY

Yes (!!) since in the moving frame and for  $\eta(u,g) = \eta_0 = {\sf const}$  :

$$\partial_t g \big|_{\vec{X}} = \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} g$$

and

$$0 = \partial_t \eta \big|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} \eta = \partial_u \eta (\partial_t u \big|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} u) + \partial_g \eta (\underbrace{\partial_t g \big|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} g}_{=0})$$

Standard ALE :

$$\partial_t (Ju) |_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma u) = J \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

#### PROBLEMATIC EQUILIBRIUM ON MOVING MESHES

Discretize the standard ALE and set  $\eta = u + F(g(\vec{x})) = \eta_0 = \text{const}$ 

$$J(\partial_t u \big|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} u) + u \underbrace{(\partial_t J \big|_{\vec{X}} - \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma)}_{\mathsf{DGCL}} + J \underbrace{(\nabla_{\vec{x}} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{S})}_{\mathsf{Well Balanced}} = 0$$

A scheme which *verifies the DGCL*, and which is *well balanced* on fixed meshes, will not be on moving meshes. The error is related to the discretization of the term

$$\partial_t u \Big|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} u$$

embedded in the discrete equations ....



#### A particular case

Assume that the steady balance is described by the invariant

$$\eta(u,g) = u + F(g) \Rightarrow \partial \eta = \partial u + F'(g)\partial g$$

MODIFIED ALE FORM



#### A particular case

Assume that the steady balance is described by the invariant

$$\eta(u,g) = u + F(g) \Rightarrow \partial \eta = \partial u + F'(g)\partial g$$

## MODIFIED ALE FORM

Start from the "straightforward" ALE form

$$\partial_t (Ju) \Big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma u) = J \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

and add the following quantities (both equal to zero) :

$$F(g)\underbrace{\left(\partial_t J\big|_{\vec{X}} - J\nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma\right)}_{\text{GCL}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad F'(g)\underbrace{\left(J\partial_t g\big|_{\vec{X}} - J\sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}}g\right)}_{\text{Local time variation in moving frame}} = 0$$



#### A particular case

Assume that the steady balance is described by the invariant

$$\eta(u,g) = u + F(g) \Rightarrow \partial \eta = \partial u + F'(g)\partial g$$

#### MODIFIED ALE FORM WELL BALANCED ALE formulation

$$\partial_t (J\eta) \big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\mathcal{F}(u) - \sigma \eta) = J \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

## A particular case

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \mathcal{S}(u, g(\vec{x}))$$

Assume that the steady balance is described by the invariant

$$\eta(u,g) = u + F(g) \Rightarrow \partial \eta = \partial u + F'(g)\partial g$$

#### EQUILIBRIUM ON MOVING MESHES

• WELL BALANCED ALE for  $\eta = u + F(g(\vec{x})) = \eta_0$ 

$$J \underbrace{\left(\partial_t \eta_0 \middle|_{\vec{X}} - \sigma \cdot \nabla_{\vec{x}} \eta_0\right)}_{\mathsf{DGCL}} + \eta_0 \underbrace{\left(\partial_t J \middle|_{\vec{X}} - \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot \sigma\right)}_{\mathsf{DGCL}} + J \underbrace{\left(\nabla_{\vec{x}} \mathcal{F} - \mathcal{S}\right)}_{\mathsf{Well Balanced}} = 0$$

A scheme which is well balanced on fixed meshes will also be on moving meshes provided it verifies the DGCL

## PUTTING IT TOGETHER

# 3. ADAPTATION-DISCRETIZATION COUPLING : ALE-REMAP VS ALE Tang and Tang, *SINUM 2003* - Xu et al. *J.Comput.Phys* 2013

# DPE METHOD



Deformation-Projection-Evolution
# DPE METHOD



- ▶ To get  $\vec{x}_i^{n+1}$ : nonlinear elliptic deformation eq. solved with initial guess  $\vec{x}_i^n$
- k<sub>max</sub> Jacobi iterations are performed
- $\blacktriangleright$  To compute  $\omega(\nabla_{\vec{x}} u)$  we need to define a projection to get  $u^n$  onto each  $x_k^{n+1}$  (important bit)

# DPE METHOD



The scheme is applied on the fixed mesh as if no adaptation was used at all

- 1. Conservation requires the projection step needs to be conservative
- 2. Second order of accuracy requires the projection step to be second order accurate
- 3. Monotonicity requires the projection step needs to be monotone

Cost of the projection step ?

# DPE method

# $$\begin{split} & \textbf{ALE REMAP} \\ & \textbf{FV scheme for } \partial_t (J\eta) \big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} - \sigma \eta) = J \mathcal{S} \end{split}$$

$$V_{i}^{n+1}\eta_{i}^{n+1} - V_{i}^{n}\eta_{i}^{n} + \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_{i}(t)} \widehat{F}(u^{n}) \cdot \vec{n}(t) - \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_{i}(t)} \widehat{\sigma}\widehat{\eta}^{n} \cdot \vec{n}(t) = \int_{t^{n}}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{i}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{$$

# DPE METHOD

#### 

$$\sigma = \frac{\vec{x}^{n+1} - \vec{x}^n}{\Delta t} = \frac{\vec{\delta}}{\Delta t}$$

and  $\vec{\delta}$  given from the current mesh deformation step

# DPE method

# $$\begin{split} & \textbf{ALE REMAP} \\ & \textbf{FV scheme for } \partial_t (J\eta) \big|_{\vec{X}} + J \nabla_{\vec{x}} \cdot (\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} - \sigma \eta) = J \mathcal{S} \end{split}$$

$$V_i^{n+1}\eta_i^{n+1} - V_i^n\eta_i^n + \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)} \widehat{F}(u^n) \cdot \vec{n} - \frac{1}{\Delta t} \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \int_{\partial V_i(t)} \widehat{\delta\eta}^n \cdot \vec{n} = \int_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{t^n} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{t^n} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}}} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n+1}} \sum_{t^n}^{t^{n$$

# DPE METHOD

#### ALE REMAP

Let now  $\Delta t \rightarrow 0$  and keep the displacement  $\delta$  finite to get the projection

$$V_i^{n+1}\eta_i^{n+1} - V_i^n\eta_i^n + \int\limits_{\partial V_i^n} \widehat{\delta\eta}^n \cdot \vec{n} = 0$$

- 1. Conservative high order and well balanced projection obtained from a conservative high order well balanced scheme
- 2. Same cost of discretisation of scalar advection equation
- 3. Repeated at each Jacobi iteration and for each variable : costly for high order with limiter (see next)

Can we do better ?

# DPE METHOD



Deformation-Projection-Evolution

# DALE METHOD



#### Deformation-ALE evolution

# DALE METHOD



The ALE evolution guarantees that the overall algorithm is

- 1. Conservative
- 2. Second order accurate
- 3. Monotone

The projection step can be simplified considerably...

## NUMERICAL EXAMPLES : SCHEMES IMPLEMENTED

### FINITE VOLUME

- Std well balanced Roe scheme (Bermudez-Vazquez, Computers & Fluids 1994)
- Muscl reconstruction with van Albada limiter
- Second order SSP Runge Kutta integration
- ▶ ALE formulation following e.g. (Farahat et al JCP 174, 2001)

#### RESIDUAL DISTRIBUTION

- Second order, positivity preserving, well balanced approach proposed in (Ricchiuto J.Comput.Phys. 2015)
- ALE extension proposed in (Arpaia, Ricchiuto, Abgrall J.Sci.Comp. 2014) for compressible gas dyn.

# Scalar balance law mimicking the SW equations

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \vec{a}(u) \cdot \nabla g(\vec{x})$$

For  $\vec{a}(u) = \partial_u \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}$  we have a simple steady state invariant :

$$\eta = u + g(\vec{x})$$

## EXAMPLE 1 : LINEAR TRANSPORT WITH SOURCE

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \vec{a} \cdot \nabla g(\vec{x})$$

with

$$\vec{\mathcal{F}} = \vec{a}u, \ g = 0.8e^{-50(x-0.5)^2 - 5(y-0.9)^2)}, \ \text{ and } \vec{a}(\vec{x}) = (0, 1)$$

with initial solution (  $r^2 = (x-0.5)^2 + (y-0.5)^2$  )

$$\eta = 1 + \psi(x,y), \quad \psi = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \cos^2(2\pi r) & \quad \text{if } r < 1/4 \\ 0 & \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

solved on  $[0,1]\times [0,2]$  superimposing the time dependent mapping

$$\begin{cases} x = X + 0.1\sin(2\pi X)\sin(\pi Y)\sin(2\pi t) \\ y = Y + 0.2\sin(2\pi X)\sin(\pi Y)\sin(4\pi t) \end{cases}$$

# Example 1 : Linear transport with source

### Mesh movement (t = 0, 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 1)



# Example 1 : Linear transport with source

Results with linear second order RD scheme



# Example 2: rigid body rotation with source

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}} = \vec{a} \cdot \nabla g(\vec{x})$$

with

$$ec{m{\mathcal{F}}} = ec{a}(ec{x})u, \;\; g = 0.6e^{-5(x^2+y^2)}, \;\;$$
 and  $ec{a}(ec{x}) = (y, -x)$ 

with initial solution (  $r^2=(x+0.5)^2+y^2)$ 

$$\eta = 1 + \psi(x, y), \quad \psi = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \cos^2(2\pi r) & \quad \text{if } r < 1/4 \\ 0 & \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

solved on  $[-1,1]^2$  testing both the DPE and DALE approaches.

## Example 2: Rigid body rotation with source



Initial

Ofter one rotation

# Example 2: rigid body rotation with source

#### GRID CONVERGENCE : ERROR VS CPU TIME



# Example 3 : nonlinear balance law

$$\partial_t u + \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}(u) = \vec{a}(u) \cdot \nabla g(\vec{x})$$

with

$$\vec{\mathcal{F}} = (u^2/2, \, u^2/2), \ g = 0.6e^{-5(x^2+y^2)}, \ \text{ and } \vec{a}(u) = (u, u)$$

with initial solution (  $r^2=(x+0.5)^2+y^2)$ 

$$\eta=1+\psi(x,y), \ \ \psi=\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1.4 & \quad \mbox{if} \ \vec{x}\in[-0.9,-0.2]^2\\ 0.8 & \quad \mbox{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

solved on  $[-1,1]^2$  testing both the DPE and DALE approaches.

# Example 3 : nonlinear balance law

## DPE RESULTS FOR FV



# Example 3 : nonlinear balance law

## DALE RESULTS FOR FV



Simplified central 2nd order proj.



CPU gain roughly 30% w.r.t DPE

#### STANDARD FORM Used in the DPE algorithm

$$\partial_t \left[ \begin{array}{c} H \\ \vec{q} \end{array} \right] + \nabla \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \vec{q} \\ \vec{u} \otimes \vec{q} + g \frac{H^2}{2} \end{array} \right] + g H \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ \nabla b \end{array} \right] = 0$$

# WELL BALANCED ALE FORM Used in the DALE algorithm

$$\partial_t \left[ \begin{array}{c} J\eta\\ J\vec{q} \end{array} \right] + J\nabla \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \vec{q} - \sigma\eta\\ \vec{u} \otimes \vec{q} + g\frac{H^2}{2} - \sigma \otimes \vec{q} \end{array} \right] + JgH \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ \nabla b \end{array} \right] = 0$$

# PERTURBATION OVER SMOOTH BATHYMETRY Over the domain $[0,2]\times[0,1]$ take

$$b(x,y) = 0.8e^{-50(x-0.9)^2 - 5(y-0.5)^2}$$

and set as initial solution still flow and free surface level

$$\eta = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 1.01 & \quad \text{if } 0.05 \leq x \leq 0.15 \\ 1 & \quad \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

# SHALLOW WATER RESULTS WITH RD

## PERTURBATION OVER SMOOTH BATHYMETRY



## Shallow water results with RD

## PERTURBATION OVER SMOOTH BATHYMETRY



## SHALLOW WATER RESULTS WITH RD

#### Perturbation over smooth bathymetry



DALE : 260[s]

# SHALLOW WATER RESULTS WITH RD

#### 

$$H_{\text{left}} = 10[m]$$
 and  $H_{\text{right}} = 5[m]$ 

## DAM BREAK

DPE with second order projection





۲



DAM BREAK : FV SCHEMES

DPE : 150[s] (Simplified DPE: 111[s]) DALE : 100[s]

#### Dam break : RD schemes



DALE : 77[s]

۲

1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley)

# Shallow water results 1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley): RD





# Shallow water results 1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley): RD





# Shallow water results 1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley): RD





## 1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley): Runup plot



14k-Elements



37k-Elements

## 1993 Okushiri Tsunami (Monai Valley): runup plot



16k-Elements

## CONCLUSIONS AND PERSPECTIVES

## SUMMARY

- Constant topology adaptation by deformation
- Well balanced ALE formulation
- Improved treatment by ALE evolution

#### Perspectives and work in progress

- 3D, elasticity, anisotropic formulations, coupling with re-meshing (with. C. Dobrzynski)
- Nonlinear mesh PDEs, high order meshes (with. C. Dobrzynski and R. Abgrall)
- Coupling with uncertainty quantification : adapt w.r.t. sensitivities (with P. Congedo)
- Multi-step schemes, implicit and local time stepping, etc etc etc