

# Hydrodynamic limit for granular gases: from Boltzmann equation to some modified Navier-Stokes-Fourier system

BERTRAND LODS

UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI TORINO & COLLEGIO CARLO ALBERTO

Joint work with Ricardo J. Alonso (Texas A&M Univ. at Qatar) & Isabelle Tristani (ENS, Paris, PSL-Université)

arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05173>

## Scope of the talk

Provide a (first) rigorous derivation of suitable Navier-Stokes hydrodynamic model from rapid granular flows described by the Boltzmann equation with *inelastic hard spheres*.

This is done by establishing

- A suitable Cauchy theory for close-to-equilibrium solutions for the Boltzmann equation with inelastic interactions.
- Identify the exact regime of weak inelasticity.
- Obtain estimates for the solutions which are uniform with respect to the Knudsen number (including *exponential stability*).
- Derive a new Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with self-consistent forcing terms and subject to Boussinesq relation. Model seems new in this context.

## Introduction

Inelastic collisions

The Boltzmann equation for granular gases

## Main results

Setting of the problem

Main results

## Strategy of proof

Linearized analysis – Spectral theory

Nonlinear theory

Hydrodynamic limit

## Granular gases

A granular material is a substance made of grains (!!), i.e. system of discrete particles characterized by the following features:

- Grains are **macroscopic particles** described by the rules of classical mechanics;
  - the grains interaction (with each other or some background, boundaries...) are **dissipative**: friction is always a relevant phenomena and collisions are **inelastic**.
  - **Rapid granular flows** described by suitable modifications of the **Boltzmann equation** which takes into account the *inelasticity* of collisions.
- ▶ Because of the frictional nature of granular gases, only hard-spheres interactions are physically relevant.

Ref.: I. Goldhirsch (1999), Pöschel & Brilliantov (2004), Garzò (2014)

No consensus on the limiting system that can derived from Boltzmann equation in the physics community.

*“the context of the hydrodynamic equations remains uncertain. What are the relevant space and time scales? How much inelasticity can be described in this way?” Brey & Dufty (2005).*



## Inelastic collisions

Then, the post-collisional velocities  $v'$ ,  $v'_*$  can be expressed as

$$v' = v - \frac{1+e}{2} (u \cdot n) n \quad v'_* = v_* + \frac{1+e}{2} (u \cdot n) n$$

which satisfies the conservation of momentum

$$v + v_* = v' + v'_*.$$

However, microscopic kinetic energy is dissipated since

$$|v'|^2 + |v'_*|^2 - |v|^2 - |v_*|^2 = -\frac{1-e^2}{2} |u \cdot n|^2 \leq 0.$$

## Restitution coefficient

The restitution coefficient encodes all the microscopic properties of the inelastic collision mechanism. There are mainly two kinds of coefficients used in the mathematical and physics literature

- Constant restitution coefficient:  $e$  does not depend on the impact velocity

$$e = \alpha \in (0, 1].$$

- Variable restitution coefficient:  $e$  depends on the (normal) relative velocity:

$$e = e(|u \cdot n|)$$

for some suitable function

$$e : \mathbb{R}^+ \mapsto e(r) \in (0, 1].$$

A particularly relevant example is the one of “visco-elastic hard-spheres” for which

$$e(r) + ar^{1/5}e(r)^{3/5} = 1 \quad \forall r > 0.$$

## Boltzmann collision operator for inelastic hard spheres

In weak-form

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(g, f)(v) \psi(v) dv = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} f(v) g(v_*) |v - v_*| \mathcal{A}_\alpha[\psi](v, v_*) dv_* dv,$$

where

$$\mathcal{A}_\alpha[\psi](v, v_*) = \int_{\mathbb{S}^{d-1}} (\psi(v') + \psi(v'_*) - \psi(v) - \psi(v_*)) b(\sigma \cdot \hat{u}) d\sigma,$$

and the post-collisional velocities  $(v', v'_*)$  are given by

$$v' = v + \frac{1+\alpha}{4} (|u|\sigma - u), \quad v'_* = v_* - \frac{1+\alpha}{4} (|u|\sigma - u),$$

where  $u = v - v_*, \quad \hat{u} = \frac{u}{|u|}.$



## The Boltzmann equation

We consider here the (freely cooling) Boltzmann equation for *inelastic collisions*:

$$\partial_t F(t, x, v) + v \cdot \nabla_x F(t, x, v) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(F, F)$$

supplemented with initial condition  $F(0, x, v) = F_{\text{in}}(x, v)$ .

- $F(t, x, v)$  density of granular particles having position  $x \in \mathbb{T}_\ell^d$  and velocity  $v \in \mathbb{R}^d$  at time  $t \geq 0$  and  $d \geq 2$ .
- We consider the case of *flat torus*

$$\mathbb{T}_\ell^d = \mathbb{R}^d / (2\pi \ell \mathbb{Z})^d$$

for some typical length-scale  $\ell > 0$ .

Conservation of mass and momentum implies

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{R}(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}_\ell^d} F(t, x, v) dv dx = 0,$$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{U}(t) := \frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}_\ell^d} v F(t, x, v) dv dx = 0.$$

No loss of generality in assuming that

$$\mathbf{R}(t) = \mathbf{R}(0) = 1, \quad \mathbf{U}(t) = \mathbf{U}(0) = 0 \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

The *granular temperature*

$$\mathbf{T}(t) := \frac{1}{|\mathbb{T}_\ell^d|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}_\ell^d} |v|^2 F(t, x, v) dv dx$$

is constantly decreasing

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{T}(t) = -(1 - \alpha^2) \mathcal{D}_\alpha(F(t), F(t)) \leq 0, \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

Here  $\mathcal{D}_\alpha(g, g)$  denotes the **normalised energy dissipation** associated to  $\mathcal{Q}_\alpha$  given by

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha(g, g) := \frac{\gamma b}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}_\ell^d} \frac{dx}{|\mathbb{T}_\ell^d|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} g(x, v) g(x, v_*) |v - v_*|^3 dv dv_*$$

## Main consequences

- Cooling of the gas:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} T(t) = 0$$

with some precise rate to be determined ([Haff law](#)) ([S. Mischler, C. Mouhot, 2006–2009](#)).

- No non trivial steady to the (spatially homogeneous) Boltzmann equation

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F(t, \nu) = \delta_0(\nu).$$

- The temperature is the only known Lyapunov functional associated to the equation.

## Navier-Stokes scaling

To capture some hydrodynamic behaviour of the gas, we need to write the above equation in *nondimensional form* introducing the dimensionless Knudsen number

$$\varepsilon := \frac{\text{mean free path}}{\text{spatial length-scale}}$$

which is assumed to be small. **Re-scaled density**

$$F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = F\left(\frac{t}{\varepsilon^2}, \frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v\right), \quad t \geq 0.$$

In this case, we choose for simplicity  $\ell = \varepsilon$ , i.e.

$$F_\varepsilon : \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

with  $\mathbb{T}^d = \mathbb{T}_1^d$ .

$$\varepsilon^2 \partial_t F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(F_\varepsilon, F_\varepsilon), \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

supplemented with initial condition

$$F_\varepsilon(0, x, v) = F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(x, v) = F_{\text{in}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v\right).$$

Conservation of mass and density is preserved under this scaling whereas the cooling of the granular gas is now given by the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{T}_\varepsilon(t) = -\frac{1 - \alpha^2}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{D}_\alpha(F_\varepsilon(t), F_\varepsilon(t)),$$

where

$$\mathbf{T}_\varepsilon(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{T}^d} |v|^2 F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) dv dx.$$

## Self-similar variables

$$\varepsilon^2 \partial_t F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = Q_\alpha(F_\varepsilon, F_\varepsilon), \quad (x, v) \in \mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d,$$

supplemented with initial condition

$$F_\varepsilon(0, x, v) = F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(x, v) = F_{\text{in}}\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}, v\right).$$

Introduce the ansatz

$$F_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = V_\varepsilon(t)^d f_\varepsilon(\tau_\varepsilon(t), x, V_\varepsilon(t)v),$$

with

$$\tau_\varepsilon(t) := \frac{1}{c_\varepsilon} \log(1 + c_\varepsilon t), \quad V_\varepsilon(t) = (1 + c_\varepsilon t), \quad t \geq 0, \quad c_\varepsilon = \frac{1 - \alpha}{\varepsilon^2} > 0.$$

we can prove that  $f_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\varepsilon^2 \partial_t f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \varepsilon v \cdot \nabla_x f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \kappa_\alpha \nabla_v \cdot (v f_\varepsilon(t, x, v)) = Q_\alpha(f_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon),$$

Here,

$$\kappa_\alpha = 1 - \alpha \in (0, 1)$$

► drift term  $\kappa_\alpha \nabla_v \cdot (v f(t, x, v))$  acts as an energy supply which prevents the total cooling down of the gas.

## Self-similar profile

### Theorem (Mischler-Mouhot (2006–2009))

For  $\alpha \in (\alpha_0, 1)$ , there exists a unique solution  $G_\alpha$  to the spatially homogeneous steady equation

$$\kappa_\alpha \nabla_v \cdot (v G_\alpha(v)) = \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(G_\alpha, G_\alpha),$$

with unit mass and zero bulk velocity. Moreover,

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 1^-} \|G_\alpha - \mathcal{M}\|_{L^1(\langle v \rangle^2)} = 0,$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the Maxwellian distribution

$$\mathcal{M}(v) = G_1(v) = (2\pi\vartheta_1)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v|^2}{2\vartheta_1}\right), \quad v \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

for some explicit temperature  $\vartheta_1 > 0$ .

## The problem at stake

Rescaled Boltzmann equation in self-similar variables

$$\partial_t f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \varepsilon^{-1} v \cdot \nabla_x f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \varepsilon^{-2} \kappa_\alpha \nabla_v \cdot (v f_\varepsilon(t, x, v)) = \varepsilon^{-2} Q_\alpha(f_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon),$$

Questions:

1. Well-posedness of the BE.
  - a) In which sense ? (renormalized solutions ?, close-to-equilibrium solutions?)
  - b) Estimates on the solution uniform with respect to  $\varepsilon$ .
2. Convergence of  $f_\varepsilon$  whenever  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .

Do we have, at the limit

$$f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) \simeq G_\alpha(v) + \varepsilon \Phi(v, \varrho(t, x), u(t, x), \theta(t, x))$$

for some universal profile  $\Phi$  and where

$$\varrho(t, x), \theta(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}, \quad u(t, x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

are macroscopic quantities satisfies some hydrodynamic equations.



In the elastic case  $\alpha = 1$ , well-known answers to this problem.

1. Well-posedness established in several frameworks. Ukai (1974), Di Perna & Lions (1989)
2. The hydrodynamic limit is well-understood and

$$\varepsilon^{-1} (f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) - \mathcal{M}(v)) \simeq \frac{\varrho(t, x)}{(2\pi\theta(t, x))^{\frac{d}{2}}} \exp\left(-\frac{|v - u(t, x)|^2}{2\theta(t, x)}\right)$$

with  $\varrho, u, \theta$  solutions to the Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with Boussinesq relation. De Masi, Esposito & Lebowitz (1989), Bardos & Ukai (1991), Bardos, Golse, Levermore (1991), Golse, Saint-Raymond (2004, 2009), Levermore & Masmoudi (2010), Briant (2015).....

To answer these questions, we need to assume that  $\alpha$  depends on  $\varepsilon$  to avoid the explosion of the term  $\frac{1-\alpha}{\varepsilon^2}$ .

### Assumption (Nearly elastic assumption)

*The restitution coefficient  $\alpha(\cdot)$  is a continuously decreasing function of the Knudsen number  $\varepsilon$  satisfying the optimal scaling behaviour*

$$\alpha = 1 - \lambda_0 \varepsilon^2 + o(\varepsilon^2)$$

with  $\lambda_0 \geq 0$ .

Case 1: If  $\lambda_0 = 0$  The elastic regime occurs faster than the hydrodynamic convergence.

Case 2: If  $0 < \lambda_0 < \infty$ , This is the interesting case in which the elastic and hydrodynamic regimes work at the same pace and the limiting equation keeps track of the inelasticity through  $\lambda_0$ .

## Cauchy Theory

Theorem (Existence and estimates – R. Alonso, I. Tristani, B.L. (2021))

*One can construct two suitable Banach spaces  $X_1 \subset X$  such that, for  $\varepsilon, \lambda_0$  and  $\eta_0$  sufficiently small with respect to the initial mass and energy, if*

$$\|F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon - G_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}\|_X \leq \varepsilon \eta_0$$

*then the inelastic Boltzmann equation has a unique solution*

$$f_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}([0, \infty); X) \cap L^1([0, \infty); X_1)$$

*satisfying*

$$\|f_\varepsilon(t) - G_{\alpha(\varepsilon)}\|_X \leq C\varepsilon\eta_0 \exp(-\bar{\lambda}_\varepsilon t), \quad \forall t > 0$$

*for some positive constant  $C > 0$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  and  $-\lambda_\varepsilon < 0$  is the “energy” eigenvalue of the linearized operator,  $\lambda_\varepsilon \simeq \frac{1-\alpha}{\varepsilon^2}$ .*

$X = \mathbb{W}_v^{k,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\langle v \rangle^q)$ ,  $X_1 = \mathbb{W}_v^{k,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\langle v \rangle^{q+1})$  with  $q > 3$ ,  $m > d$ ,  $m - 1 \geq k \geq 0$ .

## Hydrodynamic limit

Under the previous assumptions, set

$$f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = G_\alpha + \varepsilon h_\varepsilon(t, x, v),$$

with  $h_\varepsilon(0, x, v) = h_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon(x, v) = \varepsilon^{-1} (F_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon - G_\alpha)$  such that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \|\pi_0 h_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon - h_0\|_{L_v^1 \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}} = 0,$$

where  $\pi_0$  stands for the projection over the kernel of the **elastic** linearized Boltzmann operator

$$\pi_0 h = \sum_{i=1}^{d+2} \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h \Psi_i dv \right) \Psi_i \mathcal{M} \quad \Psi_{d+2}(v) = \frac{|v|^2 - d\vartheta_1}{\vartheta_1 \sqrt{2d}}$$

$$h_0(x, v) = (\varrho_0(x) + u_0(x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} \theta_0(x) (|v|^2 - d\vartheta_1)) \mathcal{M}(v),$$

with  $\mathcal{M}$  being the Maxwellian distribution (with temperature  $\vartheta_1$ ) and

$$(\varrho_0, u_0, \theta_0) \in [\mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)]^{d+2}$$

## Hydrodynamic limit

Theorem (R. Alonso, I. Tristani, B. L. (2021))

Under these assumptions on the initial datum, for any  $T > 0$ ,  $\{h_\varepsilon\}_\varepsilon$  converges in some weak sense to a limit  $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h}(t, x, v)$  which is such that

$$\mathbf{h}(t, x, v) = \left( \varrho(t, x) + u(t, x) \cdot v + \frac{1}{2} \theta(t, x) (|v|^2 - d\vartheta_1) \right) \mathcal{M}(v),$$

where

$$(\varrho, u, \theta) \in \mathcal{C} \left( [0, T]; [\mathbb{W}_x^{m-2,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)]^{d+2} \right) \cap L^1 \left( (0, T); [\mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)]^{d+2} \right),$$

is solution to the following incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system with forcing

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \frac{\nu}{\vartheta_1} \Delta_x u + \vartheta_1 u \cdot \nabla_x u + \nabla_x p = \lambda_0 u, \\ \partial_t \theta - \frac{\gamma}{\vartheta_1^2} \Delta_x \theta + \vartheta_1 u \cdot \nabla_x \theta = \frac{\lambda_0 \bar{c}}{2(d+2)} \sqrt{\vartheta_1} \theta, \\ \operatorname{div}_x u = 0, \quad \varrho + \vartheta_1 \theta = 0, \end{cases}$$

The above system is subject to initial conditions  $(\varrho_{\text{in}}, u_{\text{in}}, \theta_{\text{in}})$  related to  $(\varrho_0, u_0, \theta_0)$ . The viscosity  $\nu > 0$  and heat conductivity  $\gamma > 0$  are explicit and  $\lambda_0 > 0$  is the parameter appearing in our nearly elastic assumption. The parameter  $\bar{c} > 0$  is depending on the collision kernel  $b(\cdot)$ .

## Remark

- *If  $\lambda_0 = 0$ , then one recovers the classical Navier-Stokes-Fourier system. This confirms that, in this case, the elastic limit first occurs and then the hydrodynamic behaviour of the granular gas is that of a classical one.*
- *If  $\lambda_0 > 0$ , the systems maintains the memory of the inelasticity parameter  $\alpha$  through  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1-\alpha}{\varepsilon^2}$ .*

## Comments

1. Our result is, seemingly, the first result capturing the hydrodynamical limit for granular gases.
2. New Navier-Stokes-Fourier system derived in this context.
3. Approach is perturbative in many aspects.

### Main features of the proof

- Doubly perturbative approach: close-to-equilibrium & close-to-elastic.
- Special role played by spectral theory of the linearized Boltzman model.
- Technical nonlinear estimates.
- Hydrodynamic limit in some perturbative regime.

## Strategy of proof

### Three steps

1. Spectral analysis of the full linearized Boltzmann operator.
2. Nonlinear estimates for small fluctuations.
3. Passage to the limit.



## Study of fluctuations

Introducing

$$f_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = G_\alpha(v) + \varepsilon h_\varepsilon(t, x, v),$$

the fluctuation  $h_\varepsilon$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h_\varepsilon(t, x, v) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} v \cdot \nabla_x h_\varepsilon(t, x, v) - \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{L}_\alpha h_\varepsilon(t, x, v) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_\alpha(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon)(t, x, v), \\ h_\varepsilon(0, x, v) = h_\varepsilon^{\text{in}}(x, v), \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is the linearized collision operator (local in the  $x$ -variable) defined as

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha h = Q_\alpha(h, G_\alpha) + Q_\alpha(G_\alpha, h) - \kappa_\alpha \nabla_v \cdot (vh),$$

Elastic case:  $\mathcal{L}_1$  the usual linearized operator around  $G_1 = \mathcal{M}$

$$\mathcal{L}_1(h) = Q_1(\mathcal{M}, h) + Q_1(h, \mathcal{M}).$$

The (full) linearized operator is then

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h = \varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{L}_\alpha(h) - \varepsilon^{-1} v \cdot \nabla_x h$$

and the Boltzmann equation is re-written as a quasi-linear equation

$$\partial_t h_\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon).$$

Question: Properties of  $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$  ? Spectrum,  $C_0$ -semigroup generation ?

## The elastic case

For  $\alpha = 1$ , properties of  $\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$  are well-known. In a large class of Banach spaces,  $\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}$  is the generator of a  $C_0$ -semigroup and admits a spectral gap.

- Well-known facts in spaces with Maxwellian weights based upon  $L^2_v(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}})$  (on which  $\mathcal{L}_1$  is self-adjoint).
- **Careful study of the spectrum due to Ellis & Pinsky (1975)**. Crucial brick in the study of the Navier-Stokes limit by **Bardos & Ukai (1991)**. Extended recently by **Gallagher, Tristani (2020)**.
- Results extended to smaller spaces **Gualdani, Mischler, Mouhot (2017)**, **Guo (2006)**, **Gervais (2021)**.
- Estimates uniform with respect to  $\varepsilon$  obtained very recently by **Briant, Merino-Aceituno, Mouhot (2019)**.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathcal{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) [h - \mathbf{P}_0 h] \right\|_{\mathbb{W}_v^{s,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{\ell,2}(\langle v \rangle^q)} \\ \leq C_0 \exp(-\mu_* t) \|h - \mathbf{P}_0 h\|_{\mathbb{W}_v^{s,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{\ell,2}(\langle v \rangle^q)}, \quad \forall t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) = \exp(t\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon})$ ,  $\ell \geq s \geq 0$ ,  $q > q^*$ .

$\mathbf{P}_0$  is the spectral projection onto  $\text{Ker}(\mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}) = \text{Ker}(\mathcal{L}_1)$  which is *independent of  $\varepsilon$*

$$\mathbf{P}_0 h = \pi_0 \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} h dx \right).$$

In the elastic case, the nonlinear dynamics occurs on  $\text{Range}(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)$ .

$$\mathbf{P}_0 \mathcal{Q}_1(h, h) = 0$$

So, Duhamel formula says that

$$h_\varepsilon(t) = \mathcal{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t) h_{\text{in}} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathcal{V}_{1,\varepsilon}(t-s) (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) \mathcal{Q}_1(h_\varepsilon(s), h_\varepsilon(s)) ds$$

In the inelastic case, we do not know what could be the equivalent of the spectral projection  $\mathbf{P}_0$  and, more importantly, we do not expect  $\mathcal{Q}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}(h, h)$  to stay on the kernel of this spectral projection.

## Perturbing the elastic case

Goal: exploit this to deduce properties of  $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$ .

Crucial point

$$\|\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} - \mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon}\| = \mathbf{O}\left(\frac{1-\alpha}{\varepsilon^2}\right).$$

► This is not a standard perturbation argument (in the sense of Kato, say) because the domain of  $\mathcal{L}_\alpha$  is much smaller than that of  $\mathcal{L}_1$ .

Not enough to deduce directly any kind of spectral structure.

Theorem (In  $\mathbb{W}_v^{s,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{\ell,2}(\langle v \rangle^q)$   $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \geq 0$ ,  $\ell \geq s$ ,  $q > q^*$ )

For  $\mu_* - \mu > 0$  sufficiently small and  $\varepsilon$  small enough

$$\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}) \cap \{z \in \mathbb{C} ; \operatorname{Re} z \geq -\mu\} = \{\lambda_1(\varepsilon), \dots, \lambda_{d+2}(\varepsilon)\},$$

where  $\lambda_1(\varepsilon) = 0$ ,  $\lambda_j(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^{-2} \kappa_\alpha > 0$ ,  $j = 2, \dots, d+1$ , and

$$\lambda_{d+2}(\varepsilon) = -\lambda_\varepsilon = -\frac{1-\alpha}{\varepsilon^2} + O(\varepsilon^2), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon \simeq 0$$

are eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$  with  $|\lambda_j(\varepsilon)| < \mu_* - \mu$ .

- These eigenvalues are actually associated to the (spatially homogenous) collision operator.
- The negative eigenvalue  $-\lambda_\varepsilon$  will be enough to get the asymptotic stability.
- The difficulty is to prove that, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough, there is nothing more than these eigenvalues.

## Nonlinear equation

We solve the equation

$$\partial_t h_\varepsilon = \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Q_\alpha(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon), \quad h = h_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E} = \mathbb{W}_v^{k,1} \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\langle v \rangle^q).$$

### Main ideas

- The projection  $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon$  associated to the above set of eigenvalues does not kill the collision operator. No nice energy estimates (no symmetry space).
- Splitting of the linearized operator ([Gualdani, Mischler, Mouhot \(2017\)](#)) and introduced by [Tristani \(2016\)](#) for granular gases).

$$\mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\varepsilon}$$

with  $\mathcal{A}$  regularizing in velocity and

$$\mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} + \varepsilon^{-2} \nu_0 \text{ (hypo)-dissipative}$$

- Important: typically,  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  maps continuously  $L_v^1$  into any Sobolev space  $\mathbb{W}_v^{k,p}(\varpi_q)$  for any  $k, p, q$ . **No regularizing effect in spatial variable.**

- Important: typically,  $\mathcal{A}_\alpha$  maps continuously  $L^1_V$  into any Sobolev space  $\mathbb{W}_V^{k,p}(\varpi_q)$  for any  $k, p, q$ . **No regularizing effect in spatial variable.**
- One writes

$$\partial_t h_\varepsilon = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h_\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon)) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathcal{Q}_1(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \mathcal{A} h_\varepsilon$$

The first term is nicely dissipative, the second is not as stiff as expected in the regime we investigate

$$\|\mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h_\varepsilon, h_\varepsilon)\| = O(1 - \alpha).$$

The last two terms are purely elastic ! Even if they are stiff.



We use an approach borrowed from [Briant, Merino-Aceituno, Mouhot \(2019\)](#) and splits our solution

$$h_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon^0 + h_\varepsilon^1$$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t h^0 = \mathcal{B}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h^0 + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h^0, h^0) + \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h^0, h^1) + \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h^1, h^0) \right] \\ \quad + \left[ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha,\varepsilon} h^1 - \mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon} h^1 \right] + \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h^1, h^1) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h^1, h^1) \right], \\ h^0(0) = h_{\text{in}}^\varepsilon \in \mathcal{E}. \end{array} \right.$$

and

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t h^1 = \mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon} h^1 + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_1(h^1, h^1) + \varepsilon^{-2} \mathcal{A}h^0, \\ h^1(0) = 0. \end{array} \right.$$

We look for  $h^1 \in \mathcal{H} = \mathbb{W}_{v,x}^{m,2}(\mathcal{M}^{-1/2})$ . Recall the regularizing effect to  $\mathcal{A}$ !

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t h^0 &= \mathcal{B}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} h^0 + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}(h^0, h^0) + \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}(h^0, h^1) + \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}(h^1, h^0) \right] \\ &+ \left[ \mathcal{G}_{\alpha, \varepsilon} h^1 - \mathcal{G}_{1, \varepsilon} h^1 \right] + \varepsilon^{-1} \left[ \mathcal{Q}_{\alpha}(h^1, h^1) - \mathcal{Q}_1(h^1, h^1) \right], \\ h^0(0) &= h_{\text{in}}^{\varepsilon} \in \mathcal{E}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} &\leq -\varepsilon^{-2} \nu_0 \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} + C\varepsilon^{-1} \left( \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \right) \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \\ &+ C(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-2} \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_2} + C(1-\alpha)\varepsilon^{-1} \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2 \end{aligned}$$

with  $\mathcal{E}_2 \subset \mathcal{E}_1 \subset \mathcal{E}$ . Choosing, for  $\varepsilon$  small enough,

$$\nu_0 - \varepsilon C \left( \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} + \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}_1} \right) \geq \mu_0 > 0$$

we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{E}} &\lesssim \|h^0(0)\|_{\mathcal{E}} e^{-\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon^2} t} + \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon^2}(t-s)} \|h^1(s)\|_{\mathcal{E}_2} ds \\ &+ \varepsilon \lambda_{\varepsilon} \int_0^t e^{-\frac{\mu_0}{\varepsilon^2}(t-s)} \|h^1(s)\|_{\mathcal{E}_2}^2 ds. \end{aligned}$$

with  $\lambda_{\varepsilon} \simeq \frac{1-\alpha(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}$ .

## Estimating $h^1$

Difficulty: how to apply the spectral projection  $\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon$  associated to the energy eigenvalue  $-\lambda_\varepsilon$  ?

We cheat a bit and apply the one associated to the elastic operator:

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_0 h(t) = \mathbf{P}_0 \mathcal{G}_\varepsilon h(t) + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \mathbf{P}_0 \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, h)$$

with

$$\mathbf{P}_0 [\mathcal{G}_\varepsilon h(t)] \simeq -\lambda_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_0 h(t) + O\left(\frac{1-\alpha(\varepsilon)}{\varepsilon^2}\right) \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) h(t)\|_\varepsilon \quad t \geq 0$$

and

$$\|\mathbf{P}_0 \mathcal{Q}_\alpha(h, h)\|_\varepsilon \simeq (1-\alpha) |\mathcal{D}_\alpha(h, h)| \|\phi_0\|_\varepsilon \lesssim (1-\alpha) \|h\|_\varepsilon^2$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{P}_0 h^1(t)\|_\varepsilon &\lesssim \|\mathbf{P}_0 h(0)\|_\varepsilon e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon t} + \|h^0(t)\|_\varepsilon \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \lambda_\varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon(t-s)} \left( \|h^1(s)\|_\varepsilon^2 + \|h^0(s)\|_\varepsilon^2 \right) ds \\ &\quad + \lambda_\varepsilon \int_0^t e^{-\lambda_\varepsilon(t-s)} \left( \|h^0(s)\|_\varepsilon + \|(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) h^1(s)\|_\varepsilon \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

## Estimating $\Psi(t) = (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0)h^1(t)$

$$\partial_t \Psi = \mathcal{G}_{1,\varepsilon} \Psi + \varepsilon^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_1(h^1, h^1) + \varepsilon^{-2} (\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{P}_0) A h^0,$$

Known estimates for the elastic semigroup on the “symmetric space”  $\mathcal{H}$

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|\Psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq -c_0 \|\Psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + C \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}_1}^2 + \varepsilon^{-2} \|\Psi(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}} \|\mathbf{P}_0^\perp h^0(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

with  $\mathcal{H}_1 \subset \mathcal{H}$ . Then, we conclude with a Gronwall argument, that for any  $r \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$\|h^1(t)\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \leq C \eta_0 \exp(-2(1-r)\lambda_\varepsilon t), \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

## Hydrodynamic limit

### Theorem (Peculiar weak convergence)

Fix  $T > 0$ , with the splitting  $h_\varepsilon = h_\varepsilon^0 + h_\varepsilon^1 \in L^1((0, T); L^1_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\langle \mathbf{v} \rangle^q))$ , up to extraction of a subsequence, one has

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \{h_\varepsilon^0\}_\varepsilon \text{ converges to 0 strongly in } L^1((0, T); \mathcal{E}) \\ \{h_\varepsilon^1\}_\varepsilon \text{ converges to } \mathbf{h} \text{ weakly in } L^2\left((0, T); L^2_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathcal{M}^{-\frac{1}{2}})\right) \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\mathbf{h} = \pi_0(\mathbf{h})$ . In particular, there exist

$$\varrho \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)), \quad \theta \in L^2((0, T); \mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathbb{T}^d)),$$

$$\mathbf{u} \in L^2\left((0, T); (\mathbb{W}_x^{m,2}(\mathbb{T}^d))^d\right)$$

such that

$$\mathbf{h}(t, x, \mathbf{v}) = \left( \varrho(t, x) + \mathbf{u}(t, x) \cdot \mathbf{v} + \frac{1}{2} \theta(t, x) (|\mathbf{v}|^2 - d\vartheta_1) \right) \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{v}).$$

## Classical estimates

Average

$$\langle f \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(t, x, v) dv.$$

For any function  $\psi = \psi(v)$  such that  $|\psi(v)| \lesssim \langle v \rangle^q(v)$  one has

$$\langle \psi h_\varepsilon \rangle \longrightarrow \langle \psi \mathbf{h} \rangle.$$

First consequences:

- *incompressibility condition*

$$\operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

- *Boussinesq relation*

$$\nabla_x (\varrho + \vartheta_1 \theta) = 0.$$

Introducing

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \theta(t, x) dx, \quad t \in (0, T),$$

one has *strengthened Boussinesq relation*

$$\varrho(t, x) + \vartheta_1 (\theta(t, x) - E(t)) = 0, \quad \text{for a.e. } (t, x) \in (0, T) \times \mathbb{T}^d.$$

As in the classical elastic case, we write

$$\left\langle \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle = \left\langle \mathbf{A} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle + p_\varepsilon \mathbf{Id}, \quad p_\varepsilon = \left\langle \frac{1}{d} |\mathbf{v}|^2 h_\varepsilon \right\rangle,$$

with the traceless tensor  $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{v}) = \mathbf{v} \otimes \mathbf{v} - \frac{1}{d} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \mathbf{Id}$ . One has

$$\partial_t \left\langle h_\varepsilon \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}_x \left\langle \mathbf{v} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle = 0,$$

$$\partial_t \left\langle \mathbf{v} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{Div}_x \left\langle \mathbf{A} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \nabla_x p_\varepsilon = \frac{\kappa_\alpha}{\varepsilon^2} \left\langle \mathbf{v} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle,$$

$$\partial_t \left\langle \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 h_\varepsilon \right\rangle + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \operatorname{div}_x \left\langle \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 \mathbf{v} h_\varepsilon \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \mathcal{J}_\alpha(f_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon) + \frac{2\kappa_\alpha}{\varepsilon^2} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{v}|^2 h_\varepsilon \right\rangle,$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_\alpha(f, f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [Q_\alpha(f, f) - Q_\alpha(G_\alpha, G_\alpha)] |\mathbf{v}|^2 d\mathbf{v}.$$

The LHS converges (in the distributional sense) as in the elastic case.

The RHS is treated as a source term which takes into account the *drift term* and the *dissipation of kinetic energy* at the microscopic level.

It holds

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3} \mathcal{J}_\alpha(f_\varepsilon, f_\varepsilon) \longrightarrow \mathcal{J}_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D}'_{t,x},$$

where

$$\mathcal{J}_0(t, x) = -\lambda_0 \bar{c} \vartheta_1^{\frac{3}{2}} \left( \varrho(t, x) + \frac{3}{4} \vartheta_1 \theta(t, x) \right) = -\lambda_0 \bar{c} \vartheta_1^{\frac{5}{2}} \left( E(t) - \frac{1}{4} \theta(t, x) \right)$$



The limit velocity  $u(t, x)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u - \frac{\nu}{\vartheta_1} \Delta_x u + \vartheta_1 \operatorname{Div}_x (u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p = \lambda_0 u$$

while the limit temperature  $\theta(t, x)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t \theta - \frac{\gamma}{\vartheta_1^2} \Delta_x \theta + \vartheta_1 u \cdot \nabla_x \theta = \frac{2}{(d+2)\vartheta_1^2} \mathcal{J}_0 + \frac{2d\lambda_0}{d+2} E(t) + \frac{2}{d+2} \frac{d}{dt} E(t),$$

where

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \theta(t, x) dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

The limit velocity  $u(t, x)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t u - \frac{\nu}{\vartheta_1} \Delta_x u + \vartheta_1 \operatorname{Div}_x (u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p = \lambda_0 u$$

while the limit temperature  $\theta(t, x)$  satisfies

$$\partial_t \theta - \frac{\gamma}{\vartheta_1^2} \Delta_x \theta + \vartheta_1 u \cdot \nabla_x \theta = \frac{2}{(d+2)\vartheta_1^2} \mathcal{J}_0 + \frac{2d\lambda_0}{d+2} E(t) + \frac{2}{d+2} \frac{d}{dt} E(t),$$

where

$$E(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \theta(t, x) dx, \quad t \geq 0.$$

One has

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = \frac{2}{d\vartheta_1^2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \mathcal{J}_0(t, x) dx + 2\lambda_0 E(t) = \bar{c}_0 E(t)$$

and

$$E(0) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{T}^d} \left\langle \frac{1}{2} (|v|^2 - (d+2)\vartheta_1) h_\varepsilon \right\rangle dx = 0!$$

Thus,  $E(t) = 0$  for any  $t \geq 0$ .

This gives the final system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t u - \frac{\nu}{\vartheta_1} \Delta_x u + \vartheta_1 \operatorname{Div}_x (u \otimes u) + \nabla_x p = \lambda_0 u \\ \partial_t \theta - \frac{\gamma}{\vartheta_1^2} \Delta_x \theta + \vartheta_1 u \cdot \nabla_x \theta = \frac{\lambda_0 \bar{c}}{2(d+2)} \sqrt{\vartheta_1} \theta \\ \operatorname{div}_x u(t, x) = 0, \quad \varrho(t, x) + \vartheta_1 \theta(t, x) = 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{T}^d. \end{array} \right.$$

## Summary

- We proved the existence and uniqueness of close-to-equilibrium solution to the BE for granular gases.
- Exponential stability of these solutions with decay rate prescribed by the energy eigenvalue  $-\lambda_\varepsilon$ .
- Prove the convergence of fluctuations to some limiting function  $h$  depending on  $t, x$  only through macroscopic quantities solving a modified *incompressible Navier-Stokes-Fourier system*.
- Results obtained in a nearly elastic regime and the limiting hydrodynamic system keeps track of this regime.

## Open problems/Research projects

- Study the case of viscoelastic hard spheres. In this case, the nearly elastic regime should emerge naturally with the scaling.
- Can one be more precise in the spectral description and derive the equivalent of [Ellis & Pinski \(1975\)](#) result for granular gases ? This would allow for instance to adapt the work of [Bardos & Ukai \(1991\)](#), [Gallagher & Tristani \(2020\)](#).
- Other kinds of scalings (Euler).
- Understand the role of entropy for granular gases.