

Logarithmic extension of overconvergent isocrystals and an application

Atsushi Shiho
(University of Tokyo)

22/06/2010, Bordeaux

Apologies

Apologies

I give my talk in (broken) English.

Apologies

I give my talk in (broken) English.

The log structures and the log geometry appearing in this talk are not at all in current trend....

Apologies

I give my talk in (broken) English.

The log structures and the log geometry appearing in this talk are not at all in current trend....

Content

§1. Log extension of overconvergent isocrystals

§2. An application: Parabolic log convergent isocrystals

§1. Log extension of overconvergent isocrystals

1.1 Log- ∇ -modules

§1. Log extension of overconvergent isocrystals

1.1 Log- ∇ -modules

K : cdvf of mixed char. $(0, p)$ with residue field k ,

O_K : ring of integers of K ,

$|\cdot| : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$: valuation, $\Gamma^* := \sqrt{|K|}$.

§1. Log extension of overconvergent isocrystals

1.1 Log- ∇ -modules

K : cdvf of mixed char. $(0, p)$ with residue field k ,

O_K : ring of integers of K ,

$|\cdot| : K \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$: valuation, $\Gamma^* := \sqrt{|K|}$.

$\underline{K} \subseteq \underline{L}$: a complete field w.r.t. a multiplicative norm extending $|\cdot|$ (denoted also by $|\cdot|$).

For a (fine log) rigid analytic space \mathfrak{X} over L ,

For a (fine log) rigid analytic space \mathfrak{X} over L ,
a (log-) ∇ -module (E, ∇) on $\mathfrak{X} :=$

For a (fine log) rigid analytic space \mathfrak{X} over L ,

a **(log-) ∇ -module** (E, ∇) on $\mathfrak{X} :=$

a locally free module E of finite rank on $\mathfrak{X} +$

integrable (log) connection $\nabla : E \longrightarrow E \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/L}^1$.

Assume \mathfrak{X} smooth & log. str. on \mathfrak{X} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{D}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{t_i = 0\} \text{ an SNCD.}$$

Assume \mathfrak{X} smooth & log. str. on \mathfrak{X} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{D}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{t_i = 0\} \text{ an SNCD.}$$

For a log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on \mathfrak{X} , the composite

$$E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/L}^1 \twoheadrightarrow E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i} d\log t_i \cong E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i}$$

induces $\text{res}_i \in \text{End}(E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i})$ (**residue** along \mathfrak{D}_i).

Assume \mathfrak{X} smooth & log. str. on \mathfrak{X} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{D}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{t_i = 0\} \text{ an SNCD.}$$

For a log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on \mathfrak{X} , the composite

$$E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/L}^1 \twoheadrightarrow E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i} d\log t_i \cong E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i}$$

induces $\text{res}_i \in \text{End}(E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i})$ (**residue** along \mathfrak{D}_i).

Fact. $\exists P_i(x) \in L[x]$ with $P_i(\text{res}_i) = 0$.

Assume \mathfrak{X} smooth & log. str. on \mathfrak{X} is defined by

$$\mathfrak{D} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathfrak{D}_i = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \{t_i = 0\} \text{ an SNCD.}$$

For a log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on \mathfrak{X} , the composite

$$E \xrightarrow{\nabla} E \otimes \omega_{\mathfrak{X}/L}^1 \twoheadrightarrow E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i} \mathrm{dlog} t_i \cong E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i}$$

induces $\mathrm{res}_i \in \mathrm{End}(E|_{\mathfrak{D}_i})$ (**residue** along \mathfrak{D}_i).

Fact. $\exists P_i(x) \in L[x]$ with $P_i(\mathrm{res}_i) = 0$.

Exponents of (E, ∇) along $\mathfrak{D}_i :=$ the roots of minimal monic $P_i(x) \in L[x]$ with $P_i(\mathrm{res}_i) = 0$.

An interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is called **aligned** if any endpoint of I at which it is closed is contained in Γ^* .

An interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is called **aligned** if any endpoint of I at which it is closed is contained in Γ^* .

For an aligned interval I , we put

$$A_L^n(I) := \{x \in \mathbb{A}_L^{n, \text{an}} \mid \forall i, |t_i(x)| \in I\}.$$

$(t_1, \dots, t_n$: coordinate) with log structure defined by $\bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$.

An interval $I \subseteq [0, \infty)$ is called **aligned** if any endpoint of I at which it is closed is contained in Γ^* .

For an aligned interval I , we put

$$A_L^n(I) := \{x \in \mathbb{A}_L^{n, \text{an}} \mid \forall i, |t_i(x)| \in I\}.$$

$(t_1, \dots, t_n$: coordinate) with log structure defined by $\bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$.

For $\xi := (\xi_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^n$, we define the log- ∇ -module (M_ξ, ∇_{M_ξ}) on $A_L^n(I)$ by

$$(M_\xi, \nabla_{M_\xi}) := (\mathcal{O}, d + \sum_i \xi_i d \log t_i).$$

Definition \mathfrak{X} : smooth rigid an. space over L ,

I : aligned interval, $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

Definition \mathfrak{X} : smooth rigid an. space over L ,

I : aligned interval, $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

(1) A log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on $\mathfrak{X} \times A_L^n(I)$ is

Σ -constant iff

$$(E, \nabla) = \pi_1^*(F, \nabla_F) \otimes \pi_2^*(M_\xi, \nabla_{M_\xi})$$

for some (F, ∇_F) on \mathfrak{X} , $\xi \in \Sigma$.

Definition \mathfrak{X} : smooth rigid an. space over L ,

I : aligned interval, $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

(1) A log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on $\mathfrak{X} \times A_L^n(I)$ is

Σ -constant iff

$$(E, \nabla) = \pi_1^*(F, \nabla_F) \otimes \pi_2^*(M_\xi, \nabla_{M_\xi})$$

for some (F, ∇_F) on \mathfrak{X} , $\xi \in \Sigma$.

(2) (E, ∇) is Σ -semisimple iff it is a direct sum of Σ -constant ones.

Definition \mathfrak{X} : smooth rigid an. space over L ,

I : aligned interval, $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^n \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^n$.

(1) A log- ∇ -module (E, ∇) on $\mathfrak{X} \times A_L^n(I)$ is

Σ -constant iff

$$(E, \nabla) = \pi_1^*(F, \nabla_F) \otimes \pi_2^*(M_\xi, \nabla_{M_\xi})$$

for some (F, ∇_F) on \mathfrak{X} , $\xi \in \Sigma$.

(2) (E, ∇) is **Σ -semisimple** iff it is a direct sum of Σ -constant ones.

(3) (E, ∇) is **Σ -unipotent** iff it is a successive extension of Σ -constant ones.

1.2 Log extension

1.2 Log extension

K : cdvf of mixed char. $(0, p)$ with residue field k ,

O_K : ring of integers of K ,

1.2 Log extension

K : cdvf of mixed char. $(0, p)$ with residue field k ,

O_K : ring of integers of K ,

$j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$: open imm. of smooth k -varieties

s.t. $(\overline{X} \setminus X)_{\text{red}} =: Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ is an SNCD.

$(\leadsto (\overline{X}, Z)$: a log scheme)

Definition

Definition Let $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ affine open.

Definition Let $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ affine open.

(1) **A charted standard small frame (css frame)**

enclosing \overline{U} is $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is a smooth lift of \overline{U} to a p -adic formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$ is an SNCD on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ lifting $Z \cap \overline{U}$.

Definition Let $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ affine open.

(1) **A charted standard small frame (css frame)**

enclosing \overline{U} is $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is a smooth lift of \overline{U} to a p -adic formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$ is an SNCD on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ lifting $Z \cap \overline{U}$.

(2) **A charted smooth standard small frame (csss**

frame) enclosing \overline{U} is $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ is a smooth lift of \overline{U} to a p -adic formal scheme over $\mathrm{Spf} \mathcal{O}_K$, $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$ is a conn. smooth divisor on $\overline{\mathcal{X}}$ lifting $Z \cap \overline{U}$. (So $Z \cap \overline{U} = Z_i \cap \overline{U}$ for some i)

(3) **A csss frame with generic point** enclosing \overline{U} is $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$, where $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t)$: csss frame, L : a field containing $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_K})$ (where $\mathcal{Z} := \{t = 0\}$) which is complete w.t.r. a multiplicative norm $|\cdot|$ which extends the supremum norm on $\Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_K, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{Z}_K})$.

Definition

Definition X, \overline{X} be as above,

$(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_i)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{X} ,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$$

Definition X, \overline{X} be as above,

$(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_i)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{X} ,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow (\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$: associated log rigid an. space

Definition X, \overline{X} be as above,

$(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_i)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{X} ,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow (\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$: associated log rigid an. space

(1) **An overconvergent isocrystal** on (X, \overline{X}) is a

∇ -module on $\mathfrak{U}_\lambda = \{x \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}_K \mid \forall i, |t_i(x)| \geq \lambda\}$

for some $\lambda \in [0, 1) \cap \Gamma^*$ satisfying the

‘overconvergent condition’.

Definition X, \overline{X} be as above,

$(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_i)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{X} ,

$$\mathcal{Z} := \bigcup_i \{t_i = 0\}$$

$\rightsquigarrow (\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$: associated log rigid an. space

(1) **An overconvergent isocrystal** on (X, \overline{X}) is a ∇ -module on $\mathfrak{U}_\lambda = \{x \in \overline{\mathcal{X}}_K \mid \forall i, |t_i(x)| \geq \lambda\}$ for some $\lambda \in [0, 1) \cap \Gamma^*$ satisfying the ‘overconvergent condition’.

(2) **A log convergent isocrystal** on (\overline{X}, Z) is a log- ∇ -module on $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$ satisfying the ‘log-convergent condition’.

Fact. They are independent of the choice of a css frame.

Fact. They are independent of the choice of a css frame.

~> They are defined globally.

Fact. They are independent of the choice of a css frame.

\rightsquigarrow They are defined globally.

$\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$: the cat. of overconvergent isocrystals

$\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$: the cat. of log convergent isocrystals

Recall that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is **p -adically non-Liouville** if

$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n - a|^{1/n} = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n + a|^{1/n} = 1.$$

Recall that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is **p -adically non-Liouville** if
 $\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n - a|^{1/n} = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n + a|^{1/n} = 1.$

Example: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is p -adically non-Liouville.

Recall that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is **p -adically non-Liouville** if
$$\underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n - a|^{1/n} = \underline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n + a|^{1/n} = 1.$$

Example: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is p -adically non-Liouville.

Definition

Recall that $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is **p -adically non-Liouville** if $\varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n - a|^{1/n} = \varliminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |n + a|^{1/n} = 1$.

Example: $\forall a \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ is p -adically non-Liouville.

Definition $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ is **(NID)** (resp. **(NLD)**) if $\forall i, \forall a, b \in \Sigma_i$, $a - b$ is not in $\mathbb{Z}_{\neq 0}$ (resp. is p -adically non-Liouville).

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.
 $(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$: a csss frame with generic point
enclosing \overline{U} , $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$: a csss frame with generic point enclosing \overline{U} , $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$: a csss frame with generic point enclosing \overline{U} , $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$

$\rightsquigarrow (E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: a ∇ -module on

$\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[\lambda, 1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\lambda \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}}_K$.

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$: a csss frame with generic point enclosing \overline{U} , $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$

$\rightsquigarrow (E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: a ∇ -module on

$\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[\lambda, 1) \subseteq \mathcal{U}_\lambda \subseteq \overline{\mathcal{X}}_K$.

$\rightsquigarrow (E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: a ∇ -module on $A_L^1[\lambda, 1)$.

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an **(NID)**, **(NLD)** set.

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$ has Σ -semisimple generic
monodromy (Σ -unipotent generic monodromy)

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})$ has **Σ -semisimple generic monodromy** (**Σ -unipotent generic monodromy**)

iff $\forall \overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $\forall (\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$: a csss frame with generic point, the ∇ -module $(E_{\mathcal{E}, L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}, L})$ on $A_L^1[\lambda, 1)$ is Σ -semisimple (Σ -unipotent).

$\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}}$: the cat. of overconv. isocrystals on (X, \overline{X}) having Σ -semisimple monodromy.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}}$: the cat. of overconv. isocrystals on (X, \overline{X}) having Σ -semisimple monodromy.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma}$: the cat. of overconv. isocrystals on (X, \overline{X}) having Σ -unipotent monodromy.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}}$: the cat. of overconv. isocrystals on (X, \overline{X}) having Σ -semisimple monodromy.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma}$: the cat. of overconv. isocrystals on (X, \overline{X}) having Σ -unipotent monodromy.

Remark

The above categories depend only on $\overline{\Sigma} := \text{Im}\Sigma \subseteq (\mathbb{Z}_p/\mathbb{Z})^r$. So we also denote them by $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\overline{\Sigma}\text{-ss}}$, $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\overline{\Sigma}}$.

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{U} ,

$\mathcal{Z}_i = \{t_i = 0\}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{Z}_i$.

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{U} ,

$\mathcal{Z}_i = \{t_i = 0\}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{Z}_i$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above, $\overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine.

$(\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$: a css frame enclosing \overline{U} ,

$\mathcal{Z}_i = \{t_i = 0\}$, $\mathcal{Z} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathcal{Z}_i$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$

$\rightsquigarrow (\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$: a log- ∇ -module on $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$.

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, Z$ as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ has exponents in Σ (with semisimple residues)

Definition $X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, Z as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ has **exponents in Σ (with semisimple residues)**

iff $\forall \overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $\forall (\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, \{t_i\}_{i=1}^r)$: a css frame, $\forall i$,
 the exponents along $\mathcal{Z}_{i,K}$ of the log- ∇ -module
 $(\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$ is in Σ_i (and $P_i(\text{res}_i) = 0$ for some
 $P_i(x) \in K[x]$ without multiple roots).

$\mathbf{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}}$: the cat. of log conv. isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) having exponents in Σ with semisimple residues.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}}$: the cat. of log conv. isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) having exponents in Σ with semisimple residues.

$\mathbf{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma}$: the cat. of log conv. isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) having exponents in Σ .

Theorem 1

Theorem 1 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.
 $\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an **(NID)**, **(NLD)** set.

Theorem 1 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

Then \exists equivalences of categories

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}},$$

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma}.$$

Theorem 1 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

Then \exists equivalences of categories

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}},$$

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma}.$$

Remark

Theorem 1 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

Then \exists equivalences of categories

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}},$$

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{\Sigma}.$$

Remark

- The case $\Sigma = \{0\}$: due to Kedlaya.

Theorem 1 $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

$\Sigma = \prod_{i=1}^r \Sigma_i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$ an (NID), (NLD) set.

Then \exists equivalences of categories

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\bar{X}, Z)_{\Sigma\text{-ss}} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \bar{X})_{\Sigma\text{-ss}},$$

$$j^\dagger : \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\bar{X}, Z)_{\Sigma} \xrightarrow{=} \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \bar{X})_{\Sigma}.$$

Remark

- The case $\Sigma = \{0\}$: due to Kedlaya.
- Analogue for semi-stable varieties: di Proietto (talk in this conference).

Sketch of proof of the ess. surj. of the second j^\dagger :

Sketch of proof of the ess. surj. of the second j^\dagger :

For simplicity, we assume $r = 1$ (Z is conn. smooth).

Sketch of proof of the ess. surj. of the second j^\dagger :

For simplicity, we assume $r = 1$ (Z is conn. smooth).

May work locally

$\leadsto \exists(\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$ a csss frame with generic point,
 $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

Sketch of proof of the ess. surj. of the second j^\dagger :

For simplicity, we assume $r = 1$ (Z is conn. smooth).

May work locally

$\rightsquigarrow \exists (\overline{X}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$ a csss frame with generic point,
 $\mathcal{Z} = \{t = 0\}$.

$\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_\Sigma \rightsquigarrow$

$(\tilde{E}_\mathcal{E}, \tilde{\nabla}_\mathcal{E})$: ∇ -module on \mathcal{U}_λ

$(E_\mathcal{E}, \nabla_\mathcal{E})$: ∇ -module on $\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[\lambda, 1)$

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: ∇ -module on $A_L^1[\lambda, 1)$

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: Σ -unipotent

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: Σ -unipotent

$\xRightarrow{*}$ $(E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: Σ -unipotent

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: Σ -unipotent

$\xRightarrow{*}$ $(E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: Σ -unipotent

$\implies (E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to a Σ -unipotent

log- ∇ -module on $\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[0, 1)$.

$\xRightarrow{\text{glue}}$ $(\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$.

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: Σ -unipotent

$\xRightarrow{*}$ $(E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: Σ -unipotent

$\implies (E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to a Σ -unipotent

log- ∇ -module on $\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[0, 1)$.

$\xRightarrow{\text{glue}}$ $(\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to $(\bar{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$.

*: called 'generization' property.

$(E_{\mathcal{E},L}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E},L})$: Σ -unipotent

$\xRightarrow{*}$ $(E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$: Σ -unipotent

$\implies (E_{\mathcal{E}}, \nabla_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to a Σ -unipotent

log- ∇ -module on $\mathcal{Z}_K \times A_K^1[0, 1)$.

$\xRightarrow{\text{glue}}$ $(\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$ extends to $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$.

*: called ‘generization’ property.

The case $r > 1$: Take a css frame and extend

$(\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}, \tilde{\nabla}_{\mathcal{E}})$ to $(\overline{\mathcal{X}}_K, \mathcal{Z}_K)$ ‘step by step’, by using

property slightly stronger than * in some sense.

(called ‘overconvergent generization’ property)

Prop. 2

Prop. 2 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.
 $\Sigma^i = \prod_{j=1}^r \Sigma_j^i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$: (NID), (NLD) sets
 for $i = 1, 2$ s.t. $\forall j, \forall \xi_i \in \Sigma_j^i, \xi_1 - \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.

Prop. 2 $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.
 $\Sigma^i = \prod_{j=1}^r \Sigma_j^i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$: (NID), (NLD) sets
for $i = 1, 2$ s.t. $\forall j, \forall \xi_i \in \Sigma_j^i, \xi_1 - \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.
Then, for $\mathcal{E}_i \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma^i\text{-ss}}$ ($i = 1, 2$),
 $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \xrightarrow{=} \text{Hom}(j^\dagger \mathcal{E}_1, j^\dagger \mathcal{E}_2)$.

Prop. 2 $j : X \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.
 $\Sigma^i = \prod_{j=1}^r \Sigma_j^i \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p^r$: (NID), (NLD) sets
for $i = 1, 2$ s.t. $\forall j, \forall \xi_i \in \Sigma_j^i, \xi_1 - \xi_2 \notin \mathbb{Z}_{<0}$.
Then, for $\mathcal{E}_i \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\bar{X}, Z)_{\Sigma^i\text{-ss}}$ ($i = 1, 2$),
 $\text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2) \xrightarrow{=} \text{Hom}(j^\dagger \mathcal{E}_1, j^\dagger \mathcal{E}_2)$.

Proof. Reduce to the local calculation on relative polydisc.

Definition $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

Definition $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

\implies define an inductive system

$(\mathcal{O}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r}$ in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ by

$\mathcal{O}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i) :=$ the unique object in

$\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\prod_i \{-\alpha_i\}\text{-ss}}$ sent to $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}$ by j^\dagger ,

transition: the unique one extending $\text{id}_{j^\dagger \mathcal{O}}$.

Definition $j : X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as above.

\implies define an inductive system

$(\mathcal{O}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^r}$ in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ by

$\mathcal{O}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i) :=$ the unique object in

$\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\prod_i \{-\alpha_i\}\text{-ss}}$ sent to $j^\dagger \mathcal{O}$ by j^\dagger ,

transition: the unique one extending $\text{id}_{j^\dagger \mathcal{O}}$.

For $\mathcal{E} \in \text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$, put

$\mathcal{E}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i) := \mathcal{E} \otimes \mathcal{O}(\sum_i \alpha_i Z_i)$.

§2. An application: Parabolic log convergent isocrystals⁸³

§2. An application: Parabolic log convergent isocrystals⁸⁴

K, O_K, k : as before, k : perfect,

assume $\exists \sigma : O_K \longrightarrow O_K$: lift of Frobenius

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$, $Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as before, X : connected.

§2. An application: Parabolic log convergent isocrystals⁸⁵

K, O_K, k : as before, k : perfect,

assume $\exists \sigma : O_K \longrightarrow O_K$: lift of Frobenius

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as before, X : connected.

Crew: \exists equivalence of categories

$$\mathrm{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1(X)) \xrightarrow{=} F\text{-Isoc}(X)^\circ,$$

§2. An application: Parabolic log convergent isocrystals⁸⁶

K, O_K, k : as before, k : perfect,

assume $\exists \sigma : O_K \longrightarrow O_K$: lift of Frobenius

$X \hookrightarrow \overline{X}, Z = \bigcup_{i=1}^r Z_i$ as before, X : connected.

Crew: \exists equivalence of categories

$\text{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} F\text{-Isoc}(X)^\circ,$

$\text{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1(X))$: category of finite dimensional continuous representations of $\pi_1(X)$ over K^σ .

$F\text{-Isoc}(X)^\circ$: category of unit-root convergent F -isocrystals on X .

Aim. Give tame version of Crew's equivalence.

Aim. Give tame version of Crew's equivalence.

2.1 Stacky version

Aim. Give tame version of Crew's equivalence.

2.1 Stacky version

$$(\overline{X}, Z) =: (\overline{X}, M), \mathbb{N}^r \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, M / \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}^{\times})$$

Aim. Give tame version of Crew's equivalence.

2.1 Stacky version

$$(\overline{X}, Z) =: (\overline{X}, M), \mathbb{N}^r \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, M / \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}^\times)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow [\mathbb{A}_k^r / \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r].$$

Aim. Give tame version of Crew's equivalence.

2.1 Stacky version

$$(\overline{X}, Z) =: (\overline{X}, M), \mathbb{N}^r \longrightarrow \Gamma(X, M/\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}^\times)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \overline{X} \longrightarrow [\mathbb{A}_k^r / \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r].$$

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ prime to p ,

$$(\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n} := \overline{X} \times_{[\mathbb{A}_k^r / \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r], n} [\mathbb{A}_k^r / \mathbb{G}_{m,k}^r]$$

(**stack of roots**, Cadman, Borne, Iyer-Simpson)

(cf. talk of Vistoli)

Local description: if $\overline{X} = \text{Spec } R$, $Z_i = \{t_i = 0\}$,

$$\overline{X}^{(n)} := \text{Spec } R[s_i]_{1 \leq i \leq r} / (s_i^n - t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

$$\implies (\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n} = [\overline{X}^{(n)} / \mu_n].$$

Local description: if $\overline{X} = \text{Spec } R$, $Z_i = \{t_i = 0\}$,

$$\overline{X}^{(n)} := \text{Spec } R[s_i]_{1 \leq i \leq r} / (s_i^n - t_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r}$$

$$\implies (\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n} = [\overline{X}^{(n)} / \mu_n].$$

We can define

$\text{Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n})$ ($F\text{-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n})^\circ$):

the category of (unit-root) convergent (F -) isocrystals on $(\overline{X}, Z)^{1/n}$.

Prop. 3

Prop. 3 \exists an equivalence of categories

Prop. 3 \exists an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1^t(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_{(n,p)=1} F\text{-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}})^\circ.$$

Prop. 3 \exists an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1^t(X)) \xrightarrow{\cong} \varinjlim_{(n,p)=1} F\text{-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}})^\circ.$$

Key ingredients

- the restriction of $\rho \in \mathbf{Rep}_{K^\sigma}(\pi_1^t(X))$ to $\pi_1^t(Y)$ for some tame covering $Y \rightarrow X$ factors through $\pi_1(\overline{Y}^{\text{sm}})$.

(\overline{Y} : norm. of \overline{X} in $k(Y)$, $\overline{Y}^{\text{sm}} \subseteq \overline{Y}$ sm. locus.)

- Abhyankar's lemma.
- Crew's equivalence.

2.2 Parabolic version

2.2 Parabolic version

Definition

2.2 Parabolic version

Definition **A parabolic log convergent isocrystal** on (\overline{X}, Z) is an inductive system $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r}$ of objects in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ s.t.

2.2 Parabolic version

Definition **A parabolic log convergent isocrystal** on (\overline{X}, Z) is an inductive system $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r}$ of objects in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ s.t.

$$(a) \quad \forall i, \exists \text{ isom. } (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha+e_i})_\alpha \cong (\mathcal{E}_\alpha(Z_i))_\alpha$$

via which the transition map $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha+e_i})_\alpha$

is identified with the map $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_\alpha(Z_i))_\alpha$

induced by $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z_i)$.

2.2 Parabolic version

Definition **A parabolic log convergent isocrystal** on (\overline{X}, Z) is an inductive system $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r}$ of objects in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)$ s.t.

$$(a) \quad \forall i, \exists \text{ isom. } (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha+e_i})_\alpha \cong (\mathcal{E}_\alpha(Z_i))_\alpha$$

via which the transition map $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_{\alpha+e_i})_\alpha$

is identified with the map $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha \rightarrow (\mathcal{E}_\alpha(Z_i))_\alpha$

induced by $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(Z_i)$.

$$(b) \quad \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, (n, p) = 1 \text{ s.t. } \mathcal{E}_{[n\alpha]}/n \xrightarrow{=} \mathcal{E}_\alpha.$$

Definition

Definition A unit-root parabolic log convergent F -isocrystal on (\overline{X}, Z) is $((\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha, \Psi)$, where

$(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha$: a parabolic log conv. isocrystal

$\Psi : \varinjlim (F^* \mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha \longrightarrow \varinjlim (\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha$: isom as ind-objects

s.t. it is unit-root when restricted to X .

Definition

Definition A (unit-root) parabolic log convergent $(F-)$ isocrystal \mathcal{E} on (\overline{X}, Z) is **adjusted** if, for $\forall \overline{U} \hookrightarrow \overline{X}$ open affine, $\forall (\overline{U}, \overline{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$ a csss frame with generic point, the ind. system of log- ∇ -modules $(E_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)_\alpha$ on $A_L^1[0, 1)$ induced by \mathcal{E} is isom. to

Definition A (unit-root) parabolic log convergent (F -)isocrystal \mathcal{E} on (\bar{X}, Z) is **adjusted** if, for $\forall \bar{U} \hookrightarrow \bar{X}$ open affine, $\forall (\bar{U}, \bar{\mathcal{X}}, t, L)$ a csss frame with generic point, the ind. system of log- ∇ -modules $(E_\alpha, \nabla_\alpha)_\alpha$ on $A_L^1[0, 1)$ induced by \mathcal{E} is isom. to $(\bigoplus_{j=1}^\mu (\mathcal{O}_{A_L^1[0,1)}, d - \lceil \gamma_j \rceil_{\alpha_i} d \log t))_\alpha$ for some $\gamma_j \in (-1, 0] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ($1 \leq j \leq \mu$), where i : the unique index with $\bar{U} \cap Z_i \neq \emptyset$, $\lceil \gamma_j \rceil_{\alpha_i} :=$ the unique elt in $(\alpha_i - 1, \alpha_i] \cap (\gamma_j + \mathbb{Z})$. (transition: multiplication by some power of t .)

Par-Isoc^{log}(\overline{X}, Z): the cat. of adjusted parabolic
log conv. isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) .

Par-Isoc^{log}(\overline{X} , Z): the cat. of adjusted parabolic log conv. isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) .

Par- F -Isoc^{log}(\overline{X} , Z)^o: the cat. of unit-root adjusted parabolic log conv. F -isocrystals on (\overline{X}, Z) .

Theorem 4

Cor. 5

Theorem 4 \exists equivalences of categories

Cor. 5

Theorem 4 \exists equivalences of categories

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} \text{Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{=} \text{Par-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z),$$

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} F\text{-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{=} \\ \text{Par-}F\text{-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)^{\circ}.$$

Cor. 5

Theorem 4 \exists equivalences of categories

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} \text{Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{=} \text{Par-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z),$$

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} F\text{-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{=} \\ \text{Par-}F\text{-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)^{\circ}.$$

Cor. 5 \exists an equivalence of categories

Theorem 4 \exists equivalences of categories

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} \mathbf{Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}}) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Par-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z),$$

$$\lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ (n,p)=1}} \mathbf{F-Isoc}((\overline{X}, Z)^{\frac{1}{n}})^{\circ} \xrightarrow{=} \\ \mathbf{Par-F-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)^{\circ}.$$

Cor. 5 \exists an equivalence of categories

$$\mathbf{Rep}_{K^{\sigma}}(\pi_1^t(X)) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Par-F-Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)^{\circ}.$$

Rough sketch of the proof

Rough sketch of the proof

Suffices to prove the equivalences

$$\mathbf{Isoc}\left(\left(\overline{X}, Z\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Isoc}^{\dagger}\left(X, \overline{X}\right)_{\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\right)^{r-\mathbf{ss}}},$$

$$\mathbf{Par-Isoc}^{\log}\left(\overline{X}, Z\right) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Isoc}^{\dagger}\left(X, \overline{X}\right)_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z}\right)^{r-\mathbf{ss}}}.$$

Rough sketch of the proof

Suffices to prove the equivalences

$$\mathbf{Isoc}\left(\left(\overline{X}, Z\right)^{\frac{1}{n}}\right) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Isoc}^{\dagger}\left(X, \overline{X}\right)_{\left(\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}\right)^{r\text{-ss}}},$$

$$\mathbf{Par}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}^{\log}\left(\overline{X}, Z\right) \xrightarrow{=} \mathbf{Isoc}^{\dagger}\left(X, \overline{X}\right)_{\left(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z}\right)^{r\text{-ss}}}.$$

The ess. surj. of the first eq: Take covering

$$\overline{X}^{(n)} \longrightarrow \left(\overline{X}, Z\right)^{1/n} = \left[\overline{X}^{(n)} / \mu_n\right]$$

and use Theorem 1 for $\Sigma = \{0\}$ on $\overline{X}^{(n)}$.

The ess. surj. of the second eq: For

$$\alpha = (\alpha_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r,$$

let $\Sigma_\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^r (-(\alpha_i - 1, \alpha_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

$\mathcal{E}_\alpha :=$ the unique object in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma_\alpha\text{-ss}}$

extending the given object in

$\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z})^r\text{-ss}}$. (Theorem 1)

The ess. surj. of the second eq: For

$$\alpha = (\alpha_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r,$$

let $\Sigma_\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^r (-(\alpha_i - 1, \alpha_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(p)})$.

$\mathcal{E}_\alpha :=$ the unique object in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma_\alpha\text{-ss}}$

extending the given object in

$\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z})^r\text{-ss}}$. (Theorem 1)

By Prop. 2, $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha$ forms an inductive system,

and we can check that it is indeed adjusted.

The ess. surj. of the second eq: For

$$\alpha = (\alpha_i)_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}^r,$$

$$\text{let } \Sigma_\alpha := \prod_{i=1}^r (-(\alpha_i - 1, \alpha_i] \cap \mathbb{Z}_{(p)}).$$

$\mathcal{E}_\alpha :=$ the unique object in $\text{Isoc}^{\log}(\overline{X}, Z)_{\Sigma_\alpha\text{-ss}}$

extending the given object in

$$\text{Isoc}^\dagger(X, \overline{X})_{(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}/\mathbb{Z})^r\text{-ss}}. \quad (\text{Theorem 1})$$

By Prop. 2, $(\mathcal{E}_\alpha)_\alpha$ forms an inductive system,

and we can check that it is indeed adjusted. **Done.**

Thank you very much!