

Construction of Hadamard states by pseudo-differential calculus

Christian Gérard

joint work with Michał Wrochna

([arXiv:1209.2604](https://arxiv.org/abs/1209.2604)), to appear in *Comm. Math. Phys.*

Microlocal Analysis and Spectral Theory

Colloque en l'honneur de Johannes Sjöstrand

Luminy, 23-27 septembre 2013

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Globally hyperbolic space-times
- 3 Klein-Gordon equations on Lorentzian manifolds
- 4 Hadamard states
- 5 Construction of Hadamard states

A quick overview of free Klein-Gordon fields on Minkowski space-time

- Consider on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} the free Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(KG) \square\phi(x) + m^2\phi(x) = 0, \quad x = (t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

We are interested in its smooth, real **space-compact** solutions.

- It admits **advanced/retarded Green's functions**, with kernels $E_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x})$ given by

$$\widehat{E}_{\pm}(t, k) = \pm\theta(\pm t) \frac{\sin(\epsilon(k)t)}{\epsilon(k)}, \quad \epsilon(k) = (k^2 + m^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- the difference $E := E_+ - E_-$ is anti-symmetric, called the **Pauli-Jordan function**. Clearly

$$E : C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG).$$

- Actually $\text{Ran}E = \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG)$, $\text{Ker}E = (\square + m^2)C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$.

A quick overview of free Klein-Gordon fields on Minkowski space-time

- Consider on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} the free Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(KG) \quad \square\phi(x) + m^2\phi(x) = 0, \quad x = (t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

We are interested in its smooth, real **space-compact** solutions.

- It admits **advanced/retarded Green's functions**, with kernels $E_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x})$ given by

$$\widehat{E}_{\pm}(t, k) = \pm\theta(\pm t) \frac{\sin(\epsilon(k)t)}{\epsilon(k)}, \quad \epsilon(k) = (k^2 + m^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- the difference $E := E_+ - E_-$ is anti-symmetric, called the **Pauli-Jordan function**. Clearly

$$E : C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG).$$

- Actually $\text{Ran}E = \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG)$, $\text{Ker}E = (\square + m^2)C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$.

A quick overview of free Klein-Gordon fields on Minkowski space-time

- Consider on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} the free Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(KG) \quad \square\phi(x) + m^2\phi(x) = 0, \quad x = (t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

We are interested in its smooth, real **space-compact** solutions.

- It admits **advanced/retarded Green's functions**, with kernels $E_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x})$ given by

$$\widehat{E}_{\pm}(t, k) = \pm\theta(\pm t) \frac{\sin(\epsilon(k)t)}{\epsilon(k)}, \quad \epsilon(k) = (k^2 + m^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- the difference $E := E_+ - E_-$ is anti-symmetric, called the **Pauli-Jordan function**. Clearly

$$E : C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG).$$

- Actually $\text{Ran}E = \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG)$, $\text{Ker}E = (\square + m^2)C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$.

A quick overview of free Klein-Gordon fields on Minkowski space-time

- Consider on \mathbb{R}^{1+d} the free Klein-Gordon equation:

$$(KG) \quad \square\phi(x) + m^2\phi(x) = 0, \quad x = (t, \mathbf{x}), \quad \square = \partial_t^2 - \Delta_{\mathbf{x}}.$$

We are interested in its smooth, real **space-compact** solutions.

- It admits **advanced/retarded Green's functions**, with kernels $E_{\pm}(t, \mathbf{x})$ given by

$$\widehat{E}_{\pm}(t, k) = \pm\theta(\pm t) \frac{\sin(\epsilon(k)t)}{\epsilon(k)}, \quad \epsilon(k) = (k^2 + m^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

- the difference $E := E_+ - E_-$ is anti-symmetric, called the **Pauli-Jordan function**. Clearly

$$E : C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d}) \rightarrow \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG).$$

- Actually $\text{Ran}E = \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(KG)$, $\text{Ker}E = (\square + m^2)C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$.

Free Klein-Gordon fields

- We associate to each (real valued) $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ a symbol $\phi(u)$ and impose the relations:
 - $\phi(u + \lambda v) = \phi(u) + \lambda\phi(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} -linearity),
 - $\phi^*(u) = \phi(u)$ (selfadjointness)
 - $[\phi(u), \phi(v)] := i(u|Ev)\mathbb{1}$ (canonical commutation relations).
- taking the quotient of the complex polynomials in the $\phi(\cdot)$ by the above relations, we obtain a $*$ -algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ (Borchers algebra).

Free Klein-Gordon fields

- We associate to each (real valued) $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ a symbol $\phi(u)$ and impose the relations:
- $\phi(u + \lambda v) = \phi(u) + \lambda\phi(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} -linearity),
- $\phi^*(u) = \phi(u)$ (selfadjointness)
- $[\phi(u), \phi(v)] := i(u|Ev)\mathbb{1}$ (canonical commutation relations).
- taking the quotient of the complex polynomials in the $\phi(\cdot)$ by the above relations, we obtain a $*$ -algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ (Borchers algebra).

Free Klein-Gordon fields

- We associate to each (real valued) $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ a symbol $\phi(u)$ and impose the relations:
- $\phi(u + \lambda v) = \phi(u) + \lambda\phi(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} -linearity),
- $\phi^*(u) = \phi(u)$ (selfadjointness)
- $[\phi(u), \phi(v)] := i(u|Ev)\mathbb{1}$ (canonical commutation relations).
- taking the quotient of the complex polynomials in the $\phi(\cdot)$ by the above relations, we obtain a $*$ -algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ (Borchers algebra).

Free Klein-Gordon fields

- We associate to each (real valued) $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ a symbol $\phi(u)$ and impose the relations:
- $\phi(u + \lambda v) = \phi(u) + \lambda\phi(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} -linearity),
- $\phi^*(u) = \phi(u)$ (selfadjointness)
- $[\phi(u), \phi(v)] := i(u|Ev)\mathbb{1}$ (canonical commutation relations).
- taking the quotient of the complex polynomials in the $\phi(\cdot)$ by the above relations, we obtain a $*$ -algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ (Borchers algebra).

Free Klein-Gordon fields

- We associate to each (real valued) $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ a symbol $\phi(u)$ and impose the relations:
- $\phi(u + \lambda v) = \phi(u) + \lambda\phi(v)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ (\mathbb{R} -linearity),
- $\phi^*(u) = \phi(u)$ (selfadjointness)
- $[\phi(u), \phi(v)] := i(u|Ev)\mathbb{1}$ (canonical commutation relations).
- taking the quotient of the complex polynomials in the $\phi(\cdot)$ by the above relations, we obtain a $*$ -algebra denoted by $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ (Borchers algebra).

The vacuum state on Minkowski

- A **quasi-free state** ω on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ is a state (positive linear functional) which is uniquely determined by its **covariance** H defined by:
 - $\omega(\phi(\bar{u})\phi(v)) =: (u|Hv) + i(u|Ev)$.
 - Among all quasi-free states, there is a unique state ω_{vac} , the **vacuum state** such that:
 - 1) H_{vac} is invariant under space-time translations, hence is given by convolution with a function $H_{\text{vac}}(x)$,
 - 2) $\hat{H}_{\text{vac}}(\tau, k)$ is supported in $\{\tau > 0\}$ (**positive energy condition**).

The vacuum state on Minkowski

- A **quasi-free state** ω on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ is a state (positive linear functional) which is uniquely determined by its **covariance** H defined by:
- $\omega(\phi(\bar{u})\phi(v)) =: (u|Hv) + i(u|Ev)$.
- Among all quasi-free states, there is a unique state ω_{vac} , the **vacuum state** such that:
 - 1) H_{vac} is invariant under space-time translations, hence is given by convolution with a function $H_{\text{vac}}(x)$,
 - 2) $\hat{H}_{\text{vac}}(\tau, k)$ is supported in $\{\tau > 0\}$ (**positive energy condition**).

The vacuum state on Minkowski

- A **quasi-free state** ω on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ is a state (positive linear functional) which is uniquely determined by its **covariance** H defined by:
- $\omega(\phi(\bar{u})\phi(v)) =: (u|Hv) + i(u|Ev)$.
- Among all quasi-free states, there is a unique state ω_{vac} , the **vacuum state** such that:
 - 1) H_{vac} is invariant under space-time translations, hence is given by convolution with a function $H_{\text{vac}}(x)$,
 - 2) $\hat{H}_{\text{vac}}(\tau, k)$ is supported in $\{\tau > 0\}$ (**positive energy condition**).

The vacuum state on Minkowski

- A **quasi-free state** ω on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ is a state (positive linear functional) which is uniquely determined by its **covariance** H defined by:
- $\omega(\phi(\bar{u})\phi(v)) =: (u|Hv) + i(u|Ev)$.
- Among all quasi-free states, there is a unique state ω_{vac} , the **vacuum state** such that:
 - 1) H_{vac} is invariant under space-time translations, hence is given by convolution with a function $H_{\text{vac}}(x)$,
 - 2) $\hat{H}_{\text{vac}}(\tau, k)$ is supported in $\{\tau > 0\}$ (**positive energy condition**).

The vacuum state on Minkowski

- A **quasi-free state** ω on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{R}^{1+d})$ is a state (positive linear functional) which is uniquely determined by its **covariance** H defined by:
- $\omega(\phi(\bar{u})\phi(v)) =: (u|Hv) + i(u|Ev)$.
- Among all quasi-free states, there is a unique state ω_{vac} , the **vacuum state** such that:
 - 1) H_{vac} is invariant under space-time translations, hence is given by convolution with a function $H_{\text{vac}}(x)$,
 - 2) $\hat{H}_{\text{vac}}(\tau, k)$ is supported in $\{\tau > 0\}$ (**positive energy condition**).

What happens for Klein-Gordon fields on a curved space-time ?

- Consider a manifold M with Lorentzian metric g , and the associated Klein-Gordon operator $\square_g + m^2$.
- $\square_g + m^2$ should admit unique advanced/retarded Green operators. Answer (Leray): (M, g) should be **globally hyperbolic**.
- The Borchers algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can then be constructed as before and states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can be considered.
- **fundamental problem**: what is a **vacuum state** on a curved space-time ?
- Even on Minkowski the notion of vacuum state is observer-dependent (**Unruh effect**): we singled out the time variable in the positive energy condition.

What happens for Klein-Gordon fields on a curved space-time ?

- Consider a manifold M with Lorentzian metric g , and the associated Klein-Gordon operator $\square_g + m^2$.
- $\square_g + m^2$ should admit unique advanced/retarded Green operators. Answer (Leray): (M, g) should be **globally hyperbolic**.
- The Borchers algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can then be constructed as before and states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can be considered.
- **fundamental problem**: what is a **vacuum state** on a curved space-time ?
- Even on Minkowski the notion of vacuum state is observer-dependent (**Unruh effect**): we singled out the time variable in the positive energy condition.

What happens for Klein-Gordon fields on a curved space-time ?

- Consider a manifold M with Lorentzian metric g , and the associated Klein-Gordon operator $\square_g + m^2$.
- $\square_g + m^2$ should admit unique advanced/retarded Green operators. Answer (Leray): (M, g) should be **globally hyperbolic**.
- The Borchers algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can then be constructed as before and states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can be considered.
- **fundamental problem**: what is a **vacuum state** on a curved space-time ?
- Even on Minkowski the notion of vacuum state is observer-dependent (**Unruh effect**): we singled out the time variable in the positive energy condition.

What happens for Klein-Gordon fields on a curved space-time ?

- Consider a manifold M with Lorentzian metric g , and the associated Klein-Gordon operator $\square_g + m^2$.
- $\square_g + m^2$ should admit unique advanced/retarded Green operators. Answer (Leray): (M, g) should be **globally hyperbolic**.
- The Borchers algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can then be constructed as before and states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can be considered.
- **fundamental problem**: what is a **vacuum state** on a curved space-time ?
- Even on Minkowski the notion of vacuum state is observer-dependent (**Unruh effect**): we singled out the time variable in the positive energy condition.

What happens for Klein-Gordon fields on a curved space-time ?

- Consider a manifold M with Lorentzian metric g , and the associated Klein-Gordon operator $\square_g + m^2$.
- $\square_g + m^2$ should admit unique advanced/retarded Green operators. Answer (Leray): (M, g) should be **globally hyperbolic**.
- The Borchers algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can then be constructed as before and states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ can be considered.
- **fundamental problem**: what is a **vacuum state** on a curved space-time ?
- Even on Minkowski the notion of vacuum state is observer-dependent (**Unruh effect**): we singled out the time variable in the positive energy condition.

The solution: Hadamard states

In the 80's physicists introduced the notion of *Hadamard states*, characterized by the singularity structure of the distributional kernel of their covariances (aka *two-point functions*).

They share many properties with the vacuum state in Minkowski space: for example the *stress-energy tensor* can be renormalized w.r.t. a Hadamard state.

In 1996, microlocal analysis entered the scene: Radzikowski showed that Hadamard states can be characterized only in terms of the *wave front set* of their two-point function.

Essential ingredient: notion of *distinguished parametrices* introduced by Duistermaat-Hörmander in [FIO II].

The solution: Hadamard states

In the 80's physicists introduced the notion of *Hadamard states*, characterized by the singularity structure of the distributional kernel of their covariances (aka *two-point functions*).

They share many properties with the vacuum state in Minkowski space: for example the *stress-energy tensor* can be renormalized w.r.t. a Hadamard state.

In 1996, microlocal analysis entered the scene: Radzikowski showed that Hadamard states can be characterized only in terms of the *wave front set* of their two-point function.

Essential ingredient: notion of *distinguished parametrices* introduced by Duistermaat-Hörmander in [FIO II].

The solution: Hadamard states

In the 80's physicists introduced the notion of *Hadamard states*, characterized by the singularity structure of the distributional kernel of their covariances (aka *two-point functions*).

They share many properties with the vacuum state in Minkowski space: for example the *stress-energy tensor* can be renormalized w.r.t. a Hadamard state.

In 1996, microlocal analysis entered the scene: Radzikowski showed that Hadamard states can be characterized only in terms of the *wave front set* of their two-point function.

Essential ingredient: notion of *distinguished parametrices* introduced by Duistermaat-Hörmander in [FIO II].

The solution: Hadamard states

In the 80's physicists introduced the notion of *Hadamard states*, characterized by the singularity structure of the distributional kernel of their covariances (aka *two-point functions*).

They share many properties with the vacuum state in Minkowski space: for example the *stress-energy tensor* can be renormalized w.r.t. a Hadamard state.

In 1996, microlocal analysis entered the scene: Radzikowski showed that Hadamard states can be characterized only in terms of the *wave front set* of their two-point function.

Essential ingredient: notion of *distinguished parametrices* introduced by Duistermaat-Hörmander in [FIO II].

Do Hadamard states exist on a globally hyperbolic space-time?

- It is not clear a priori that Hadamard states exist at all !
- Only known construction: [Fulling-Narrovich-Wald 1980]: indirect deformation argument to a static space-time.
- We reconsider the construction of Hadamard states on space-times with metric well-behaved at spatial infinity.
- Working on a fixed Cauchy surface, we can use rather standard pseudo-differential analysis.
- We construct a large class of Hadamard states with pdo covariances, in particular all *pure* Hadamard states.

Do Hadamard states exist on a globally hyperbolic space-time?

- It is not clear a priori that Hadamard states exist at all !
- Only known construction: [Fulling-Narovich-Wald 1980]: indirect deformation argument to a static space-time.
- We reconsider the construction of Hadamard states on space-times with metric well-behaved at spatial infinity.
- Working on a fixed Cauchy surface, we can use rather standard pseudo-differential analysis.
- We construct a large class of Hadamard states with pdo covariances, in particular all *pure* Hadamard states.

Do Hadamard states exist on a globally hyperbolic space-time?

- It is not clear a priori that Hadamard states exist at all !
- Only known construction: [Fulling-Narovich-Wald 1980]: indirect deformation argument to a static space-time.
- We reconsider the construction of Hadamard states on space-times with metric well-behaved at spatial infinity.
- Working on a fixed Cauchy surface, we can use rather standard pseudo-differential analysis.
- We construct a large class of Hadamard states with pdo covariances, in particular all *pure* Hadamard states.

Do Hadamard states exist on a globally hyperbolic space-time?

- It is not clear a priori that Hadamard states exist at all !
- Only known construction: [Fulling-Narovich-Wald 1980]: indirect deformation argument to a static space-time.
- We reconsider the construction of Hadamard states on space-times with metric well-behaved at spatial infinity.
- Working on a fixed Cauchy surface, we can use rather standard pseudo-differential analysis.
- We construct a large class of Hadamard states with pdo covariances, in particular all *pure* Hadamard states.

Do Hadamard states exist on a globally hyperbolic space-time?

- It is not clear a priori that Hadamard states exist at all !
- Only known construction: [Fulling-Narovich-Wald 1980]: indirect deformation argument to a static space-time.
- We reconsider the construction of Hadamard states on space-times with metric well-behaved at spatial infinity.
- Working on a fixed Cauchy surface, we can use rather standard pseudo-differential analysis.
- We construct a large class of Hadamard states with pdo covariances, in particular all *pure* Hadamard states.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

Consider a Lorentzian space-time $(M, g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$, with metric signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

- Using the metric one defines *time-like, causal, space-like* vector fields / curves in M .
- Assume that M is *time-orientable*, i.e. there is a global, continuous time-like vector field on M .
- For $x \in M$, the *future/past causal shadow* of x , $J^\pm(x)$ is the set of points reached from x by future/past directed causal curves. For $U \subset M$ $J^\pm(U) := \bigcup_{x \in U} J^\pm(x)$.
- (M, g) is *globally hyperbolic* if M admits a *Cauchy hypersurface*, i.e. a space-like hypersurface Σ such that each maximal time-like curve in M intersects Σ at exactly one point.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

Consider a Lorentzian space-time $(M, g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$, with metric signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

- Using the metric one defines *time-like, causal, space-like* vector fields / curves in M .
- Assume that M is *time-orientable*, i.e. there is a global, continuous time-like vector field on M .
- For $x \in M$, the *future/past causal shadow* of x , $J^\pm(x)$ is the set of points reached from x by future/past directed causal curves. For $U \subset M$ $J^\pm(U) := \bigcup_{x \in U} J^\pm(x)$.
- (M, g) is *globally hyperbolic* if M admits a *Cauchy hypersurface*, i.e. a space-like hypersurface Σ such that each maximal time-like curve in M intersects Σ at exactly one point.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

Consider a Lorentzian space-time $(M, g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$, with metric signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

- Using the metric one defines *time-like, causal, space-like* vector fields / curves in M .
- Assume that M is *time-orientable*, i.e. there is a global, continuous time-like vector field on M .
- For $x \in M$, the *future/past causal shadow* of x , $J^\pm(x)$ is the set of points reached from x by future/past directed causal curves. For $U \subset M$ $J^\pm(U) := \bigcup_{x \in U} J^\pm(x)$.
- (M, g) is *globally hyperbolic* if M admits a *Cauchy hypersurface*, i.e. a space-like hypersurface Σ such that each maximal time-like curve in M intersects Σ at exactly one point.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

Consider a Lorentzian space-time $(M, g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$, with metric signature $(-, +, \dots, +)$.

- Using the metric one defines *time-like, causal, space-like* vector fields / curves in M .
- Assume that M is *time-orientable*, i.e. there is a global, continuous time-like vector field on M .
- For $x \in M$, the *future/past causal shadow* of x , $J^\pm(x)$ is the set of points reached from x by future/past directed causal curves. For $U \subset M$ $J^\pm(U) := \bigcup_{x \in U} J^\pm(x)$.
- (M, g) is *globally hyperbolic* if M admits a *Cauchy hypersurface*, i.e. a space-like hypersurface Σ such that each maximal time-like curve in M intersects Σ at exactly one point.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

- This is equivalent to:
 M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with metric $-\beta dt^2 + h_t$, where β is a smooth positive function, h_t is a riemannian metric on Σ depending smoothly on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Denote for $x \in M$ by $V_{\pm}(x) \subset T_x M$ the open *future/past light cones* at x .
- The *dual cones* $V_{\pm}^*(x) \subset T_x^* M$ are defined as:
$$V_{\pm}^*(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^* M : \xi \cdot v > 0, \forall v \in V_{\pm}(x), v \neq 0\}.$$
- Interpreted as *positive/negative energy* cones.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

- This is equivalent to:
 M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with metric $-\beta dt^2 + h_t$, where β is a smooth positive function, h_t is a riemannian metric on Σ depending smoothly on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Denote for $x \in M$ by $V_{\pm}(x) \subset T_x M$ the open *future/past light cones* at x .
- The *dual cones* $V_{\pm}^*(x) \subset T_x^* M$ are defined as:
$$V_{\pm}^*(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^* M : \xi \cdot v > 0, \forall v \in V_{\pm}(x), v \neq 0\}.$$
- Interpreted as *positive/negative energy* cones.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

- This is equivalent to:
 M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with metric $-\beta dt^2 + h_t$, where β is a smooth positive function, h_t is a riemannian metric on Σ depending smoothly on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Denote for $x \in M$ by $V_{\pm}(x) \subset T_x M$ the open *future/past light cones* at x .
- The *dual cones* $V_{\pm}^*(x) \subset T_x^* M$ are defined as:
$$V_{\pm}^*(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^* M : \xi \cdot v > 0, \forall v \in V_{\pm}(x), v \neq 0\}.$$
- Interpreted as *positive/negative energy* cones.

Globally hyperbolic space-times

- This is equivalent to:
 M is isometric to $\mathbb{R} \times \Sigma$ with metric $-\beta dt^2 + h_t$, where β is a smooth positive function, h_t is a riemannian metric on Σ depending smoothly on $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
- Denote for $x \in M$ by $V_{\pm}(x) \subset T_x M$ the open *future/past light cones* at x .
- The *dual cones* $V_{\pm}^*(x) \subset T_x^* M$ are defined as:
$$V_{\pm}^*(x) = \{\xi \in T_x^* M : \xi \cdot v > 0, \forall v \in V_{\pm}(x), v \neq 0\}.$$
- Interpreted as *positive/negative energy* cones.

Klein-Gordon equations

Consider a globally hyperbolic space-time $(M, g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu)$.

Standard notations:

$$|g| := \det[g_{\mu\nu}], \quad [g^{\mu\nu}] := [g_{\mu\nu}]^{-1}, \quad dv := |g|^{\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

We fix a smooth vector potential $A_\mu(x) dx^\mu$ and a smooth function $\rho : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

- **Klein-Gordon operator:**

$$P(x, D_x) = |g|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (\partial_\mu + iA_\mu) |g|^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\nu + iA_\nu) + \rho.$$

Advanced/retarded fundamental solutions

- $P(x, D_x)$ admits unique *advanced/retarded fundamental solutions* E_{\pm} solving:

$$P(x, D_x) \circ E_{\pm} = \mathbb{1},$$

$$\text{supp} E_{\pm} f \subset J^{\pm}(\text{supp} f), \quad f \in C_0^{\infty}(M),$$

- Moreover $E_- = E_+^*$, for scalar product $(u_1 | u_2) = \int_M \bar{u}_1 u_2 dv$.

Advanced/retarded fundamental solutions

- $P(x, D_x)$ admits unique *advanced/retarded fundamental solutions* E_{\pm} solving:

$$P(x, D_x) \circ E_{\pm} = \mathbb{1},$$

$$\text{supp} E_{\pm} f \subset J^{\pm}(\text{supp} f), \quad f \in C_0^{\infty}(M),$$

- Moreover $E_- = E_+^*$, for scalar product $(u_1 | u_2) = \int_M \bar{u}_1 u_2 dv$.

Symplectic space of solutions

Let $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P)$ be the space of smooth, *space-compact* solutions of

$$\text{(KG)} \quad P(x, D_x)\phi = 0.$$

- $E = E_+ - E_-$, called the *Pauli-Jordan commutator function*. Note that $E = -E^*$, $PE = EP = 0$.
- One has $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) = EC_0^\infty(M)$, $\text{Ker}E = PC_0^\infty(M)$.
- Moreover if we fix a Cauchy hypersurface Σ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) &\rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \\ \phi &\mapsto (\phi|_\Sigma, i^{-1}n^\mu(\nabla_\mu + iA_\mu)\phi|_\Sigma) =: (\rho_0\phi, \rho_1\phi), \end{aligned}$$

then $\rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ is bijective.

Symplectic space of solutions

Let $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P)$ be the space of smooth, *space-compact* solutions of

$$\text{(KG)} \quad P(x, D_x)\phi = 0.$$

- $E = E_+ - E_-$, called the *Pauli-Jordan commutator function*. Note that $E = -E^*$, $PE = EP = 0$.
- One has $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) = EC_0^\infty(M)$, $\text{Ker}E = PC_0^\infty(M)$.
- Moreover if we fix a Cauchy hypersurface Σ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) &\rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \\ \phi &\mapsto (\phi|_\Sigma, i^{-1}n^\mu(\nabla_\mu + iA_\mu)\phi|_\Sigma) =: (\rho_0\phi, \rho_1\phi), \end{aligned}$$

then $\rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ is bijective.

Symplectic space of solutions

Let $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P)$ be the space of smooth, *space-compact* solutions of

$$\text{(KG)} \quad P(x, D_x)\phi = 0.$$

- $E = E_+ - E_-$, called the *Pauli-Jordan commutator function*. Note that $E = -E^*$, $PE = EP = 0$.
- One has $\text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) = EC_0^\infty(M)$, $\text{Ker}E = PC_0^\infty(M)$.
- Moreover if we fix a Cauchy hypersurface Σ and set

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) &\rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \\ \phi &\mapsto (\phi|_\Sigma, i^{-1}n^\mu(\nabla_\mu + iA_\mu)\phi|_\Sigma) =: (\rho_0\phi, \rho_1\phi), \end{aligned}$$

then $\rho : \text{Sol}_{\text{sc}}(P) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$ is bijective.

Symplectic space of solutions

- Denote by σ the canonical symplectic form on $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$:

$$(f|\sigma g) := -i \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{f}_0 g_1 + \bar{f}_1 g_0) ds, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma),$$

- One has $(u_1|Eu_2) = (\rho \circ Eu_1|\sigma \rho \circ Eu_2)$ for $u_1, u_2 \in C_0^\infty(M)$.
- Hence $(C_0^\infty(M)/PC_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a symplectic space, isomorphic to $(C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \sigma)$ under the map $\rho \circ E$.

Symplectic space of solutions

- Denote by σ the canonical symplectic form on $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$:

$$(f|\sigma g) := -i \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{f}_0 g_1 + \bar{f}_1 g_0) ds, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma),$$

- One has $(u_1|Eu_2) = (\rho \circ Eu_1|\sigma \rho \circ Eu_2)$ for $u_1, u_2 \in C_0^\infty(M)$.
- Hence $(C_0^\infty(M)/PC_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a symplectic space, isomorphic to $(C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \sigma)$ under the map $\rho \circ E$.

Symplectic space of solutions

- Denote by σ the canonical symplectic form on $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma)$:

$$(f|\sigma g) := -i \int_{\Sigma} (\bar{f}_0 g_1 + \bar{f}_1 g_0) ds, \quad f, g \in C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \oplus C_0^\infty(\Sigma),$$

- One has $(u_1|Eu_2) = (\rho \circ Eu_1|\sigma \rho \circ Eu_2)$ for $u_1, u_2 \in C_0^\infty(M)$.
- Hence $(C_0^\infty(M)/PC_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a symplectic space, isomorphic to $(C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \sigma)$ under the map $\rho \circ E$.

Quantum fields on curved space-times

Since $(C_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a (complex) symplectic space, it is more convenient to generate the Borchers algebra by **charged fields**:

We associate to each $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ symbols $\psi(u)$, $\psi^*(u)$ such that:

- $\psi^*(u + \lambda v) = \psi^*(u) + \lambda \psi^*(v)$, $\psi(u + \lambda v) = \psi(u) + \bar{\lambda} \psi(v)$,
(\mathbb{C} -linearity / anti-linearity).
- $\psi(u)^* = \psi^*(u)$,
- $[\psi(u_1), \psi(u_2)] = [\psi^*(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = 0$,
 $[\psi(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = i(u_1 | E u_2) \mathbb{1}$ (canonical comm. rel.)

One can again consider the Borchers $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$, consisting of polynomials in the fields, quotiented by the above relations.

Quantum fields on curved space-times

Since $(C_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a (complex) symplectic space, it is more convenient to generate the Borchers algebra by **charged fields**:

We associate to each $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ symbols $\psi(u)$, $\psi^*(u)$ such that:

- $\psi^*(u + \lambda v) = \psi^*(u) + \lambda \psi^*(v)$, $\psi(u + \lambda v) = \psi(u) + \bar{\lambda} \psi(v)$,
(\mathbb{C} -linearity / anti-linearity).
- $\psi(u)^* = \psi^*(u)$,
- $[\psi(u_1), \psi(u_2)] = [\psi^*(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = 0$,
 $[\psi(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = i(u_1 | E u_2) \mathbb{1}$ (canonical comm. rel.)

One can again consider the Borchers $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$, consisting of polynomials in the fields, quotiented by the above relations.

Quantum fields on curved space-times

Since $(C_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a (complex) symplectic space, it is more convenient to generate the Borchers algebra by **charged fields**:

We associate to each $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ symbols $\psi(u)$, $\psi^*(u)$ such that:

- $\psi^*(u + \lambda v) = \psi^*(u) + \lambda \psi^*(v)$, $\psi(u + \lambda v) = \psi(u) + \bar{\lambda} \psi(v)$,
(\mathbb{C} -linearity / anti-linearity).
- $\psi(u)^* = \psi^*(u)$,
- $[\psi(u_1), \psi(u_2)] = [\psi^*(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = 0$,
 $[\psi(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = i(u_1 | E u_2) \mathbb{1}$ (canonical comm. rel.)

One can again consider the Borchers $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$, consisting of polynomials in the fields, quotiented by the above relations.

Quantum fields on curved space-times

Since $(C_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a (complex) symplectic space, it is more convenient to generate the Borchers algebra by **charged fields**:

We associate to each $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ symbols $\psi(u)$, $\psi^*(u)$ such that:

- $\psi^*(u + \lambda v) = \psi^*(u) + \lambda \psi^*(v)$, $\psi(u + \lambda v) = \psi(u) + \bar{\lambda} \psi(v)$,
(\mathbb{C} -linearity / anti-linearity).
- $\psi(u)^* = \psi^*(u)$,
- $[\psi(u_1), \psi(u_2)] = [\psi^*(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = 0$,
 $[\psi(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = i(u_1 | E u_2) \mathbb{1}$ (canonical comm. rel.)

One can again consider the Borchers $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$, consisting of polynomials in the fields, quotiented by the above relations.

Quantum fields on curved space-times

Since $(C_0^\infty(M), E)$ is a (complex) symplectic space, it is more convenient to generate the Borchers algebra by **charged fields**:

We associate to each $u \in C_0^\infty(M)$ symbols $\psi(u)$, $\psi^*(u)$ such that:

- $\psi^*(u + \lambda v) = \psi^*(u) + \lambda \psi^*(v)$, $\psi(u + \lambda v) = \psi(u) + \bar{\lambda} \psi(v)$,
(\mathbb{C} -linearity / anti-linearity).
- $\psi(u)^* = \psi^*(u)$,
- $[\psi(u_1), \psi(u_2)] = [\psi^*(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = 0$,
 $[\psi(u_1), \psi^*(u_2)] = i(u_1 | E u_2) \mathbb{1}$ (canonical comm. rel.)

One can again consider the Borchers $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(M)$, consisting of polynomials in the fields, quotiented by the above relations.

Quasi-free states

- the simplest states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are *quasi-free states*, defined by the property:

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi^*(v_j)) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^n \psi^*(v_j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \omega(\psi(u_i) \psi^*(u_{\sigma(i)})).$$

- The pair of sesquilinear forms

$$(u_1 | \Lambda_+ u_2) := \omega(\psi(u_1) \psi^*(u_2)), \quad (u_1 | \Lambda_- u_2) := \omega(\psi^*(u_2) \psi(u_1)),$$

are called the *covariances* of the quasi-free state ω .

- A pair of sesquilinear forms Λ_{\pm} are the covariances of a (unique) quasi-free state ω iff

$$\Lambda_{\pm} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_- = iE,$$

where E is the commutator function.

Quasi-free states

- the simplest states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are *quasi-free states*, defined by the property:

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi^*(v_j)) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^n \psi^*(v_j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \omega(\psi(u_i) \psi^*(u_{\sigma(i)})).$$

- The pair of sesquilinear forms

$$(u_1 | \Lambda_+ u_2) := \omega(\psi(u_1) \psi^*(u_2)), \quad (u_1 | \Lambda_- u_2) := \omega(\psi^*(u_2) \psi(u_1)),$$

are called the *covariances* of the quasi-free state ω .

- A pair of sesquilinear forms Λ_{\pm} are the covariances of a (unique) quasi-free state ω iff

$$\Lambda_{\pm} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_- = iE,$$

where E is the commutator function.

Quasi-free states

- the simplest states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are *quasi-free states*, defined by the property:

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi^*(v_j)) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^n \psi^*(v_j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \omega(\psi(u_i) \psi^*(u_{\sigma(i)})).$$

- The pair of sesquilinear forms

$$(u_1 | \Lambda_+ u_2) := \omega(\psi(u_1) \psi^*(u_2)), \quad (u_1 | \Lambda_- u_2) := \omega(\psi^*(u_2) \psi(u_1)),$$

are called the *covariances* of the quasi-free state ω .

- A pair of sesquilinear forms Λ_{\pm} are the covariances of a (unique) quasi-free state ω iff

$$\Lambda_{\pm} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_- = iE,$$

where E is the commutator function.

Quasi-free states

- the simplest states on $\mathcal{A}(M)$ are *quasi-free states*, defined by the property:

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^m \psi^*(v_j)) = 0, \quad i \neq j$$

$$\omega(\prod_{i=1}^n \psi(u_i) \prod_{j=1}^n \psi^*(v_j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \prod_{i=1}^n \omega(\psi(u_i) \psi^*(u_{\sigma(i)})).$$

- The pair of sesquilinear forms

$$(u_1 | \Lambda_+ u_2) := \omega(\psi(u_1) \psi^*(u_2)), \quad (u_1 | \Lambda_- u_2) := \omega(\psi^*(u_2) \psi(u_1)),$$

are called the *covariances* of the quasi-free state ω .

- A pair of sesquilinear forms Λ_{\pm} are the covariances of a (unique) quasi-free state ω iff

$$\Lambda_{\pm} \geq 0, \quad \Lambda_+ - \Lambda_- = iE,$$

where E is the commutator function.

Hadamard states

The covariances Λ_{\pm} can be viewed as distributions on $M \times M$ (modulo some obvious continuity condition + Schwartz kernel theorem).

- Denote $p(x, \xi) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ the principal symbol of $P(x, D_x)$,
- $\mathcal{N} = p^{-1}(\{0\})$ *energy surface*,
 $\mathcal{N}_{\pm} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{N} : \xi \in V_{\pm}^*(x)\}$, *positive/negative energy surfaces*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_+ \cup \mathcal{N}_-$,
- For $X_i = (x_i, \xi_i)$ write $X_1 \sim X_2$ if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, X_1, X_2 on the same Hamiltonian curve of p .

Definition

ω is a *Hadamard state* if

$$WF(\Lambda_{\pm})' \subset \{(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \sim X_2, : X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\pm}\}.$$

Hadamard states

The covariances Λ_{\pm} can be viewed as distributions on $M \times M$ (modulo some obvious continuity condition + Schwartz kernel theorem).

- Denote $p(x, \xi) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ the principal symbol of $P(x, D_x)$,
- $\mathcal{N} = p^{-1}(\{0\})$ *energy surface*,
 $\mathcal{N}_{\pm} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{N} : \xi \in V_{\pm}^*(x)\}$, *positive/negative energy surfaces*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_+ \cup \mathcal{N}_-$,
- For $X_i = (x_i, \xi_i)$ write $X_1 \sim X_2$ if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, X_1, X_2 on the same Hamiltonian curve of p .

Definition

ω is a *Hadamard state* if

$$WF(\Lambda_{\pm})' \subset \{(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \sim X_2, : X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\pm}\}.$$

Hadamard states

The covariances Λ_{\pm} can be viewed as distributions on $M \times M$ (modulo some obvious continuity condition + Schwartz kernel theorem).

- Denote $p(x, \xi) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ the principal symbol of $P(x, D_x)$,
- $\mathcal{N} = p^{-1}(\{0\})$ *energy surface*,
 $\mathcal{N}_{\pm} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{N} : \xi \in V_{\pm}^*(x)\}$, *positive/negative energy surfaces*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_+ \cup \mathcal{N}_-$,
- For $X_i = (x_i, \xi_i)$ write $X_1 \sim X_2$ if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, X_1, X_2 on the same Hamiltonian curve of p .

Definition

ω is a *Hadamard state* if

$$WF(\Lambda_{\pm})' \subset \{(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \sim X_2, : X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\pm}\}.$$

Hadamard states

The covariances Λ_{\pm} can be viewed as distributions on $M \times M$ (modulo some obvious continuity condition + Schwartz kernel theorem).

- Denote $p(x, \xi) = g^{\mu\nu}(x)\xi_{\mu}\xi_{\nu}$ the principal symbol of $P(x, D_x)$,
- $\mathcal{N} = p^{-1}(\{0\})$ *energy surface*,
 $\mathcal{N}_{\pm} = \{(x, \xi) \in \mathcal{N} : \xi \in V_{\pm}^*(x)\}$, *positive/negative energy surfaces*, $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{N}_+ \cup \mathcal{N}_-$,
- For $X_i = (x_i, \xi_i)$ write $X_1 \sim X_2$ if $X_1, X_2 \in \mathcal{N}$, X_1, X_2 on the same Hamiltonian curve of p .

Definition

ω is a *Hadamard state* if

$$WF(\Lambda_{\pm})' \subset \{(X_1, X_2) : X_1 \sim X_2, : X_1 \in \mathcal{N}_{\pm}\}.$$

Examples of Hadamard states

- If (M, g) is *stationary* i.e. admits a global time-like Killing vector field, then associated vacuum and thermal states are Hadamard [Sahlmann, Verch '97].
- if (M, g) is asymptotically flat at null infinity, some distinguished states at null infinity are Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09]
- the Unruh state on Schwarzschild space-time is Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11].
- If (M, g) has a compact Cauchy surface, Hadamard states exist [Junker '97].
- on general space-times, Hadamard states exist [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '80].

Examples of Hadamard states

- If (M, g) is *stationary* i.e. admits a global time-like Killing vector field, then associated vacuum and thermal states are Hadamard [Sahlmann, Verch '97].
- if (M, g) is asymptotically flat at null infinity, some distinguished states at null infinity are Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09]
- the Unruh state on Schwarzschild space-time is Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11].
- If (M, g) has a compact Cauchy surface, Hadamard states exist [Junker '97].
- on general space-times, Hadamard states exist [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '80].

Examples of Hadamard states

- If (M, g) is *stationary* i.e. admits a global time-like Killing vector field, then associated vacuum and thermal states are Hadamard [Sahlmann, Verch '97].
- if (M, g) is asymptotically flat at null infinity, some distinguished states at null infinity are Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09]
- the Unruh state on Schwarzschild space-time is Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11].
- If (M, g) has a compact Cauchy surface, Hadamard states exist [Junker '97].
- on general space-times, Hadamard states exist [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '80].

Examples of Hadamard states

- If (M, g) is *stationary* i.e. admits a global time-like Killing vector field, then associated vacuum and thermal states are Hadamard [Sahlmann, Verch '97].
- if (M, g) is asymptotically flat at null infinity, some distinguished states at null infinity are Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09]
- the Unruh state on Schwarzschild space-time is Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11].
- If (M, g) has a compact Cauchy surface, Hadamard states exist [Junker '97].
- on general space-times, Hadamard states exist [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '80].

Examples of Hadamard states

- If (M, g) is *stationary* i.e. admits a global time-like Killing vector field, then associated vacuum and thermal states are Hadamard [Sahlmann, Verch '97].
- if (M, g) is asymptotically flat at null infinity, some distinguished states at null infinity are Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '09]
- the Unruh state on Schwarzschild space-time is Hadamard [Dappiagi, Moretti, Pinamonti '11].
- If (M, g) has a compact Cauchy surface, Hadamard states exist [Junker '97].
- on general space-times, Hadamard states exist [Fulling, Narcowich, Wald '80].

Model Klein-Gordon equation

We consider the following model Klein-Gordon equation:

- $M = \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, $x = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}}) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_{x^j} a^{jk}(x) \partial_{x^k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b^j(x) \partial_{x^j} - \partial_{x^j} \bar{b}^j(x) + m(x),$$

- $[a^{jk}]$ uniformly elliptic, a^{jk} , b^j , m uniformly bounded with all derivatives in \mathbf{x} , locally in t .
- We consider $P(x, D_x) = \partial_t^2 + a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}})$.
- Klein-Gordon operators on a space-time (M, g) with a Cauchy surface $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$ and some uniform estimates on the metric can be reduced to this case.

Model Klein-Gordon equation

We consider the following model Klein-Gordon equation:

- $M = \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, $x = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}}) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_{x^j} a^{jk}(x) \partial_{x^k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b^j(x) \partial_{x^j} - \partial_{x^j} \bar{b}^j(x) + m(x),$$

- $[a^{jk}]$ uniformly elliptic, a^{jk} , b^j , m uniformly bounded with all derivatives in \mathbf{x} , locally in t .
- We consider $P(x, D_x) = \partial_t^2 + a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}})$.
- Klein-Gordon operators on a space-time (M, g) with a Cauchy surface $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$ and some uniform estimates on the metric can be reduced to this case.

Model Klein-Gordon equation

We consider the following model Klein-Gordon equation:

- $M = \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, $x = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}}) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_{x^j} a^{jk}(x) \partial_{x^k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b^j(x) \partial_{x^j} - \partial_{x^j} \bar{b}^j(x) + m(x),$$

- $[a^{jk}]$ uniformly elliptic, a^{jk} , b^j , m uniformly bounded with all derivatives in \mathbf{x} , locally in t .
- We consider $P(x, D_x) = \partial_t^2 + a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}})$.
- Klein-Gordon operators on a space-time (M, g) with a Cauchy surface $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$ and some uniform estimates on the metric can be reduced to this case.

Model Klein-Gordon equation

We consider the following model Klein-Gordon equation:

- $M = \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$, $x = (t, \mathbf{x}) \in \mathbb{R}^{1+d}$

$$a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}}) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \partial_{x^j} a^{jk}(x) \partial_{x^k} + \sum_{j=1}^d b^j(x) \partial_{x^j} - \partial_{x^j} \bar{b}^j(x) + m(x),$$

- $[a^{jk}]$ uniformly elliptic, a^{jk} , b^j , m uniformly bounded with all derivatives in \mathbf{x} , locally in t .
- We consider $P(x, D_x) = \partial_t^2 + a(t, \mathbf{x}, D_{\mathbf{x}})$.
- Klein-Gordon operators on a space-time (M, g) with a Cauchy surface $\Sigma = \mathbb{R}^d$ and some uniform estimates on the metric can be reduced to this case.

Pseudo-differential operators

The natural symbol classes of the problem are the classes $S^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of functions a such that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_k^\beta a(x, k) \in O(\langle k \rangle^{m-|\beta|}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

(actually their poly-homogeneous versions).

We denote $\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d) := \text{Op}^w(S^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$ the space of pseudodifferential operators of degree m .

Pseudo-differential operators

The natural symbol classes of the problem are the classes $S^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$, $m \in \mathbb{R}$, consists of functions a such that

$$\partial_x^\alpha \partial_k^\beta a(x, k) \in O(\langle k \rangle^{m-|\beta|}), \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}^d.$$

(actually their poly-homogeneous versions).

We denote $\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d) := \text{Op}^w(S^m(\mathbb{R}^{2d}))$ the space of pseudodifferential operators of degree m .

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Consider the Cauchy problem for P :

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi(t) + a(t, x, D_x) \phi(t) = 0, \\ \phi(0) = f_0, \\ i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0) = f_1, \end{cases}$$

essential step to construct Hadamard states for P : characterize solutions with wavefront set in \mathcal{N}^\pm in terms of their Cauchy data. method: construct a sufficiently explicit parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

tool: use pseudo-differential calculus (no need for Fourier integral operators, eikonal equations, etc.)

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Consider the Cauchy problem for P :

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi(t) + a(t, x, D_x) \phi(t) = 0, \\ \phi(0) = f_0, \\ i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0) = f_1, \end{cases}$$

essential step to construct Hadamard states for P : characterize solutions with wavefront set in \mathcal{N}^\pm in terms of their Cauchy data. method: construct a sufficiently explicit parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

tool: use pseudo-differential calculus (no need for Fourier integral operators, eikonal equations, etc.)

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Consider the Cauchy problem for P :

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi(t) + a(t, x, D_x) \phi(t) = 0, \\ \phi(0) = f_0, \\ i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0) = f_1, \end{cases}$$

essential step to construct Hadamard states for P : characterize solutions with wavefront set in \mathcal{N}^\pm in terms of their Cauchy data. method: construct a sufficiently explicit parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

tool: use pseudo-differential calculus (no need for Fourier integral operators, eikonal equations, etc.)

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Consider the Cauchy problem for P :

$$(C) \quad \begin{cases} \partial_t^2 \phi(t) + a(t, x, D_x) \phi(t) = 0, \\ \phi(0) = f_0, \\ i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0) = f_1, \end{cases}$$

essential step to construct Hadamard states for P : characterize solutions with wavefront set in \mathcal{N}^\pm in terms of their Cauchy data. method: construct a sufficiently explicit parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

tool: use pseudo-differential calculus (no need for Fourier integral operators, eikonal equations, etc.)

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Step 1: take a square root of $a(t)$: there exists $\epsilon(t, x, D_x) \in \Psi^1$ s.t. $a(t, x, D_x) = \epsilon^2(t, x, D_x) \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}$.

Step 2: construct asymptotic solutions: there exist $b(t) \in \Psi^1$, unique mod. $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $b(t) = \epsilon(t) + \Psi^0$ such that if $u_+(t) = T \exp(i \int_0^t b(s) ds)$, $u_-(t) = T \exp(-i \int_0^t b^*(s) ds)$ one has

$$(\partial_t^2 + \epsilon^2(t))u_{\pm}(t) = 0 \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}.$$

Step 3: adjust initial conditions: there exists $r \in \Psi^{-1}$, unique mod $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $r = \epsilon(0)^{-1} + \Psi^{-2}$ and $d_{\pm} \in \Psi^0$ such that if $r_+ := r$, $r_- := r^*$ and

$$U_{\pm}(t)f := u_{\pm}(t)d_{\pm}(f_0 \pm r_{\pm}f_1)$$

then $U(t) = U_+(t) + U_-(t)$ is a parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Step 1: take a square root of $a(t)$: there exists $\epsilon(t, x, D_x) \in \Psi^1$ s.t. $a(t, x, D_x) = \epsilon^2(t, x, D_x) \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}$.

Step 2: construct asymptotic solutions: there exist $b(t) \in \Psi^1$, unique mod. $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $b(t) = \epsilon(t) + \Psi^0$ such that if $u_+(t) = T \exp(i \int_0^t b(s) ds)$, $u_-(t) = T \exp(-i \int_0^t b^*(s) ds)$ one has

$$(\partial_t^2 + \epsilon^2(t))u_{\pm}(t) = 0 \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}.$$

Step 3: adjust initial conditions: there exists $r \in \Psi^{-1}$, unique mod $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $r = \epsilon(0)^{-1} + \Psi^{-2}$ and $d_{\pm} \in \Psi^0$ such that if $r_+ := r$, $r_- := r^*$ and

$$U_{\pm}(t)f := u_{\pm}(t)d_{\pm}(f_0 \pm r_{\pm}f_1)$$

then $U(t) = U_+(t) + U_-(t)$ is a parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

Parametrix for the Cauchy problem

Step 1: take a square root of $a(t)$: there exists $\epsilon(t, x, D_x) \in \Psi^1$ s.t. $a(t, x, D_x) = \epsilon^2(t, x, D_x) \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}$.

Step 2: construct asymptotic solutions: there exist $b(t) \in \Psi^1$, unique mod. $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $b(t) = \epsilon(t) + \Psi^0$ such that if $u_+(t) = T \exp(i \int_0^t b(s) ds)$, $u_-(t) = T \exp(-i \int_0^t b^*(s) ds)$ one has

$$(\partial_t^2 + \epsilon^2(t))u_{\pm}(t) = 0 \text{ mod } \Psi^{-\infty}.$$

Step 3: adjust initial conditions: there exists $r \in \Psi^{-1}$, unique mod $\Psi^{-\infty}$ with $r = \epsilon(0)^{-1} + \Psi^{-2}$ and $d_{\pm} \in \Psi^0$ such that if $r_+ := r$, $r_- := r^*$ and

$$U_{\pm}(t)f := u_{\pm}(t)d_{\pm}(f_0 \pm r_{\pm}f_1)$$

then $U(t) = U_+(t) + U_-(t)$ is a parametrix for the Cauchy problem (C).

Spaces of positive/negative wavefront set solutions

Using Egorov's theorem one gets that $WF(U_{\pm}(t)f) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$.

First consequence: set

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) := \{\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : P\phi = 0\},$$

(finite energy solutions),

and

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) := \{\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) : \phi(0) = \pm r_{\pm} i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0)\}.$$

Then:

Theorem

1) $\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) \Rightarrow WF\phi \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$,

2) $\pm i\sigma > 0$ on $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$, and the spaces $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$ are symplectically orthogonal.

Spaces of positive/negative wavefront set solutions

Using Egorov's theorem one gets that $WF(U_{\pm}(t)f) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$.

First consequence: set

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) := \{\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : P\phi = 0\},$$

(finite energy solutions),

and

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) := \{\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) : \phi(0) = \pm r_{\pm} i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0)\}.$$

Then:

Theorem

1) $\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) \Rightarrow WF\phi \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$,

2) $\pm i\sigma > 0$ on $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$, and the spaces $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$ are symplectically orthogonal.

Spaces of positive/negative wavefront set solutions

Using Egorov's theorem one gets that $WF(U_{\pm}(t)f) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$.

First consequence: set

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) := \{\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : P\phi = 0\},$$

(finite energy solutions),

and

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) := \{\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) : \phi(0) = \pm r_{\pm} i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0)\}.$$

Then:

Theorem

1) $\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) \Rightarrow WF\phi \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$,

2) $\pm i\sigma > 0$ on $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$, and the spaces $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$ are symplectically orthogonal.

Spaces of positive/negative wavefront set solutions

Using Egorov's theorem one gets that $WF(U_{\pm}(t)f) \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$.

First consequence: set

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) := \{\phi \in C^0(\mathbb{R}, H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)) \cap C^1(\mathbb{R}, L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)) : P\phi = 0\},$$

(finite energy solutions),

and

$$\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) := \{\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}(P) : \phi(0) = \pm r_{\pm} i^{-1} \partial_t \phi(0)\}.$$

Then:

Theorem

1) $\phi \in \text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r) \Rightarrow WF\phi \subset \mathcal{N}_{\pm}$,

2) $\pm i\sigma > 0$ on $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$, and the spaces $\text{Sol}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}(P, r)$ are symplectically orthogonal.

Hadamard states with pseudo-differential covariances

- Once having fixed r (it is not unique in the construction), we set

$$T(r) := (r + r^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & r \\ \mathbb{1} & -r^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $T(r)$ diagonalizes the symplectic form:

$$\tilde{\sigma} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ \sigma \circ T(r)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & i\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If c is a covariance on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (Cauchy data), set

$$\tilde{c} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ c \circ T(r)^{-1} =: \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_{++} & \tilde{c}_{+-} \\ \tilde{c}_{-+} & \tilde{c}_{--} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hadamard states with pseudo-differential covariances

- Once having fixed r (it is not unique in the construction), we set

$$T(r) := (r + r^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & r \\ \mathbb{1} & -r^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $T(r)$ diagonalizes the symplectic form:

$$\tilde{\sigma} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ \sigma \circ T(r)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & i\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If c is a covariance on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (Cauchy data), set

$$\tilde{c} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ c \circ T(r)^{-1} =: \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_{++} & \tilde{c}_{+-} \\ \tilde{c}_{-+} & \tilde{c}_{--} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hadamard states with pseudo-differential covariances

- Once having fixed r (it is not unique in the construction), we set

$$T(r) := (r + r^*)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & r \\ \mathbb{1} & -r^* \end{pmatrix}.$$

- $T(r)$ diagonalizes the symplectic form:

$$\tilde{\sigma} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ \sigma \circ T(r)^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -i\mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & i\mathbb{1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- If c is a covariance on $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ (Cauchy data), set

$$\tilde{c} := (T(r)^{-1})^* \circ c \circ T(r)^{-1} =: \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{c}_{++} & \tilde{c}_{+-} \\ \tilde{c}_{-+} & \tilde{c}_{--} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hadamard states with pseudo-differential covariances

We can identify a sesquilinear form C on $C_0^\infty(M)$ with a sesquilinear form on $C_0^\infty(\Sigma) \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ by

$$C = (\rho \circ E)^* \circ c \circ (\rho \circ E).$$

If we fix c we set $C_+ := C$, $C_- := C - iE$.

Theorem

Assume that c has pdo entries. Then the associate pair C_\pm satisfies the Hadamard condition iff:

$$\mathbb{1} - \tilde{c}_{++}, \tilde{c}_{+-}, \tilde{c}_{-+}, \tilde{c}_{--} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hadamard states with pseudo-differential covariances

One also has to check the conditions $C_{\pm} \geq 0$, which become

$$\tilde{c} \geq 0, \quad \tilde{c} \geq i\tilde{\sigma}.$$

Theorem

let $a_{-\infty}, b_{-\infty} \in \Psi^{-\infty}$, $a_0 \in \Psi^0$ with $\|a_0\| \leq 1$. Then if

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{c}_{++} &= \mathbb{1} + b_{-\infty}^* b_{-\infty}, \quad \tilde{c}_{--} = a_{-\infty}^* a_{-\infty}, \\ \tilde{c}_{+-} &= \tilde{c}_{-+} = b_{-\infty}^* a_0 a_{-\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

c is the covariance of a quasi-free Hadamard state.

Pure Hadamard states

One can completely describe *pure* Hadamard states with pdo covariances.

Theorem

c is the covariance of a pure Hadamard state iff

$$\tilde{c}_{++} = \mathbb{1} + a_{-\infty} a_{-\infty}^*,$$

$$\tilde{c}_{--} = a_{-\infty}^* a_{-\infty},$$

$$\tilde{c}_{+-} = \tilde{c}_{-+}^* = a_{-\infty} (\mathbb{1} + a_{-\infty}^* a_{-\infty})^{1/2}$$

for some $a_{-\infty} \in \Psi^{-\infty}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Canonical Hadamard state

Choose $a_{-\infty} = 0$ above. The corresponding state has covariance:

$$c(r) = \begin{pmatrix} (r + r^*)^{-1} & (r + r^*)^{-1}r \\ r^*(r + r^*)^{-1} & r^*(r + r^*)^{-1}r \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is called the *canonical Hadamard state* (associated to r).

Theorem

If r, r' are as before, then there exists a symplectic transformation $G \in \text{Sp}(\sigma)$ such that $c(r') = G^ \circ c(r) \circ G$ (covariance under symplectic transformations). Moreover G has pdo entries.*

Canonical Hadamard state

Choose $a_{-\infty} = 0$ above. The corresponding state has covariance:

$$c(r) = \begin{pmatrix} (r + r^*)^{-1} & (r + r^*)^{-1}r \\ r^*(r + r^*)^{-1} & r^*(r + r^*)^{-1}r \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is called the *canonical Hadamard state* (associated to r).

Theorem

If r, r' are as before, then there exists a symplectic transformation $G \in \text{Sp}(\sigma)$ such that $c(r') = G^ \circ c(r) \circ G$ (covariance under symplectic transformations). Moreover G has pdo entries.*

Basic examples

Consider the *static* case $a(t, x, D_x) = a(x, D_x)$ independent on t . Then one can define the *vacuum* (0-temperature) and *thermal state*.

covariance of the *vacuum state*: $\tilde{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

covariance of the *thermal state*:

$$\tilde{c}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\epsilon}(\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = a^{1/2}$.

Both covariances are pseudo-differential and Hadamard.

Basic examples

Consider the *static* case $a(t, x, D_x) = a(x, D_x)$ independent on t . Then one can define the *vacuum* (0-temperature) and *thermal state*.

covariance of the *vacuum state*: $\tilde{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

covariance of the *thermal state*:

$$\tilde{c}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\epsilon}(\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = a^{1/2}$.

Both covariances are pseudo-differential and Hadamard.

Basic examples

Consider the *static* case $a(t, x, D_x) = a(x, D_x)$ independent on t . Then one can define the *vacuum* (0-temperature) and *thermal state*.

covariance of the *vacuum state*: $\tilde{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

covariance of the *thermal state*:

$$\tilde{c}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\epsilon}(\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = a^{1/2}$.

Both covariances are pseudo-differential and Hadamard.

Basic examples

Consider the *static* case $a(t, x, D_x) = a(x, D_x)$ independent on t . Then one can define the *vacuum* (0-temperature) and *thermal state*.

covariance of the *vacuum state*: $\tilde{c} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbb{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$,

covariance of the *thermal state*:

$$\tilde{c}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} (\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-\beta\epsilon}(\mathbb{1} - e^{-\beta\epsilon})^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\epsilon = a^{1/2}$.

Both covariances are pseudo-differential and Hadamard.

Open problems

- Relax condition on the metric at spatial infinity.
- Replace Cauchy surface by a characteristic manifold (backward lightcone).
- Treat fermionic (Dirac) fields.
- What happens for gauge theories (Maxwell, Yang-Mills) ? existence of Hadamard states is still unknown for (linearized) Yang-Mills fields (deformation argument does not work anymore).

Open problems

- Relax condition on the metric at spatial infinity.
- Replace Cauchy surface by a characteristic manifold (backward lightcone).
- Treat fermionic (Dirac) fields.
- What happens for gauge theories (Maxwell, Yang-Mills) ? existence of Hadamard states is still unknown for (linearized) Yang-Mills fields (deformation argument does not work anymore).

Open problems

- Relax condition on the metric at spatial infinity.
- Replace Cauchy surface by a characteristic manifold (backward lightcone).
- Treat fermionic (Dirac) fields.
- What happens for gauge theories (Maxwell, Yang-Mills) ? existence of Hadamard states is still unknown for (linearized) Yang-Mills fields (deformation argument does not work anymore).

Open problems

- Relax condition on the metric at spatial infinity.
- Replace Cauchy surface by a characteristic manifold (backward lightcone).
- Treat fermionic (Dirac) fields.
- What happens for gauge theories (Maxwell, Yang-Mills) ?
existence of Hadamard states is still unknown for (linearized) Yang-Mills fields (deformation argument does not work anymore).