Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

The Kramers- Fokker-Planck equation with a short-range potential

Xue Ping WANG

Université de Nantes, France

Conference in honor of Johannes Sjöstrand

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 一日

Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case





- 2 The free KFP operator
- The KFP operator with a potential
- 4 Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

The Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation

The Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation is the evolution equation for the distribution functions describing the Brownian motion of particles in an external field F(x):

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} = \left[-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}v + \frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\gamma v - \frac{F(x)}{m}) - \frac{\gamma kT}{m}\Delta_{v}\right]W,$$
(1)

where W = W(x, v; t), $x, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $t \ge 0$ and $F(x) = -m\nabla V(x)$ is the external force. This equation is a special case of the Fokker-Planck equation.

The Kramers-Fokker-Planck equation

After change of unknowns and suitable normalization of the physical constants, the Kramers-Fokker-Planck (KFP) equation can be written into the form

$$\partial_t u(x, v; t) + Pu(x, v; t) = 0, \ (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n, n \ge 1, t > 0$$
 (2)

with the initial condition

$$u(x, v; 0) = u_0(x, v)$$
 (3)

where $P = v \cdot \nabla_x - \nabla V(x) \cdot \nabla_v - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$.

▲□ > ▲圖 > ▲目 > ▲目 > → 目 → ○ Q @

Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

Return to the equilibrium

In this talk, we are interested in the time-decay of solutions to the equation (2) in the case $\nabla V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

Return to the equilibrium

In this talk, we are interested in the time-decay of solutions to the equation (2) in the case $\nabla V(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

The case $|\nabla V(x)| \rightarrow \infty$ (or at least $|\nabla V(x)| \ge C > 0$ at the infinity) has been studied by several authors: Desvilettes-Villani(CPAM, 2001), Hérau-Nier(ARMA, 2004), Helffer-Nier(LNM, 2005), Hérau-Hitrik-Sjöstrand (AHP, 2008 -), · · ·

Return to the equilibrium

In the case $|\nabla V(x)| \to \infty$ and V(x) > 0 outside some compact set, the solutions look like

$$u(t)-c(u_0)m_0=O(e^{-\sigma t}), \sigma>0,$$

in appropriate spaces where $m_0 = e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{v^2}{2} + V(x))}$ is the Maxwillian.

The existence of a gap between 0 and the remaining part of the spectrum is crucial for such results.

Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

The question

If $V(x) \approx a|x|^{\mu}$ for some a > 0 and $0 < \mu < 1$, then $m_0 \in L^2$ and 0 is an eigenvalue of *P*. If $V(x) \approx a \ln |x|$, m_0 is an eigenfunction if $a > \frac{n}{2}$ and is a resonant state if $\frac{n-2}{2} \le a \le \frac{n}{2}$. But now there is no gap between 0 and the remaining part of the spectrum.

The question

If $V(x) \approx a|x|^{\mu}$ for some a > 0 and $0 < \mu < 1$, then $m_0 \in L^2$ and 0 is an eigenvalue of *P*. If $V(x) \approx a \ln |x|$, m_0 is an eigenfunction if $a > \frac{n}{2}$ and is a resonant state if $\frac{n-2}{2} \le a \le \frac{n}{2}$. But now there is no gap between 0 and the remaining part of the spectrum.

Question. What can one say about the time-decay of solutions if *V* is slowly increasing or decreasing?

The question

If $V(x) \approx a|x|^{\mu}$ for some a > 0 and $0 < \mu < 1$, then $m_0 \in L^2$ and 0 is an eigenvalue of *P*. If $V(x) \approx a \ln |x|$, m_0 is an eigenfunction if $a > \frac{n}{2}$ and is a resonant state if $\frac{n-2}{2} \le a \le \frac{n}{2}$. But now there is no gap between 0 and the remaining part of the spectrum.

Question. What can one say about the time-decay of solutions if *V* is slowly increasing or decreasing?

One may say that the case $|\nabla V| \to \infty$ is a non-selfadjoint eigenvalue problem, while the case $|\nabla V| \to 0$ is a non-selfadjoint scattering problem for the pair (P_0 , P) where P_0 is the free KFP operator

$$P_0 = \mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla_x - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4} |\mathbf{v}|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$$

 $P_0 = v \cdot \nabla_x - \Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}|v|^2 - \frac{n}{2}$ with the maximal demain is an accretive and hypoelliptic operator. It is unitarily equivalent with \hat{P}_0 which is a direct integral of $\hat{P}_0(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\hat{P}_0(\xi) = -\Delta_v + \frac{1}{4}(v + i2\xi)^2 + \xi^2 - \frac{n}{2}$$

One can check that $\sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi)) = \{k + \xi^2, k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. All the eigenvalues are semisimple and the Riesz projection associated with the eigenvalue $k + \xi^2$ is given by

$$\Pi_{k}^{\xi} = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}, |\alpha| = k} \langle \psi_{\alpha}^{-\xi}, \cdot \rangle \psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}.$$

Here $\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}(\mathbf{v}) = \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v} + i2\xi)$ and $\psi_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, are normalized Hermite functions: $(-\Delta_{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{v}^{2} - \frac{n}{2})\psi_{\alpha} = |\alpha|\psi_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 1

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, one has the following spectral decomposition for the semigroup:

$$e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^{2})} \Pi_{k}^{\xi}, \tag{4}$$

where the series is norm convergent as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)$.

Here $\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}(\mathbf{v}) = \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v} + i2\xi)$ and $\psi_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, are normalized Hermite functions: $(-\Delta_{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{v}^{2} - \frac{n}{2})\psi_{\alpha} = |\alpha|\psi_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 1

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, one has the following spectral decomposition for the semigroup:

$$e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^{2})} \Pi_{k}^{\xi}, \tag{4}$$

where the series is norm convergent as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_v)$.

Here $\psi_{\alpha}^{\xi}(\mathbf{v}) = \psi_{\alpha}(\mathbf{v} + i2\xi)$ and $\psi_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, are normalized Hermite functions: $(-\Delta_{\mathbf{v}} + \frac{1}{4}\mathbf{v}^{2} - \frac{n}{2})\psi_{\alpha} = |\alpha|\psi_{\alpha}$.

Lemma 1

For any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and t > 0, one has the following spectral decomposition for the semigroup:

$$e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^{2})} \Pi_{k}^{\xi},$$
(4)

where the series is norm convergent as operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n_{\nu})$.

To prove this lemma, we show that if n = 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{-t(k+\xi^2)} \|\Pi_k^{\xi}\| = \frac{e^{-\xi^2(t-2)}}{1-e^{-t}} e^{\frac{4\xi^2}{e^{t-1}}}.$$
(5)

Time-decay for free KFP operator

The free KFP operator is unitarily equivalent with a direct integral of this family of complex harmonic oscillators. One deduces that

$$\sigma(P_0) = \overline{\cup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi))} = [0, +\infty[.$$

The set \mathbb{N} is called thresholds of P_0 . The numerical range of P_0 is $\{z; \Re z \ge 0\}$.

Time-decay for free KFP operator

The free KFP operator is unitarily equivalent with a direct integral of this family of complex harmonic oscillators. One deduces that

$$\sigma(P_0) = \overline{\bigcup_{\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n} \sigma(\hat{P}_0(\xi))} = [0, +\infty[.$$

The set \mathbb{N} is called thresholds of P_0 . The numerical range of P_0 is $\{z; \Re z \ge 0\}$.

To study the time-decay of e^{-tP_0} , we introduce

$$\mathcal{L}^{2,s}(\mathbb{R}^{2n}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2n}; \langle x \rangle^{2s} dx dv).$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}^{p} = L^{p}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{x}; L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{v})), \quad p \geq 1.$$

▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

Time-decay for the free KFP equation

Proposition 1

One has the following dispersive type estimate: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}^{-t\boldsymbol{P}_0}\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}, \quad t \geq 3, \tag{6}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$. In particular, for any $s > \frac{n}{2}$, one has for some $C_s > 0$

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} \leq \frac{C_s}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}},$$
 (7)

for $t \geq 3$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$.

Time-decay for the free KFP equation

Proposition 1

One has the following dispersive type estimate: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}, \quad t \geq 3,$$
 (6)

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$. In particular, for any $s > \frac{n}{2}$, one has for some $C_s > 0$

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} \leq \frac{C_s}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}}\|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}},$$
 (7)

for $t \geq 3$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$.

Time-decay for the free KFP equation

Proposition 1

One has the following dispersive type estimate: $\exists C > 0$ such that

$$\|\boldsymbol{e}^{-t\boldsymbol{P}_0}\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}} \leq \frac{C}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathcal{L}^1}, \quad t \geq 3, \tag{6}$$

for $u \in \mathcal{L}^1$. In particular, for any $s > \frac{n}{2}$, one has for some $C_s > 0$

$$\|e^{-tP_0}u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,-s}} \le \frac{C_s}{t^{\frac{n}{2}}} \|u\|_{\mathcal{L}^{2,s}},$$
(7)

for $t \geq 3$ and $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s}$.

(6) is deduced from the estimate

$$\|e^{-t\hat{P}_{0}(\xi)}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^{2}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{\nu}))} \leq \frac{e^{-\xi^{2}(t-2-\frac{4}{e^{t}-1})}}{(1-e^{-t})^{n}} \cdot e^{-\xi^{2}(t-2-\frac{4}{e^{t}-1})}$$
(8)

Limiting absorption principles for P_0

Set $R_0(z) = (P_0 - z)^{-1}$, $z \notin \mathbb{R}_+$. Denote

$$\mathcal{H}^{r,s} = \{ u \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2n}); (1 - \Delta_v + v^2 + |D_x|^{\frac{2}{3}})^{\frac{r}{2}} u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,s} \}.$$

Let $\mathcal{B}(r, s; r', s')$ be the space of bounded operators from $\mathcal{H}^{r,s}$ to $\mathcal{H}^{r',s'}$. One has the following resolvent estimates

Proposition 2

(a). Let $n \ge 1$. For any $s > \frac{1}{2}$, the boundary values of the resolvent $R_0(\lambda \pm i0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0_+} R_0(\lambda \pm i\epsilon)$ exists $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ and is continuous in λ . (b). Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $n \ge 3$. The limits $R_0(k \pm i0) = \lim_{z \to k, \pm \Im z > 0} R_0(z)$ exist in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ for any s > 1.

Open Question. Can one establish some high energy estimates for $R_0(\lambda \pm i0)$?

High energy resolvent estimates

Consider now the KFP operator P with a potential V(x) satisfying

$$|\nabla V(x)| \le C \langle x \rangle^{-\rho-1}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$
(9)

One can write $P = P_0 + W$ with $W = -\nabla V(x) \cdot \nabla_v$. If $\rho > -1$, *W* is relatively compact with respect to P_0 . One has $\sigma_{ess}(P_0) = [0, +\infty[$. If *z* is an eigenvalue of *P*, then $\Re z \ge 0$ and 0 is the only possible eigenvalue with $\Re z = 0$.

High energy resolvent estimates

Theorem 2

Let $n \ge 1$ and assume (9) with $\rho \ge -1$. Then there exists C > 0 such that $\sigma(P) \cap \{z; |\Im z| > C, \Re z \le \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}\} = \emptyset$ and

$$\|R(z)\| \le \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{3}}},$$
 (10)

and

$$\|(1-\Delta_{\nu}+\nu^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}}R(z)\|\leq \frac{C}{|z|^{\frac{1}{6}}},$$
 (11)

for $|\Im z| > C$ and $\Re z \leq \frac{1}{C} |\Im z|^{\frac{1}{3}}$.

In the proof, we use a semiclassical resolvent estimate due to Dencker-Sjöstrand-Zworski (CPAM, 2004).

Time-decay of the semigroup

To obtain time-decay estimates, we also need to study the spectrum of *P* near 0.

Theorem 3

Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. Then for any $s > \frac{3}{2}$, one has for some C > 0

$$\|e^{-t^{\mu}}\|_{\mathcal{B}(0,s;0,-s)} \leq Ct^{-\frac{3}{2}}, \quad t > 0.$$
 (12)

If $\rho > 2$, there exists $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$ and some $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$e^{-tP} = t^{-\frac{3}{2}}B_1 + O(t^{-\frac{3}{2}-\epsilon})$$
(13)

in $\mathcal{B}(0, s; 0, -s)$ as $t \to +\infty$.

Low-energy resolvent estimates

To prove Theorem 3, we study the spectral properties of *P* near 0.

Theorem 4

Assume n = 3 and $\rho > 1$. Then 0 is not an accumulation point of the eigenvalues of P. one has the following expansions in $\mathcal{B}(-1, s; 1, -s)$

$$R(z) = A_0 + O(|z|^{\epsilon}), \text{ if } \rho > 1, s > 1,$$
(14)

$$R(z) = A_0 + z^{\frac{1}{2}}A_1 + O(|z|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}), \text{ if } \rho > 2, s > \frac{3}{2}, \qquad (15)$$

for $\notin \mathbb{R}_+$ and |z| small.

Ideas of the proof

To prove that *P* has no eigenvalues near 0, we use techniques of threshold spectral analysis and the supersymetry of *P* to show that Pu = 0 has no nontrivial solution $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any s > 1.

Ideas of the proof

To prove that *P* has no eigenvalues near 0, we use techniques of threshold spectral analysis and the supersymetry of *P* to show that Pu = 0 has no nontrivial solution $u \in \mathcal{L}^{2,-s}$ for any s > 1. Theorem 3 on time-decay follows from the resolvent estimates on an appropriate contour in the right half complex plane. Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

A comment

One often says that the KFP operator *P* is closely related to the Witten Laplacian

$$-\Delta_V = (-\nabla_x + \nabla V(x)) \cdot (\nabla_x + \nabla V(x))$$

which is selfadjoint and elliptic. This can in particular be illustrated in terms of low-lying eigenvalues in the case when 0 is in the discrete spectrum.

A comment

One often says that the KFP operator *P* is closely related to the Witten Laplacian

$$-\Delta_V = (-\nabla_x + \nabla V(x)) \cdot (\nabla_x + \nabla V(x))$$

which is selfadjoint and elliptic. This can in particular be illustrated in terms of low-lying eigenvalues in the case when 0 is in the discrete spectrum.

In our case 0 is the bottom of the essential spectrum of *P*. In the case $V(x) \simeq c|x|^{\mu}$ for some $\mu < 1$ and c > 0, low-energy behavior of the resolvent $(-\Delta_V - z)^{-1}$ can be well understood. (Joint work in progress with J.-M. Bouclet).

A comment

In particular, if $0 < \mu < 1$, one can show that

$$(-\Delta_V - z)^{-1} = -\frac{\Pi_0}{z} + C_0 + zC_1 + z^2C_2 + \cdots, \quad z \to 0, \Im z \neq 0,$$
 (16)

in appropriate spaces, where Π_0 is the spectral projection associated with the eigenvalue 0 of $-\Delta_V$. Consequently,

$$e^{t\Delta_V} = \Pi_0 + O(t^{-\infty}) : L^2_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^n_x) \to L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n_x), t \to +\infty.$$
(17)

The model operator used in this case is the Schrödinger operator with a slowly decreasing potential studied by D. Yafaev (1982,1983), S. Nakamura (1994).

A comment

In particular, if $0 < \mu < 1$, one can show that

$$(-\Delta_V - z)^{-1} = -\frac{\Pi_0}{z} + C_0 + zC_1 + z^2C_2 + \cdots, \quad z \to 0, \Im z \neq 0,$$
 (16)

in appropriate spaces, where Π_0 is the spectral projection associated with the eigenvalue 0 of $-\Delta_V$. Consequently,

$$e^{t\Delta_{V}} = \Pi_{0} + O(t^{-\infty}) : L^{2}_{\text{comp}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{x}) \to L^{2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{x}), t \to +\infty.$$
(17)

The model operator used in this case is the Schrödinger operator with a slowly decreasing potential studied by D. Yafaev (1982,1983), S. Nakamura (1994).

Question. Can one prove similar results for the KFP operator P?

Introduction. Motivation The free KFP operator The KFP operator with a potential Low-energy spectral properties in short-range case

Thanks!

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆臣 > ◆臣 > ○臣 ○ のへで