

Quasi-weak equivalences in complicial exact categories (joint work with Satoshi Mochizuki)

Toshiro Hiranouchi

Hiroshima university

29 Jan., 2010

K-theories

- Quillen *K*-theory
- Waldhausen *K*-theory
- *K*-theory for triangulated categories
- Negative *K*-theory
- Negative Waldhausen *K*-theory

Quasi-isomorphism

\mathcal{A} : abelian

Quasi-isomorphism

\mathcal{A} : abelian category

$\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$: category of chain complexes

$$\cdots \rightarrow x^{k-1} \xrightarrow{d^{k-1}} x^k \xrightarrow{d^k} x^{k+1} \xrightarrow{d^{k+1}} \cdots \quad \text{in } \mathcal{A}, \quad d^{k+1} \circ d^k = 0.$$

A chain map $f^\bullet : x^\bullet \rightarrow y^\bullet$ is a **quasi-isomorphism**

$\overset{\text{def}}{\iff} f^\bullet$ induces isomorphisms $H^k(x^\bullet) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^k(y^\bullet)$ in \mathcal{A} for $\forall k$

Higher derived category

\mathcal{A} : abelian category

isomorphism in $\mathcal{A} \rightsquigarrow$ quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{A})$



$D(\mathcal{A})$: derived category

Higher derived category

\mathcal{E} : exact category (later)

isomorphism in $\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow$ quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$



$D(\mathcal{E})$: derived category

Higher derived category

\mathcal{E} : exact category (later)

isomorphism in $\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow$ quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$



$D(\mathcal{E})$: derived category

Aim of this talk:

quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}) \rightsquigarrow$ in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}))$

Inductively, we obtain the **higher derived category** $D_n(\mathcal{E})$

Higher derived category

\mathcal{E} : exact category (later)

isomorphism in $\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow$ quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$



$D(\mathcal{E})$: derived category

Aim of this talk:

quasi-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}) \rightsquigarrow$ quasi²-isomorphism in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}))$



$D_2(\mathcal{E})$: 2nd derived category

Inductively, we obtain the **higher derived category** $D_n(\mathcal{E})$

Main Theorem

Theorem

\mathcal{E} : exact category

For any $n > 0$,

- ① $K_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim)$,
- ② $K_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \iff D_n(\mathcal{E}) = D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$: idempotent complete.

$D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$: idempotent completion (or pseudo-abelianization)

i.e., Adding the image & the kernel of $\forall e^2 = e : x \rightarrow x \in D_n(\mathcal{E})$

For a triangulated category \mathcal{T} ,

$$K_0(\mathcal{T}) := \frac{\langle [x] \mid x \in \mathcal{T} \rangle}{[y] = [x] + [z] \text{ if } x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \xrightarrow{+1}}$$

Main Theorem

Theorem

\mathcal{E} : exact category

For any $n > 0$,

- ① $K_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim)$,
- ② $K_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \iff D_n(\mathcal{E}) = D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$: idempotent complete.

$D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$: idempotent completion (or pseudo-abelianization)

i.e., Adding the image & the kernel of $\forall e^2 = e : x \rightarrow x \in D_n(\mathcal{E})$

Hence we can say

“ $K_{-n}(\mathcal{E})$ is the obstruction for $D_n(\mathcal{E})$ to be idempotent complete”

Exact category

$\mathcal{E} = (\mathcal{E}, \mathfrak{e})$: exact category $\overset{\text{def}}{\iff}$

- \mathcal{E} : additive category,
- $\mathfrak{e} = \{x \rightarrowtail y \twoheadrightarrow z\}$ family of sequences in \mathcal{E} satisfying several axioms. (e.g., $x \rightarrowtail x \oplus z \twoheadrightarrow z \in \mathfrak{e}$)

$x \rightarrowtail y \twoheadrightarrow z \in \mathfrak{e}$ is said to be **admissible exact**.

Example

- ① Abelian category with short exact sequences,
- ② $\mathbf{Vect}(X)$ for a scheme X , $\mathbf{Proj}(R)$ for a ring R ,
- ③ $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$ for an exact category \mathcal{E} .

Exact category with weak equivalences

$w \subset \text{Mor}(\mathcal{E})$: class of **weak equivalences** $\overset{\text{def}}{\iff}$

- **isom** := { isomorphisms in \mathcal{E} } $\subset w$,
- closed under extensions,
- closed under push-outs along \rightarrowtail and pull-backs along \twoheadrightarrow ,
- If 2 out of f, g and $g \circ f$ are in w , then so is the third.

Example

- ① **isom** in \mathcal{E}
- ② **qisom** := { quasi-isomorphism } in $\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})$

Waldhausen K -theory

(\mathcal{E}, w) : exact category with weak equivalences

Waldhausen (1985) introduced

$$K_n(\mathcal{E}, w) := \pi_n(K(\mathcal{E}, w)) \quad (\forall n \geq 0)$$

- $K_n(\mathcal{E}, \text{isom}) = K_n(\mathcal{E})$ (Quillen K -theory),
In particular,

$$K_0(\mathcal{E}) = \frac{\langle [x] \mid x \in \mathcal{E} \rangle}{[y] = [x] + [z] \text{ if } x \rightarrowtail y \twoheadrightarrow z}$$

- $x \xrightarrow{\sim} y \in w \implies [x] = [y]$ in $K_0(\mathcal{E}, w)$.

Examples

$K_n(R) = K_n(\text{Proj}(R))$ (R : ring),

$K_n(X) = K_n(\text{Vect}(X))$ (X : scheme)

Example

$K_0(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ (R : local ring),

$K_0(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \oplus \text{Cl}(R)$ (R : Dedekind ring),

$K_1(K) = K^\times$ (K : field),

$K_2(\mathbb{F}) = \mathbf{0}$ (\mathbb{F} : finite field).

Localization sequences

R : Dedekind ring

$$\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(K) \rightarrow 0$$

Localization sequences

R : Dedekind ring

$$\begin{aligned}\bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) &\rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(K) \rightarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) &\rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(K) \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

Localization sequences

R : Dedekind ring

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(R) \rightarrow K_2(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(K) \rightarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(K) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Localization sequences

R : Dedekind ring

$$\begin{aligned} K_2(R) \rightarrow K_2(K) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_1(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(K) \rightarrow \\ \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}} K_0(R/\mathfrak{p}) \rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(K) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

X : regular scheme $\supset U$: open

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots \rightarrow K_{n-1}(X \setminus U) \rightarrow K_n(X) \rightarrow K_n(U) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(X \setminus U) \rightarrow \cdots \\ \rightarrow K_1(U) \rightarrow K_0(X \setminus U) \rightarrow K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(U) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

These sequences justify the definition of K_n

Negative K -theory

However, if the scheme X is not regular,

$$K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(U)$$

may not be surjective.

This indicates the existence of K -groups in negative degrees.

In fact, Bass (1968) defined $K_n(R)$ ($n \leq 1$) for ring R based on

$$0 \rightarrow K_1(R) \rightarrow K_1(R[T]) \oplus K_1(R[T^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_1(R[T, T^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow 0$$

Negative K -theory

However, if the scheme X is not regular,

$$K_0(X) \rightarrow K_0(U)$$

may not be surjective.

This indicates the existence of K -groups in negative degrees.

In fact, Bass (1968) defined $K_n(R)$ ($n \leq 1$) for ring R based on

$$0 \rightarrow K_0(R) \rightarrow K_0(R[T]) \oplus K_0(R[T^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_0(R[T, T^{-1}]) \rightarrow K_{-1}(R) \rightarrow 0$$

Negative K -theory

Schlichting (2004) defined

$$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for an exact category \mathcal{E} , with slight modification:

$$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}) = K_n(\mathcal{E}) \quad (n > 0), \quad \mathbb{K}_0(\mathcal{E}) = K_0(\mathcal{E}^\sim).$$

We also have

$$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}, w) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z})$$

for a **complicial** exact category with weak equivalences (\mathcal{E}, w)
(e.g., $(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom})$)

Quasi-weak equivalences

We construct

weak equivalences in $\mathcal{E} \rightsquigarrow$ quasi-weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E})$
($\sharp \in \{b, +, -, \emptyset\}$)

(\mathcal{E}, w) : exact category with weak equivalences

$$\mathcal{E}^w := \{x \in \mathcal{E} \mid x \xrightarrow{\sim} 0 \in w\},$$

$$\pi : \mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow D^\#(\mathcal{E}) := \text{qisom}^{-1} \mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}).$$

$$qw := \{f \in \mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}) \mid \pi(\text{Cone}(f)) \in \pi(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}^w))\}$$

$f \in qw \iff \text{Cone}(f)$ is quasi-isomorphic to an object in $\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}^w)$

Gillet-Waldhausen again

Easy to show

- $q\text{isom} \subset qw$,
- If $w = \text{isom}$, then $qw = q\text{isom}$.

Recall that

Theorem (Gillet-Waldhausen Theorem)

$\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E})$ induces $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}, \text{isom}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_n(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$

We have a variant of the Gillet-Waldhausen theorem:

Theorem

If \mathcal{E} is complicial, $\mathcal{E} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E})$ induces
 $\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}, w) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_n(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), qw) \quad (n \in \mathbb{Z}).$

Proof of the Gillet-Waldhausen Theorem

By the localization theorem,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}^w) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}, w) \longrightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E})^{qw}, q\text{isom}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), qw) \rightarrow \cdots \end{array}$$

From the Gillet-Waldhausen theorem,

$$\mathbb{K}_n(\mathcal{E}^w) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}^w), q\text{isom}) \simeq \mathbb{K}_n(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E})^{qw}, q\text{isom}).$$

The last isomorphism follows from the following equivalence of categories

$$q\text{isom}^{-1} \mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E})^{qw} \simeq q\text{isom}^{-1} \mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}^w).$$

This theorem justifies our definition qw .

Higher derived category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{E}, w) & \leadsto & (\mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}), qw) \\ & & \Downarrow \\ D^\#(\mathcal{E}, w) := qw^{-1} \mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}) & : \text{derived category} \end{array}$$

Starting from an exact category \mathcal{E} , we obtain

$$D^\#(\mathcal{E}) = D^\#(\mathcal{E}, \text{isom}),$$

$$D_2^\#(\mathcal{E}) := D^\#(\mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}),$$

$$D_3^\#(\mathcal{E}) := D^\#(\mathbf{Ch}^\# \mathbf{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}), qq\text{isom}), \dots$$

Main Theorem

Theorem

\mathcal{E} : exact category

For any $n > 0$,

- ① $\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})) = K_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim)$,
- ② $\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathcal{E}) = 0 \iff D_n(\mathcal{E}) = D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$: idempotent complete.

Only a few calculations are known in the negative K -groups.
The motivation of our works is to study some conjectures for
negative K -groups to be trivial:

▶ Proof

Conjectures

Conjecture (Weibel's K -dimensional conjecture)

For any noetherian scheme X of Krull dimension d , $\mathbb{K}_{-n}(X) = \mathbf{0}$ for $n > d$.

Conjecture (Schlichting conjecture)

For any small abelian category \mathcal{A} , $\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathcal{A}) = \mathbf{0}$ for $n > 0$.

Conjecture (Hsiang conjecture)

For any finitely presented group G , $\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathbb{Z}G) = \mathbf{0}$ for $n > 1$.

Proof of the Main Theorem

We show $\mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathcal{E}) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{K}_0(D_2(\mathcal{E}))$. Note that

$$D_2^\#(\mathcal{E}) = D^\#(\mathrm{Ch}^\#(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}).$$

The following diagram of the derived category

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D_2^b(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & D_2^+(\mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ D_2^-(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & D_2(\mathcal{E}). \end{array}$$

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{Ch}^+(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \oplus \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{Ch}^-(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathrm{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathrm{Ch}^+(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \oplus \mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathrm{Ch}^-(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \\ &\rightarrow \mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathrm{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \rightarrow \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

By the Eilenberg swindle, we have

$$\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathbf{Ch}^+(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) = \mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathbf{Ch}^-(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) = 0.$$

Hence we have

$$\mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}).$$

In general, we obtain

$$\mathbb{K}_{-n}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), qw) \simeq \mathbb{K}_{-n+1}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), qw).$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathcal{E}) &\simeq \mathbb{K}_{-2}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E}), q\text{isom}) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{K}_{-1}(\mathbf{Ch}^b(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})), q^2\text{isom}) \\ &\simeq \mathbb{K}_0(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathbf{Ch}(\mathcal{E})), q^2\text{isom}) \simeq \mathbb{K}_0(D_2(\mathcal{E})).\end{aligned}$$

For the 2nd statement (\Rightarrow):

We use the Thomason's classification theorem:

$$\{H < K_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim)\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{\mathcal{T} \subset D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim : \text{dense}\}$$

Note that $D_n(\mathcal{E}) \subset D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$ is dense.

(dense = \forall object in $D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim$ is a direct summand of an object of $D_n(\mathcal{E})$ and fully faithful)

If we assume that $K_0(D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim) = \mathbf{0}$, we have $D_n(\mathcal{E})^\sim = D_n(\mathcal{E})$.