ECE 285

Image and video restoration

Chapter VII – Patch models and dictionary learning

Charles Deledalle May 31, 2019



Motivations

- Modeling the distribution of images is difficult.
- Images lie in a complex and large dimensional space/manifold.
- Their distribution may be spread out on different clusters.



Divide and conquer approach:

Break down images into small patches and model their distribution.

Motivations



Patches capture local context: geometry and texture.

Theoretical and experimental works on the primary visual cortex have shed new light on the importance of patch-level image coding.

Biological observations (1/2)

[Olshausen et al., 1996]:

The receptive fields of cells in mammalian primary visual cortex are

- spatially localized,
- oriented,
- B bandpass.

receptive fields estimated by reverse correlation:



Motivations

Theoretical and experimental works on the primary visual cortex have shed new light on the importance of patch-level image coding.

Biological observations (2/2)

[Olshausen and Field, 2004]:

Neural responses in the primary cortex are:

- sparse,
- sparser thanks to interactions with other areas.

This sparse coding confers several advantages

- eases read out at subsequent levels,
- increases storage capacity in associative memories,
- saves energy.



http://thebrain.mcgill.ca

Theoretical and experimental works on the primary visual cortex have shed new light on the importance of patch-level image coding.

Computational models of biological vision

[Olshausen et al. 1996, Olshausen and Field, 2004, Vinje and Gallant, 2000]:

Sparse coding of patches proposed to model the primary visual cortex:





Learned receptive fields

Learning sparse representations

Reminder about sparse decomposition

Given a dictionary $D = (d_1, d_2, \dots, d_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, with K > n for redundancy, represent an image x as a sparse linear combination of the atoms

$$\eta^{\star} \in \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \eta_{k} d_{k}\|_{2}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{K} |\eta_{k}|^{p}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}, \quad \tau \ge 0$$
$$= \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x - D\eta\|_{2}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}, \quad \rho \ge 0$$



Dictionary learning problem

Reminder	about	sparse	priors
----------	-------	--------	--------

• $\ell_{ ho}$ prior	$\ \eta\ _{ ho}^{ ho} = \sum_{k} \eta_{k} ^{ ho}$
• convexity	$\rho \geqslant 1$
• sparsity	$\rho \leqslant 1$
• ℓ_0 prior	$\ \eta\ _0 =$ number of non-zero elements
• ℓ_1 prior	$\ \eta\ _1 = \sum_k \eta_k $



(Source: G. Peyré)

Instead of choosing the dictionary: wavelet basis, derivative filters, \ldots

Can we learn it from a data-set x_1, \ldots, x_m ?

Sparsifying dictionary learning for images

Find a dictionary $D = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$, with K > n for redundancy, such that the data-set $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ can be represented by sparse linear combinations of the atoms

$$D^{*} \in \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \underbrace{\|x_{j} - \sum_{k=1}^{K} \eta_{k,j} d_{k}\|_{2}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{K} |\eta_{k,j}|^{\rho}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}$$
$$= \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|X - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}.$$

Idea: find a dictionary that sparsifies the data-set.

Dictionary learning problem



 $D \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times K}$ has too many degrees of freedom! It cannot be estimated properly, and even then, it does not fit in memory. It would be feasible if the images were 8×8 , but they are not.

Dictionary learning problem

Remarks: for wavelets and gradients, the atoms



If we cannot learn D, can we learn the small atoms d_1, \ldots, d_K ?

Ex: if the support is $p = 8 \times 8$ and K = 512, the learning problem is tractable even with a reasonable number K of training images x_k .

Sparsifying dictionary learning for patches

Find a dictionary of patches $D = (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_K) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}$, with K > p for redundancy, such that the data-set of patches $X = (x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times m}$ can be represented by sparse linear combinations of the atoms

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{\star} \in \mathop{\mathrm{argmin}}_{\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{F}^{2}}_{\text{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\boldsymbol{\rho}, 1}}_{\text{sparsity}}$$



Dictionary learning problem

$$\boldsymbol{D}^{\star} \in \operatorname*{argmin}_{\boldsymbol{D} \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}} \min_{\boldsymbol{\eta} \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|\boldsymbol{X} - \boldsymbol{D}\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{F}^{2}}_{\mathsf{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\boldsymbol{\eta}\|_{\rho}^{\rho}}_{\mathsf{soarsity}}.$$

Optimization problem

• Add the constraint: $\|d_k\|_2 \leq 1$,

Otherwise: $D \to \infty$ and $\eta \to 0$.

- For $\rho \ge 1$: Convex with respect to D, Convex with respect to η , Non-convex with respect to (D, η) .
 - - Convex with respect to D,
- For $\rho = 0$: Non-convex with respect to η , Non-convex with respect to (D, η) .

$$D^{\star} \in \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|X - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|d_{k}\|_{2} \leq 1$$

$$k\text{-SVD: Greedy algorithm for } \rho = 0 \text{ (1/2)} \quad [Aharon et al., 2006]$$

$$\textbf{Initialize } D \text{ with normalized columns } \|d_{k}\|_{2} = 1.$$

$$\textbf{Sparse-coding stage: fix } D \text{ and solve for each } 1 \leq j \leq m$$

$$\eta_{:,j}^{\star} \in \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x_{j} - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}$$
with matching pursuit or orthogonal matching pursuit (see previous class).
$$\eta_{:,1}$$



$$D^{\star} \in \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|X - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|d_{k}\|_{2} \leq 1$$

$$k\text{-SVD: Greedy algorithm for } \rho = 0 \text{ (1/2)} \quad [Aharon et al., 2006]$$

$$\textbf{Initialize } D \text{ with normalized columns } \|d_{k}\|_{2} = 1.$$

$$\textbf{Sparse-coding stage: fix } D \text{ and solve for each } 1 \leq j \leq m$$

$$\eta_{:,j}^{\star} \in \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x_{j} - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}$$
with matching pursuit or orthogonal matching pursuit (see previous class).
$$n \cdot 2$$



$$D^{*} \in \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|X - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|d_{k}\|_{2} \leq 1$$
k-SVD: Greedy algorithm for $\rho = 0$ (1/2) [Aharon *et al.*, 2006]

Initialize *D* with normalized columns $\|d_{k}\|_{2} = 1$.

Sparse-coding stage: fix *D* and solve for each $1 \leq j \leq m$

$$\eta_{i,j}^{*} \in \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x_{j} - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}$$
with matching pursuit or orthogonal matching pursuit (see previous class).
$$\eta_{i,3}$$



$$D^{\star} \in \underset{D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \underset{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K \times m}}{\min} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|X - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}} \quad \text{subject to} \quad \|d_{k}\|_{2} \leq 1$$

$$k\text{-SVD: Greedy algorithm for } \rho = 0 \text{ (1/2)} \quad [Aharon et al., 2006]$$

$$i \quad \text{Initialize } D \text{ with normalized columns } \|d_{k}\|_{2} = 1.$$

$$i \quad \text{Sparse-coding stage: fix } D \text{ and solve for each } 1 \leq j \leq m$$

$$\eta_{i,j}^{\star} \in \min_{\eta \in \mathbb{R}^{K}} \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\|x_{j} - D\eta\|_{F}^{2}}_{\operatorname{data fit}} + \tau \underbrace{\|\eta\|_{0}}_{\operatorname{sparsity}}$$
with matching pursuit or orthogonal matching pursuit (see previous class).



k-SVD: Greedy algorithm for $\rho = 0$ (2/2)

[Aharon et al., 2006]

- **③ Dictionary update:** for all columns $1 \le k \le K$
 - Compute the residual without using the current atom *d_k*:

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{k} = \boldsymbol{X} - \sum_{l \neq k} d_{l} \eta_{l,:} = \boldsymbol{X} - (\boldsymbol{D}\eta - d_{k} \eta_{k,:})$$

- E_k^R : pick only the columns j of E_k for patches x_j using atom d_k ,
- Update d_k and $\eta_{k,:}$ by finding the best rank 1 approximation

$$\boldsymbol{E}_{k}^{R} pprox d_{k}\eta_{k,:}$$
 subject to $\|d_{k}\|_{2} = 1$

Use reduces SVD for rank 1 matrices:

$$oldsymbol{E}_k^R = USV^T \quad \Rightarrow \quad d_k = U_{:,1} \quad ext{and} \quad \eta_{k,:} = S_{1,1}V_{:,1}$$

• Return to step 2 until convergence.

$\longrightarrow k \times SVD$ are performed at each iteration.



Update for atom k = 1



Update for atom k = 2



Update for atom k = 3



Update for atom k = K



A collection of 500 random patches (8x8) that were used for training, sorted by their variance.



(a) The learned dictionary. Its elements are sorted in an ascending order of their variance and stretched to maximal range for display purposes. (b) The overcomplete separable Haar dictionary and (c) the over complete DCT dictionary are used for comparison.



• $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

- unknown image,
- $y = \mathbf{H}x + w \in \mathbb{R}^q$: observed image with $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathrm{Id}_a)$,
- $\boldsymbol{H} \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$: blur, super-resolution, Radon transform...
- $\mathcal{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$:
- $D \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times K}$:
- $\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^K$:
- $\beta > 0, \tau > 0$:

- extract a patch of size p around pixel with index i,
- learned patch dictionary,
- sparse code for patch with index i,
- hyper-parameters.

Look for an image such that all its patches are well explained by sparse linear combinations of learned atoms.

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{R}^K}} & \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{\|Hx - y\|_2^2}_{\text{data fit}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{P}_i x - D\eta_i\|_2^2}_{\text{patch approximation}} + \underbrace{\tau \|\eta_i\|_0}_{\text{sparsity}}\right] \\ \text{k-SVD based restoration (2/3)} & \text{[Elad et al., 2006]} \\ & \text{Alternate minimization:} \\ \bullet \text{ Initialize } x, \text{ and repeat steps 2 and 3 until convergence,} \\ \bullet \text{ Sparse coding: fix } x \text{ and solve for all index } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \\ & \arg_{\eta_i \in \mathbb{R}^K} \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{P}_i x - D\eta_i\|_2^2}_{\text{patch approximation}} + \underbrace{\tau \|\eta_i\|_0}_{\text{sparsity}} \\ & \text{with matching pursuit or orthogonal matching pursuit (see previous class).} \end{split}$$

6

$$\begin{split} \min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n \in \mathbb{R}^K}} & \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{\|Hx - y\|_2^2}_{\text{data fit}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{P}_i x - D\eta_i\|_2^2}_{\text{patch approximation}} + \underbrace{\tau \|\eta_i\|_0}_{\text{sparsity}}\right] \\ \text{k-SVD based restoration (3/3)} & \text{[Elad et al., 2006]} \\ \hline \text{Alternate minimization:} \\ \textbf{@ Patch reprojection: for all } \eta_i \text{ and solve for } x \\ x^* \in \underset{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}{\operatorname{argmin}} & \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{\|Hx - y\|_2^2}_{\text{data fit}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{P}_i x - D\eta_i\|_2^2}_{\text{patch approximation}}\right] \\ &= \left(H^*H + \sigma^2\beta\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i^*\mathcal{P}_i\right)^{-1} \left(H^*y + \sigma^2\beta\sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_i^*D\eta_i\right) \end{split}$$

- If $H = Id_n$: average overlapping patches $D\eta_i$ with the noisy image y
- Otherwise, solved by conjugate gradient, or efficiently depending on H.

Globally trained dictionary.



Adaptively trained dictionary.



Original Image



Denoised Image Using Global Trained Dictionary (28.8528 dB)





Denoised Image Using

Adaptive Dictionary (30.8295 dB)

Noisy Image (22.1307 dB, σ=20)

k-SVD for denoising

- Quality improvement: learned the dictionary on the noisy image itself,
- **Speed-up:** only once: sparse coding + reprojection (do not iterate).



Color k-SVD [Mairal et al., 2008]





Related works:

- Non-negative k-SVD [Aharon et al., 2005].
- Color k-SVD [Mairal et al., 2008].
- Analysis k-SVD [Rubinstein et al., 2013].

Sparsity with collaborative filtering

Sparsity with collaborative filtering

Motivations

• k-SVD:

- Patches are denoised independently,
- Use non-linear shrinkages to create sparsity,
- Use redundant learned dictionaries.
- Denoise similar patches together,
- Non-local Bayes:
- Use linear shrinkages (LMMSE),
- Use (non-local) orthogonal PCA basis.



Idea: use sparsity on stacks of similar patches.

Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D

BM3D: Block-matching and 3D filtering

- Build groups of similar patches,
- Apply sparsifying 3D transform,
- Denoise each group (thresholding or LMMSE),
- Reproject/Aggregate overlapping patches.



[Dabov et al., 2007]

Some results:



Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D

Grouping by matching



R is a targeting patch, other patches are grouped with this patch by similarity (Euclidean distance).

Grouping by matching



Non-locality: patches that are far apart can be stacked together.

Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D

Grouping for collaborative filtering

- As groups contain similar patches:
 - intrapatch correlation: peculiarity of natural images,
 - interpatch correlation: results of grouping by similarity,
 - \Rightarrow highly sparse representation.



Collaborative filtering: {

- reveals finest details shared by similar patches,
- preserves unique features of each patch.

Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D

Aggregation

- Each pixel gets multiple estimates from different groups
- Naive approach: average all estimates

... not all estimates are as good.

• Give higher weights to more reliable estimates

... measured according to their sparsity.



Two steps filtering

- Noise may result in poor matching \Rightarrow degrades denoising performance.
- As for NL-Bayes, use two stages. At the second stage:
 - Build stacks based on the similarity of pre-denoised patches,
 - Use pre-denoised stacks to refine the shrinkage.



- Step 1: Shrinkage: Hard thresholding with fixed threshold
 3D trans.: Bi-orthogonal wavelets in space + Haar in 3rd dim.
- Step 2: Shrinkage: LMMSE with signal variances deduced form step 1.
 3D trans.: DCT in space + Haar in 3rd dim.

Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D



BM3D provides impressive results. Since 2007, denoising results have not significantly improved.

Sparsity with collaborative filtering – BM3D

Adaptations to color and videos.



Video denoising with BM3D [Dabov, 2007]

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ E(x) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - Hx\|_2^2}_{F(x)} + R(x) \right\}$$

Adaptation to inverse-problems with Plug-and-play ADMM (1/2)

• Reminder: ADMM algorithm reads, for $\gamma > 0$, as

$$x^{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma F}(\tilde{x}^k + d^k) \tag{1}$$

$$\tilde{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname{Prox}_{\gamma R}(x^{k+1} - d^k) \tag{2}$$

$$d^{k+1} = d^k - x^{k+1} + \tilde{x}^{k+1}$$

$$(1) \Rightarrow x^{k+1} = (\sigma^2 \mathrm{Id}_n + \gamma \boldsymbol{H}^* \boldsymbol{H})^{-1} (\sigma^2 (\tilde{x}^k + d^k) + \gamma \boldsymbol{H}^* y) \text{ (inversion)}$$
$$(2) \Rightarrow \tilde{x}^{k+1} = \operatorname*{argmin}_{z} \frac{1}{2} \|z - (x^{k+1} - d^k)\|_2^2 + \gamma R(z) \text{ (denoising)}$$

- Convergence when R is convex.
- Convergence when R is non-convex in some cases [Hong et al. 2016].

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \left\{ E(x) = \underbrace{\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \|y - Hx\|_2^2}_{F(x)} + R(x) \right\}$$

Adaptation to inverse-problems with Plug-and-play ADMM (2/2)

- Plug-and-play ADMM [Venkatakrishnan et al. 2013]
- Use any Gaussian denoiser for the denoising step

ex: (2)
$$\Rightarrow \tilde{x}^{k+1} = \mathsf{BM3D}(x^{k+1} - d^k, \gamma)$$

- The regularization R is implicit.
- Convergence in some cases [Chan et al. 2016].
- Non-Gaussian noise: adapt F in (1) but (2) remains a Gaussian denoiser. [Rond et al. 2015, Deledalle et al. 2017].

Simple solution allowing to use any of the many and very efficient Gaussian denoisers to solve different kinds of image restoration problems.

Image domain Wavelet dom. Fourier dom. (a) Original image (b) Blurry image (c) Total-Variation (d) BM3D

Lost frequencies are recovered. Spatial contents and scales as well.

Image domain Wavelet dom. Fourier dom.



Lost frequencies are recovered. Spatial contents and scales as well.

Sparsity and patch similarity – Denoising with NLSM

BM3D uses fix dictionaries. Can we learn them à la k-SVD?

Non-local sparse model (NLSM)

[Mairal, 2009]

Learn a dictionary of patches:

- Use group sparsity for similar patches,
- Force similar patches to use the same atoms (joint sparsity).

Then denoise each patch by sparse coding.

Some results:







- $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$: unknown image,
- $y = Hx + w \in \mathbb{R}^q$: observed image with $w \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2 \mathrm{Id}_q)$,
- $H \in \mathbb{R}^{q \times n}$: blur, super-resolution, Radon transform...
- $\mathcal{P}_i \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$:
- extract a patch of size p around pixel with index i,
- Look for an image such that all its patches are well explained by the patch prior.

Expected patch log-likelihood (2/2) [Zoran & Weiss, 2011]

• Prior for a path $z_i = \mathcal{P}_i x \in \mathbb{R}^p$, a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM):

$$p(z_i) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} w_k \mathcal{N}(z_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k),$$

- $w_k > 0$: weights of Gaussian component k ($\sum_k w_k = 1$),
- $\mu_k \in \mathbb{R}^p$: mean of Gaussian component k,
- $\Sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times p}$: covariance matrix of Gaussian component k.



Represent the patch distribution by a superposition of ellipsoids.

Learning step

• Fit the distribution on a large dataset of clean patches:

input: x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m clean patches

output: w_k, μ_k, Σ_k for all $1 \leq k \leq K$

• Standard choice:

- Dataset of m = 2,000,000 patches,
- Patch size $p = 8 \times 8$,
- Number of clusters K = 200.
- Use Expectation-Maximization algorithm [Dempster, 1977]
 - Iterative algorithm similar to K-means,
 - Greedy (maximizes the likelihood at each iteration),
 - Converges to a local optimum (depending on the initialization).



Eigenvectors of 6 (among 200) covariance matrices of the learned GMM.

Some look like Fourier atoms while others model textures, edges or other structures at different scales and orientations.

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \| \boldsymbol{H}x - y \|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n -\log p(\mathcal{P}_i x)$$

Optimization by Half-Quadratic Splitting

• Use Half-Quadratic Splitting (as done in k-SVD)

$$\min_{\substack{x \in \mathbb{R}^n \\ z_1, \dots, z_n \in \mathbb{R}^p}} \quad \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \underbrace{\|\boldsymbol{H}x - y\|_2^2}_{\text{data fit}} + \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\frac{\beta}{2} \underbrace{\|\mathcal{P}_i x - z_i\|_2^2}_{\text{patch approximation}} - \underbrace{\log p(z_i)}_{\text{patch prior}}\right]$$

with $\beta > 0$ an hyper-parameter.

- Alternate the minimization for all z_i and x.
- Increase β after each iteration.

Greedy alternate minimization

• Repeat steps 1 and 2 (usually 5 iterations are enough):

() Fix x and optimize for all patch z_i :

$$\min_{z_i \in \mathbb{R}^p} \quad \frac{\beta}{2} \|\mathcal{P}_i x - z_i\|_2^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n -\log\left(\sum_{k=1}^K w_k \mathcal{N}(z_i; \mu_k, \Sigma_k)\right)$$

• Prior is multi-modal: non-convex optimization problem.

- Look for the most likely Gaussian component k_i^* given z_i .
- Performs LMMSE with this Gaussian prior $\mathcal{N}(\mu_{k_i^{\star}}, \Sigma_{k_i^{\star}})$.

2 Fix z_i and optimize for the image x:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \quad \frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \| \boldsymbol{H}x - y \|_2^2 + \frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n \| \mathcal{P}_i x - z_i \|_2^2$$

• Linear solution: same patch reprojection as for k-SVD (see slide 20).

Fast EPLL

[Parameswaran et al., 2017]

- \bullet Process only 3% of the patches at each iteration (chosen randomly),
- **②** Use a binary search tree to match for the best Gaussian component,
- (3) Approximate smallest eigenvalues of the covariance matrices.

$\Rightarrow 180 \times \text{speed-up}$





Results of denoising ($\sigma = 20$)



(a) Reference / Blur kernel

(b) Blurry image

(c) FEPLL result

Results of removing motion blur (subject to noise $\sigma = 0.5$)



Various inverse problems (subject to noise $\sigma = 2$)

Other patch based restoration models

Inpainting

Patch propagation

- Inpaint progressively from the edges of the missing region.
- Start with the pixels whose patches are "rare" (i.e., sparse similarity maps).





(a) Patch selection

(b) Patch inpainting

[Xu and Sun, 2010]

Super-resolution

Super-resolution from a single image

[Glasner et al., 2009]

- Simulate multi-frames: use similar patches and their sub-pixel registration.
- Match patches from low-res and hi-res pairs.



Deblurring

Adaptive sparse domain selection and regularization [Dong et al., 2011]

- Locally select dictionaries (sub-spaces),
- Perform sparse coding with the selected dictionary,
- Enforce stability under non-local filtering.



What's next?

Next open problems to deal with

- Blind denoising:
- Blind deconvolution:
- Non-stationary blur:
- Non-linear degradations:

statistics of the noise are unknown.

convolution kernel is unknown.

ex: moving objects, Bokeh...

ex: saturation, atmospheric turbulence...



(a) Motion blur



(b) Bokeh (Mulholand drive, 2001)



(c) Turbulence (OTIS dataset)

Next generations of restoration techniques

- Instead of learning statistics of images or patches, such as:
 - Mean power spectral density (for Wiener filtering),
 - PCA (for LMMSE),
 - Non-local PCA (for NL Bayes),
 - Sparsifying dictionaries (k-SVD),
 - Gaussian mixture models (EPLL).

\Rightarrow Learn directly the algorithm.

What do all these algorithms have in common?

What's next?

Non-Local means

```
for k in range(-s1, s1 + 1):
   for 1 in range(-s2, s2 + 1):
       yshift = shift(y, k, 1)
       dist2 = (yshift - y)**2
       dist2 = convolve(dist2, nu) # Global linear
       w = phi(dist2, sig, h, P * c)  # Pointwise non-linear
       x += w * yshift
```

- # Global linear
- # Pointwise non-linear

 - # Pointwise non-linear

Regularized anisotropic diffusion

```
for k in range(m-1):
   gconv = grad(convolve(x, nu))
   alpha = g(norm2(gconv))
   g = grad(z)
   v = alpha * g
   x = x + gamma * div(v)
```

- # Global linear
 - # Pointwise non-linear
 - # Global linear
 - # Pointwise non-linear
 - # Global linear

ISTA+LASSO+UDWT+Deconvolution: $BaB = WH^*HW^+$

```
while condition:
   z = z - gamma * (BaB(z) - Bay) # Global linear
   z = SoftT(z, gamma * tau / lambda)  # Pointwise non-linear
```

What's next?

All restoration methods perform successions of:

• global linear operations (mixing everything):

ex: convolutions, shifts, patch extractions, aggregations, decimations...

• pointwise non-linear operations (taking decisions):

ex: thresholdings, exponentials, squares, element-wise products...



These are artificial (deep convolutional) Neural Networks (NNs). Instead of designing all steps yourself, let the machine learn them.

Fast Super-Resolution Convolutional NN [Dong et al., 2016]





Want to learn more?

Fall quarter 2019: Machine Learning for Image Processing

Questions?

That's all folks!

Sources, images courtesy and acknowledgment

L. Denis J. Gilles A. Horodniceanu G. Peyré

W. Sharba F. Tupin Wikipedia