

The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing and its applications

2025/05/22 — Reading group

Damien Robert

Équipe Canari, Inria Bordeaux Sud-Ouest



université
de BORDEAUX

Inria

Table of Contents

1 The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing

2 Applications

3 Theory

The Tate pairing

- E_1/k elliptic curve; $P \in E_1(k)$ (exact) ℓ -torsion

k is any field, ℓ need not be prime, we do not assume $\mu_\ell \subset k$. For simplicity we do restrict to ℓ prime to p throughout.

- $\tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$; $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$
- The **Weil-Cartier pairing** $e_\phi : \text{Ker } \tilde{\phi} \times \text{Ker } \phi \rightarrow \mu_\ell$ induces an isomorphism $\psi : \mu_\ell \rightarrow \text{Ker } \phi$, $\zeta \mapsto P'$, the unique point that satisfies $e_\phi(P, P') = \zeta$.

Definition/Theorem

If $Q \in E_1(k)$, the **Tate pairing** $T_\phi(P, Q)$ is the **unique element** $t \in k^*/k^{*,\ell}$ such that there exists an isomorphism $\psi_Q : \{x \in \bar{k} \mid x^\ell = t\} \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(Q)$ where

- 1 ψ_Q is **Galois equivariant**: $\psi_Q \circ \sigma = \sigma \circ \psi_Q$ for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$
- 2 If $x' = x\zeta$ where $\zeta \in \mu_\ell$, then $\psi_Q(x') = \psi_Q(x) + \psi(\zeta)$.

- Condition 1 states that ψ_Q is an **isomorphism of Galois sets / an isomorphism of k -schemes**
- Condition 2 gives **compatibilities** between the fibers and $\text{Ker } \phi$
- Example: $Q \in \phi(E_2(k)) \Leftrightarrow T_\phi(P, Q) \in k^{*,\ell}$, in which case we have $\#\mu_\ell(k)$ rational preimages.
- Exercise: prove existence and unicity

Hint: use Hilbert 90. Why do we need both conditions for unicity?

 t is unique, but not ψ_Q (Exercise: how many ψ_Q can there be?)

Insert a frame about the Weil-Cartier pairing

- $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2, \widehat{\phi} : \widehat{A_2} \rightarrow \widehat{A_1}$
- Weil-Cartier: $e_\phi : \text{Ker } \phi \times \text{Ker } \widehat{\phi} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$
- Compatible with isogenies: $e_{\phi_3 \circ \phi_2 \circ \phi_1}(P, Q) = e_{\phi_2}(\phi_1(P), \widehat{\phi_3}(P))$ whenever these are well defined.
- Biduality: if $i : A_1 \rightarrow \widehat{\widehat{A_1}}$ is the biduality morphism, $e_{\widehat{\phi}}(Q, i(P)) = e_\phi(P, Q)^{-1}$
- So as a (horrible) abuse of notation, I'll use

$$e_{\widehat{\phi}}(P, Q) := e_{\widehat{\phi}}(Q, i(P))^{-1} = e_\phi(P, Q)$$

The case of a larger kernel

- $\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{A}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{A}_2; \phi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1, Q \in A_1(k)$
- $P \in \widehat{K} := \text{Ker } \widehat{\phi}$ of exact order ℓ ; $K' := \langle P \rangle^\perp \subset K := \text{Ker } \phi$ (for the Weil-Cartier pairing e_ϕ)
- K' acts on K . The orbits are in bijection with μ_ℓ
- K' acts on $\phi^{-1}(Q)$. The orbits are in bijection with $\{x \in \bar{k} \mid x^\ell = T_\phi(P, Q)\}$
- **Galois equivariant and compatible** with the Weil-Cartier pairing as before

Proof: ϕ factors out through K' as $\phi = \phi_1 \circ \phi_2$, where $\text{Ker } \phi_2 = K'$ and $\text{Ker } \widehat{\phi}_1 = \langle P \rangle$; and $\phi^{-1}(Q)/K' \simeq \phi_1^{-1}(Q)$. (+ Compatibility of Weil-Cartier pairing with isogenies.)

- Only depends on P , not on \widehat{K} (as long as $P \in \widehat{K}$)
- In particular, $T_\phi(P, Q) = T_\ell(P, Q)$.
- If $P_1, \dots, P_m \in \widehat{K}(k)$, then the Tate pairings $T_\phi(P_i, Q)$ gives information on $\phi^{-1}(Q)$ modulo $\langle P_1, \dots, P_m \rangle^\perp$.
- If we have rational generators P_1, \dots, P_m of \widehat{K} , the $T_\phi(P_i, Q)$ allows to recover the **full Galois structure on $\phi^{-1}(Q)$** .

Example: The multiplication by $[\ell]$ on an elliptic curve

- $\phi = [\ell] : E \rightarrow E$
- (P_1, P_2) basis of $E[\ell]$, $P_1, P_2, Q \in E(k)$ (so $\mu_\ell \subset k$)
- $t_1 = T_\ell(P_1, Q), t_2 = T_\ell(P_2, Q)$

Proposition

t_1, t_2 are the *unique elements* in $k^*/k^{*,\ell}$ such that there exists a *rational / Galois equivariant isomorphism*

$$\psi_{Q_1, Q_2} : \{x_1, x_2 \in \bar{k} \mid x_1^\ell = t_1, x_2^\ell = t_2\} \rightarrow [\ell]^{-1}(Q)$$

such that $\psi_{Q_1, Q_2}(x_1 \zeta_1, x_2 \zeta_2) = \psi_{Q_1, Q_2}(x_1, x_2) + T$ where $T \in E[\ell]$ is the *unique point* that satisfies $e_\ell(P_1, T) = \zeta_1, e_\ell(P_2, T) = \zeta_2$.

- t_1, t_2 give informations on the Galois structure of $[\ell]^{-1}Q$
- They are *trivial* if and only if $Q \in [\ell]E(k)$
- If $k = \mathbb{F}_q, \mu_\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, then $Q \in [\ell]E(\mathbb{F}_q)$ iff $T_\ell(P, Q)$ for all $P \in E[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q)$
no need to assume that the full ℓ -torsion is rational (see below)!

The reduced Tate pairing

- If $t = T_\ell(P, Q)$ and $x^\ell = t$, then

$$\Xi = \Xi_t : \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \mapsto \frac{\sigma(x)}{x}$$

gives a cocycle with value in μ_ℓ

- Well defined up to a coboundary
- Explains how $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k)$ acts on $\phi^{-1}(Q)$: if $Q_0 = \psi_Q(x)$ so that $\phi(Q_0) = Q$, then

$$\sigma(Q_0) = Q_0 + \psi(\Xi(\sigma))$$

- Reformulation (which also works for larger kernels $P \in \widehat{K}$): $\xi(\sigma) = e_\phi(P, \sigma(Q_0) - Q_0) \in \mu_\ell$
- If $\mu_\ell \subset k$, Ξ is a morphism $\text{Gal}(\bar{k}/k) \rightarrow \mu_\ell$ and does not depend on the choice of x
- Hilbert 90: $t \in k^*/k^{*\ell} \mapsto \Xi_t \in H^1(k, \mu_\ell)$ is an isomorphism
- If $k = \mathbb{F}_q$, the cocycle Ξ is uniquely determined by its value on π_q
- $\Xi(\pi_q) = \frac{\pi_q(x)}{x} = t^{\frac{q-1}{\ell}}$ is the reduced Tate pairing:

$$t_\phi(P, Q) = T_\phi(P, Q)^{(q-1)/\ell}$$

- Well defined in $\mu_\ell/(\pi_q - 1) \simeq H^1(\mathbb{F}_q, \mu_\ell)$. $\#\mu_\ell/(\pi_q - 1) = \#\mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell} = \#\mu_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- If $\mu_\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, the reduced Tate pairing is well defined in μ_ℓ

Properties of the Tate pairing

$\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{A}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{A}_2; \phi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1, \text{Ker } \phi \text{ of exponent } L, Q \in A_1(k); T_\phi : \widehat{K}(k) \times A_1(k) \rightarrow k^*/k^{*,L}.$

Bilinearity:

- Bilinear on the right

Given Q_1, Q_2 , combine ψ_{Q_1}, ψ_{Q_2} . This crucially relies on compatibility of ψ_{Q_i} and ψ .

- Bilinear on the left (by bilinearity of the Weil pairing)

If P_1 is of nm -torsion and $P_2 = mP_1$, **naive bilinearity** is

$$T_{nm}(P_2, Q) = T_{nm}(P_1, Q)^m$$

seen in $k^*/k^{*,nm}$.

We can also see $T_{nm}(P_2, Q)$ as $T_m(P_2, Q) \in k^*/k^{*,n}$. We have a natural map $H^1(k, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_{nm})$ associated to the inclusion $\mu_n \hookrightarrow \mu_{nm}$; it corresponds via the isomorphism $H^1(k, \mu_n) \simeq k^*/k^{*,n}$ to $i : k^*/k^{*,n} \rightarrow k^*/k^{*,nm}, [x] \mapsto [x^m]$. It is **not injective** in general, so we lose some information if we see $T_{nm}(P_2, Q) = i(T_m(P_2, Q)) \in k^*/k^{*,nm}$ rather than $T_m(P_2, Q) \in k^*/k^{*,n}$.

We also have a map $\mu_{nm} \rightarrow \mu_n, \zeta \mapsto \zeta^m$, which induces $H^1(k, \mu_{nm}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mu_n)$, hence $p : k^*/k^{*,nm} \rightarrow k^*/k^{*,n}$, given by $[x] \mapsto [x]$.

Refined bilinearity is

$$T_m(P_2, Q) = p(T_{nm}(P_1, Q)) \in k^*/k^{*,n}.$$

We recover naive bilinearity via $i(T_m(P_2, Q)) = ip(T_{nm}(P_1, Q)) = T_{nm}(P_1, Q)^m \in k^*/k^{*,nm}$.

If $\mu_{nm} \subset k$, then $i : k^*/k^{*,n} \rightarrow k^*/k^{*,nm}$ is injective, and we can ignore this subtlety.

Properties of the Tate pairing

$\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{A}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{A}_2; \phi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1, \text{Ker } \phi \text{ of exponent } L, Q \in A_1(k); T_\phi : \widehat{K}(k) \times A_1(k) \rightarrow k^*/k^{*,L}.$

Non degeneracy:

- Non degeneracy on the left if $\widehat{K}(k) = \widehat{K}(\bar{k})$:

$$T_\phi(P, Q) = 1 \forall P \in \widehat{K} \Leftrightarrow Q \in \phi(E_2(k))$$

Non degeneracy properties over a finite field $k = \mathbb{F}_q$:

- If P of order ℓ , and $[x] \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*,\ell}$, then there exists $Q \in A_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $T_\phi(P, Q) = [x]$
- \Rightarrow Non degeneracy on the right:
- if $\mu_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq 1$, there exists $Q \in A_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ such that $T_\phi(P, Q) \neq 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*,\ell}$.
(Direct proof: use that $\#A_2(\mathbb{F}_q) = \#A_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$)
- If $\mu_L \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, non degeneracy on the left also holds even if $\widehat{K}(\mathbb{F}_q) \neq \widehat{K}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$:
if $T_\phi(P, Q) = 1 \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*,L}$ for all $P \in \widehat{K}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, then $Q \in \phi(A_2(\mathbb{F}_q))$.

Computing the Tate pairing

$P \in E_1(k), \tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle, Q \in E_1(k).$

Not easy a priori: how to compute $T_\phi(P, Q)$?

Theorem/Definition

$$T_\phi(P, Q) = T_\ell(P, Q) = f_{\ell, P}(Q)$$

where $f_{\ell, P}$ is the normalised Miller function with divisor $(\ell)(P) - (\ell)(0_E)$.

- Can be computed in $O(\log \ell)$ operations in k
- Does not require to build ϕ nor E_2 !

Aside: roots of unity in a finite field

- $\mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell} \simeq \mu_\ell/(\pi_q - 1)$ is of cardinal $\ell_0 = \#\mu_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$.
- $\ell_0 = \ell \wedge (q - 1)$, and $\mu_{\ell_0} = \mu_\ell(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- Writing $\ell = \ell' \ell_0$, the exponentiation $\mu_\ell \rightarrow \mu_{\ell_0}, \zeta \mapsto \zeta^{\ell'}$ induces an isomorphism

$$\mu_\ell/(\pi_q - 1) \simeq \mu_{\ell_0}$$

- The corresponding isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell} \simeq \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell_0}$ is given by $[x] \mapsto [x]$
- If P is of order ℓ , $T_\ell(P, Q) = T_{\ell_0}(\ell'P, Q) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell_0}$
- If ϕ is the isogeny induced by $\langle P \rangle$ and ϕ' the one induced by $\langle \ell'P \rangle$, we see that the Galois structure of the small fiber $\phi'^{-1}(Q)$ completely determines the Galois structure of the larger fiber $\phi^{-1}(Q)$.

Questions

- Why “geometric”?
- What are some applications of this interpretation?
- Why is the Tate pairing fast to compute?
- How do we link the geometric interpretation with Miller’s algorithm?
- Can we build an isomorphism $\psi_Q : \{x \in \bar{k} \mid x^\ell = T_\ell(P, Q)\} \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(Q)$ explicitly?
- What if $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$ is rational, cyclic of order ℓ , $Q \in E_1(k)$, but $\text{Ker } \tilde{\phi}$ has no rational generator P ?
If P lives in an extension k' , $t = T_\ell(P, Q)$ is well defined in $k'^*/k'^{*,\ell}$, but changing t by tx^ℓ where $x \in k'$ may change the k -Galois structure of $\{x \mid x^\ell = t\}$!

Table of Contents

1 The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing

2 Applications

3 Theory

Usual applications of the Tate pairing

- Non degeneracy \Rightarrow pairing based cryptography
- Subgroup membership testing [Koshelev]
- If A/\mathbb{F}_q is an abelian variety, T_1, \dots, T_r a basis of $A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q)$ where T_i is of order ℓ_i , and $\mu_\ell \subset \mathbb{F}_q$ then by non degeneracy

$$T : A(\mathbb{F}_q)/A[\ell](\mathbb{F}_q) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^r \mu_{\ell_i}, P \mapsto t_\ell(T_i, P)$$

is an isomorphism. (Reijnders's profiles)

- This allows to probe \mathbb{Z}_ℓ -torsion information on the subgroup $\langle P_i \rangle$ generated by some sampled points P_i .
- For instance, if ℓ is prime, $\langle P_i \rangle$ generates the ℓ -Sylow of $A(\mathbb{F}_q)$ iff the $T(P_i)$ generate μ_ℓ^r .
- Example: Entangled basis is a special case of this when E/\mathbb{F}_{p^2} is supersingular and $\ell = 2$.
- See [Rei25] paper for more applications and generalisations of the entangled basis algorithm
- Here we only focus only on applications from the geometric interpretation
- It tells us that Tate pairings allow us to probe the Galois structure of the fiber of an isogeny ϕ
- How can we use this information?

Montgomery curves

$P \in E_1[2](k)$, $\tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$, $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$

- $E_2[2] = \phi^{-1}(0_{E_1}) \cup \phi^{-1}(P)$
- $\phi^{-1}(0_{E_1}) \simeq \mu_2 \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ ($p \neq 2$) so we always have at least **one rational point** of 2-torsion on E_2 generating the dual isogeny
- E_2 has **full rational two torsion** if and only if $\phi^{-1}(P)$ has rational points, if and only if $T_2(P, P)$ is a square

Sending P to $(0, 0)$, we obtain:

Proposition

Let $E : By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + tx$, and $P = (0, 0)$ the point of 2-torsion on it. Then $T_2(P, P) = [t] \in k^*/k^{*,2}$ and t is a square if and only if $E/\langle P \rangle$ has full rational 2-torsion.

Montgomery curves

Proposition

Let $E : By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + tx$, and $P = (0, 0)$ the point of 2-torsion on it. Then $T_2(P, P) = [t] \in k^*/k^{*,2}$ and t is a square if and only if $E/\langle P \rangle$ has full rational 2-torsion.

Conversely, if $t \in k^*$ is any representative of $T_2(P, P)$, then up to a change of $(X : Z)$ variables, translation by P is given by $(X : Z) \mapsto (Z : tX)$ [BRS23, § 6], so:

- If $x = X/Z$, the 2-torsion on E_1 is given by $x = \infty, 0, \alpha, t/\alpha$
- The 4-torsion points P' above P satisfy $x(P') = \pm\sqrt{t}$
- In this model, $E : By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + tx$

Example

- If $T_2(P, P)$ is a square, P is of “Montgomery type”, and taking $t = 1$ we obtain that E_1 is isomorphic to:

$$By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + x, \quad A = -\alpha - 1/\alpha$$

- Otherwise, we recover the Montgomery⁻-model of [CD20]:

$$By^2 = x^3 + Ax^2 + \zeta x$$

$$[\zeta] = T_2(P, P), \zeta \in k^* \setminus k^{*,2}$$

Probing the Galois structure of an isogeneous elliptic curve

- $E_1/\mathbb{F}_q, E_1(\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle P_1, P_2 \rangle$ a basis, P_1, P_2 of order n_1, n_2 .
- $P \in E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order ℓ , $\tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$, $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$
- Since $\phi(E_2(\mathbb{F}_q)) \subset E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, the \mathbb{F}_q -Galois structure of the fibers $\phi^{-1}(P_1), \phi^{-1}(P_2)$ encodes the group structure of $E_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- Hence the Tate pairings $t_1 = T_\phi(P, P_1), t_2 = T_\phi(P, P_2)$ encode all the information about $E_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Proposition

- We have an *isomorphism of Galois sets*:

$$\phi^{-1}(E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)) \simeq \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \mid x^\ell = t_1^{a_1} t_2^{a_2}, 0 \leq a_1 < n_1, 0 \leq a_2 < n_2\} / \mathbb{F}_q^*$$

- If P_i is of order n_i , as a Galois-set, $E_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \simeq \{x \in \mathbb{F}_q \mid x^\ell = t_1^{a_1} t_2^{a_2}, 0 \leq a_i < n_i\}$

The isomorphisms ψ_{P_1}, ψ_{P_2} are unique only up to a translation by $T \in \text{Ker } \phi(\mathbb{F}_q)$. Since T is rational, this ambiguity still allows to recover the group structure of $E(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

Probing the ℓ -torsion of an isogeneous elliptic curve

- $P \in E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$ of order ℓ , $\tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$, $\phi : E_2 \rightarrow E_1$
- $\phi(E_2[\ell]) = \langle P \rangle$ so $E_2[\ell] = \phi^{-1}(\langle P \rangle)$
- The **self Tate pairing** $t = T_\phi(P, P) \in \mathbb{F}_q^*/\mathbb{F}_q^{*\ell}$ encodes all the information about the **Galois structure of $E_2[\ell]$**
- We have an isomorphism of Galois sets:

$$E_2[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q) \simeq \{x \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_q \mid x^\ell = t^a\} / \mathbb{F}_q^*$$

- For fun, we can also use the **reduced Tate pairing**

$$\begin{aligned} t_\phi(P, Q) &= t^{(q-1)/\ell} \in \mu_\ell / (\pi_q - 1) \\ &= e_\ell(P, \pi_q Q_0 - Q_0) \text{ where } \ell Q_0 = Q \end{aligned}$$

- Pick a representative $\zeta = \zeta_0^u$ of $t_\phi(P, Q)$ in $\mu_\ell = \langle \zeta_0 \rangle$.
- There exists a basis Q_1, Q_2 of $E_2[\ell](\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ such that
 - ▶ $\phi(Q_1) = 0_{E_1}$, $e_\phi(P, Q_1) = \zeta_0$. We have $\pi_q(Q_1) = qQ_1$ since $\text{Ker } \phi \simeq \mu_\ell$;
 - ▶ $\phi(Q_2) = P$, and $\pi_q(Q_2) = Q_2 + uQ_1$
- So the matrix of π_q acting on $E_2[\ell]$ is equivalent to $\begin{pmatrix} q & u \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$

Volcanoes

- E/\mathbb{F}_q ordinary, $\ell \mid \#E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, ℓ prime
- The ℓ -isogeny graph forms a volcano structure
- On level 0 (the floor): $E[\ell^\infty](\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^f\mathbb{Z}$
- On level 1: $E[\ell^\infty](\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{f-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell\mathbb{Z}$
- On level $m < f/2$: $E[\ell^\infty](\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{f-m}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell^m\mathbb{Z}$
- If we reach level $m = f/2$ (the stability level), then f has to be even, and at all levels $m \geq f/2$:

$$E[\ell^\infty](\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{f/2}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{f/2}\mathbb{Z}$$

- A cyclic descending isogeny stays descending
 - A cyclic ascending isogeny stays ascending, until it eventually reach the crater, where it can have horizontal then descending steps.
- ⇒ If $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ is a cyclic ℓ^e -isogeny and we know the level of E_1 and E_2 , then we know exactly how many ascending/horizontal/descending steps ϕ took.

Pairing the volcano [IJ10]

Proposition

If $P \in E_1[\ell^e](\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $\mu_{\ell^e} \subset \mathbb{F}_q$, then

$$E_2[\ell^e](\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathbb{Z}/\ell^e\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/\ell^{e-e'}\mathbb{Z}$$

where $\ell^{e'}$ is the order of $t_{\ell^e}(P, P)$.

The lower the order of the self Tate pairing, the more rational points of ℓ^e -torsion we have on the codomain, and the higher we are in the volcano:

- If $e' > 0$ then we know that E_2 is at level $e - e'$
- Otherwise, we only know that E_2 is at level $\geq e$

See [Rob23, Example 5.16] for a fully detailed discussion, including the case $\mu_{\ell^e} \not\subset \mathbb{F}_q$.

Example (2-isogenies)

If $P \in E[2]$, $t_2(P, P) = 1 \Leftrightarrow E/\langle P \rangle$ has full rational two torsion $\Leftrightarrow E/\langle P \rangle$ is at level ≥ 1 .

A case study: the CSIDH volcano

- E/\mathbb{F}_p supersingular elliptic curve, $p = u2^f - 1$ (u odd), $f \geq 3$ so $p \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$.
- The CSIDH volcano is of height 1 (and $\mu_{2^f}(\mathbb{F}_p) = \mu_2$)

Torsion:

- **On the floor:** primitive orientation by $\mathbb{Z}[\pi_p]$
- $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/(p+1)\mathbb{Z}$, so $E[2^\infty](\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/2^f\mathbb{Z}$
- If E' is the quadratic twist of E , $E[2^f] = E[2^f](\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) \simeq E[2^f](\mathbb{F}_p) \oplus E'[2^f](\mathbb{F}_p)$
- **On the crater:** primitive orientation by $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1+\pi_p}{2}]$.
- $E(\mathbb{F}_p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/\frac{p+1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$, so $E[2^\infty](\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/2^{f-1}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.
- $E[2^{f-1}] = E[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_{p^2}) = E[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p) + E'[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p)$, with:

$$E[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p) \cap E'[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p) = E[2]$$

- $(2) = (2, \frac{\pi-1}{2})(2, \frac{\pi+1}{2}) = \mathfrak{p}_+ \mathfrak{p}_-$
- $2^f \mid \pi_p^2 - 1, \mathfrak{p}_+^{f-1} \mathfrak{p}_- \mid \pi_p - 1, \mathfrak{p}_-^{f-1} \mathfrak{p}_+ \mid \pi_p + 1$.

The CSIDH volcano: on the floor

- $E[2^\infty](\mathbb{F}_p) = \mathbb{Z}/2^f\mathbb{Z}$.
- P_0 a generator, $T_0 := 2^{f-1}P_0$.
- T_0 generates the **ascending isogeny**, and $t_2(T_0, T_0) = 1$ as expected.
- The reduced Tate pairing $t_{2^f}(P_0, P_0) = t_2(T_0, P_0) = -1 \in \mu_2$ is non trivial by non degeneracy, so $E/\langle P_0 \rangle$ is **on the floor**.
- The isogeny generated by P_0 goes up, goes in the '+' horizontal direction for $f - 2$ steps, then goes down again.
- If P'_0 a generator of $E'[2^\infty](\mathbb{F}_p)$, the isogeny generated by P'_0 goes up, goes in the '-' horizontal direction for $f - 2$ steps, then goes down again.

The CSIDH volcano: on the crater

Isogenies:

- $E[2](\mathbb{F}_p) = \{0_E, T_-, T_0, T_+\}$ where:
 - ▶ T_0 generates the descending isogeny $\phi_0 : E \rightarrow E_0$
 - ▶ $E[\mathfrak{p}_\pm] = \langle T_\pm \rangle$ so that $\phi_- : E \rightarrow E_-$, $\phi_+ : E \rightarrow E_+$ are the two horizontal isogenies
- P_+ a generator of $E[\mathfrak{p}_+^{f-1}]$, it is of order 2^{f-1} and above T_+ .
- P_0 a generator of $E_0(\mathbb{F}_p)[2^f]$

Images (up to renormalisation):

- $\phi_+(E[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p)) = \langle \phi_+(P_+) \rangle \oplus \langle \phi_+(T_-) \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2^{f-2}\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_+(P_+) &= 2P_+^{E_+}, & \phi_+(2^{f-3}P_+) &= T_+^{E_+} \\ \phi_+(T_-) &= \phi_+(T_0) = T_-^{E_+}, & \phi_+(2^{f-3}P_+ + T_-) &= T_0^{E_+}.\end{aligned}$$

- $\phi_-(E[2^{f-1}](\mathbb{F}_p)) = \langle \phi_-(P_+) \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}/2^{f-1}$, so $\phi_-(P_+) = P_+^{E_-}$.
- $\phi_0(P_+) = 2P_0$, and $\widetilde{\phi}_0(P_0) = P_+ + T_0$.

The CSIDH volcano: on the crater

Pairings:

- T_+ is the only point divisible by 2 in $E(\mathbb{F}_p)$, so all pairings $t_2(T_i, T_+)$ are trivial
- T_+, T_- are horizontal, so have trivial self pairings; T_0 goes down, so has non trivial self pairing.
- Only T_+ is in the rational image of $\widetilde{\phi}_0$ (out of T_+, T_-, T_0)
- The isogeny of $\widetilde{\phi}_+$ is of type $-$, so only T_+ is in the rational image
- The isogeny of $\widetilde{\phi}_-$ is of type $+$, so all T_i are in the rational image

Proposition

$t_2(T_-, T_-) = 1$	$t_2(T_-, T_0) = 1$	$t_2(T_-, T_+) = 1$	$t_2(T_-, P_+) = -1$
$t_2(T_0, T_-) = -1$	$t_2(T_0, T_0) = -1$	$t_2(T_0, T_+) = 1$	$t_2(T_0, P_+) = 1$
$t_2(T_+, T_-) = -1$	$t_2(T_+, T_0) = -1$	$t_2(T_+, T_+) = 1$	$t_2(T_+, P_+) = 1$

The CSIDH volcano: applications

On the floor:

- P generates the full cyclic rational 2^f -torsion if and only if $t_2(T_0, P) = -1$.
- This is equivalent to $x(P) - x(T_0)$ is not a square

On the crater:

- T_0 is the unique point of 2-torsion with non trivial self pairing
- $t_2(T_0, T_{\pm}) = \pm 1$, so we can identify T_+, T_-
- A rational point $P \in E[2^\infty](\mathbb{F}_p)$ is of exact order $2^{f-1} \Leftrightarrow$ it is not in the image of $\widetilde{\phi}_-(E_-(\mathbb{F}_p)) \Leftrightarrow t_2(T_-, P) = -1$
- A rational point P of exact order 2^{f-1} generates an horizontal isogeny (hence is P_+) if and only if $t_2(T_+, P) = 1$.

See [DEF+25, Appendix D] for other cool applications!

Evaluating divided endomorphisms

- Assume that $E[\ell] \subset E(\mathbb{F}_q)$
- Then $\pi_q - 1$ is divisible by ℓ
- If $P \in E(\mathbb{F}_q)$, we want to evaluate $\frac{\pi_q - 1}{\ell}(P)$
- Let $P' \in E(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ such that $\ell P' = P$.
- Then $\frac{\pi_q - 1}{\ell}(P) = (\pi_q - 1)P'$
- Can we compute this without computing P' ?
- Pick a basis T_1, T_2 of $E[\ell]$
- We know how π_q acts on P' thanks to the reduced Tate pairings $t_\ell(T_1, P), t_\ell(T_2, P)$!
- Hence we can evaluate $\frac{\pi_q - 1}{\ell}$ without using division points (this requires DLPs in μ_ℓ through)
- See [DEF+25, Appendix D.2] for the details.

Multiradical isogenies

- Let $\phi_1 : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be an ℓ -isogeny of rank g of ppavs
- $\phi_2 : A_2 \rightarrow A_3$ is said to be non (partially) backtracking if $\phi_2 \circ \phi_1$ is still of rank g
- Equivalently: $\ker \phi_2 \cap \ker \tilde{\phi}_1 = 0$
- So $\tilde{\phi}_1$ induces a bijection between $\ker \phi_2$ and $\ker \phi_1$.

Proposition

Assume that we have rational generators P_1, \dots, P_g of $\ker \phi_1$. Then each choice of isotropic $Q_1 \in \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}(P_1), \dots, Q_g \in \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}(P_g)$ gives a different non backtracking isogeny ϕ_2 , via $\ker \phi_2 = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_g \rangle$, and they all arise this way.
So there are $\ell^{g(g+1)/2}$ such isogenies.

Theorem (Multiradical isogenies)

There is a **rational bijection** between these choices and the solutions of $\{x_{ij}^\ell = T_\ell(P_i, P_j) \mid i \leq j\}$.

Multiradical isogenies

Proposition

Assume that we have rational generators P_1, \dots, P_g of $\text{Ker } \phi_1$. Then each choice of isotropic $Q_1 \in \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}(P_1), \dots, Q_g \in \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}(P_g)$ gives a different non backtracking isogeny ϕ_2 , via $\text{Ker } \phi_2 = \langle Q_1, \dots, Q_g \rangle$, and they all arise this way.

So there are $\ell^{g(g+1)/2}$ such isogenies.

Theorem (Multiradical isogenies)

There is a *rational bijection* between these choices and the solutions of $\{x_{ij}^\ell = T_\ell(P_i, P_j) \mid i \leq j\}$.

Proof.

Without the isotropy condition on the Q_i , we know by the geometric interpretation that there is a (rational) bijection Ψ between $T = \{x_{ij}^\ell = T_\ell(P_i, P_j) \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq g\}$ and the set $\{(Q_1, \dots, Q_g) \mid Q_i \in \tilde{\phi}_1^{-1}(P_i)\}$.

Adding the isotropy condition gives via Ψ^{-1} a (rational) subset $T' \subset T$. Working a bit more, we can prove that the projection map $T \rightarrow T'', (x_{ij}, 1 \leq i, j \leq g) \mapsto (x_{ij}, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq g)$ restricts to a bijection $T' \simeq T''$, see [Rob23, Theorem 5.19]. Composing all maps, we obtain a rational bijection between the subset of isotropic Q_i and $\{x_{ij}^\ell = T_\ell(P_i, P_j) \mid i \leq j\}$.



Making the isomorphism effective

- E_1/k elliptic curve; $P \in E_1(k)$ ℓ -torsion, $\tilde{\phi} : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$. $Q \in E_1(k)$,
- We want to build an explicit rational isomorphism

$$\psi_Q : \text{Spec } k[x]/(x^\ell - T_\ell(P, Q)) \rightarrow \phi^{-1}(Q).$$

- We will use cubical arithmetic!
- Pick up a cubical point \tilde{P} above P , possibly over an extension, such that $\ell\tilde{P} = \tilde{O}$
(no need for \tilde{P} to be symmetric here)
- Pick some rational cubical points $\tilde{Q}, P \widetilde{+} Q$ and compute $\ell P \widetilde{+} Q = \lambda_Q \cdot \tilde{Q}$
- Cubical theory tells us that λ_Q is rational (even if \tilde{P} is not) and a representative of $T_\ell(P, Q)$.
- For any λ such that $\lambda^\ell = \lambda_Q$, then replacing $P \widetilde{+} Q$ by $\lambda \cdot P \widetilde{+} Q$ above we get that $\ell P \widetilde{+} Q = \tilde{Q}$ (and conversely).
- If we work with (cubical) coordinates X_1, \dots, X_n of level n prime to ℓ , then the $X_i(jP \widetilde{+} Q)$ gives the (cubical) coordinates of level $n\ell$ of the point in $\phi^{-1}(Q)$ corresponding to λ
- We can use a magic 5×5 matrix to descend from level $n\ell$ to coordinates of level n .
- See [Rob24, § 6.2] and [Rob25]

Table of Contents

1 The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing

2 Applications

3 Theory

A descent story

- We have a k -rational isogeny $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ between abelian varieties.
- **Goal:** We want to recover $A_2(k)$.
- A rational point $Q \in A_2(k)$ may come from a non rational point $P \in A_1(\bar{k})$.
- How can we recover the rational points on A_2 from the geometric points on A_1 ?

General descent theory:

- If $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ is an epimorphism, we can look at the pullback $X \times_Y X \rightrightarrows X$.
- A point $T \rightarrow Y$ induces by pullback points $T' \rightarrow X$ and $T'' \rightarrow X \times_Y X$ and an action $T'' = T' \times_Y X \rightarrow T'$ satisfying appropriate gluing condition ("**descent data**").
- If $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ is a morphism above Y , the pullback gives a morphism $T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2$ compatible with the descent data $T''_i \rightarrow T'_i$.
- Conversely, if ϕ is a **descent epimorphism** (e.g. ϕ is fppf), then all morphisms $T'_1 \rightarrow T'_2$ above X respecting the descent data come from a morphism $T_1 \rightarrow T_2$ above Y .
- If ϕ is of **effective descent**, we can even reconstruct the objects $T \rightarrow Y$ from the descent data, without knowing a priori that it already exists.

- If k_2/k_1 is a Galois field extension of Galois group G , then $\mathrm{Spec} k_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k_1$ is a G -torsor:
 $\mathrm{Spec} k_1 \simeq [\mathrm{Spec} k_2/G]$
 - $\mathrm{Spec} k_2 \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k_1} \mathrm{Spec} k_2 \simeq \mathrm{Spec} k_2 \times G$
 - The two natural maps to $\mathrm{Spec} k_2$ are given by $(x, \sigma) \mapsto x$ and $(x, \sigma) \mapsto \sigma(x)$.
 - Given $X, Y/k_1$, the descent data corresponds to the **Galois action** on X_{k_2} and Y_{k_2} and a map $X_{k_2} \rightarrow Y_{k_2}$ descends to k_1 iff it is **Galois equivariant**.
-
- Likewise if $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ is an isogeny over \bar{k} with kernel K , ϕ is a K -torsor: $A_2 = [A_1/K]$.
 - $A_1 \times_{A_2} A_1 \simeq A_1 \times K$ with the two natural maps given by $(x, t) \mapsto x$ and $(x, t) \mapsto x + t$.
 - \bar{k} -points on A_2 corresponds to K -orbits on A_1

The rational points of the isogeneous abelian variety

Putting everything together:

$$\begin{aligned} A_2(k) &\simeq \{P + K \mid P \in A_1(k^{\text{sep}}), \sigma(P + K) = P + K \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)\} \\ &\simeq \{P \in A_1(k^{\text{sep}}), \sigma(P) - P \in K \quad \forall \sigma \in \text{Gal}(k^{\text{sep}}/k)\} / K \end{aligned}$$

- We do not want to work in $A_1(\bar{k})$.
- Switching the roles: if we have an isogeny $\phi' : A_2 \rightarrow A_1$, then each rational point P on A_1 corresponds to some Galois stable fiber $\phi'^{-1}(P) \subset A_2$.
- We stay in $A_1(k)$, but the corresponding descent data lives in A_2 , which we don't know (yet).
- Idea: **use duality!**
- If $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2, \hat{\phi} : \hat{A}_2 \rightarrow \hat{A}_1$. A point $Q \in \hat{A}_1$ corresponds to a **rational divisor** D_Q in A_1 (algebraically equivalent to 0). The fiber $\hat{\phi}^{-1}(Q) \subset \hat{A}_2$ corresponds to the **divisors** D_R in A_2 such that $\phi^* D_R = D_Q$.
- The fiber $\hat{\phi}^{-1}(Q)$ **encodes the descent** of D_Q to A_2 through ϕ . We can reexpress this in terms of descent data on A_1 !

In a stack no one can hear you scream

- At this point, it is convenient to use the language of **stacks**.
Or it's because I had to learn about stacks for a paper, so now I try to mention them everytime...
- An **algebraic stack** \mathfrak{X} behaves exactly like a scheme/an algebraic space, except that the points $\mathfrak{X}(R)$ form a **groupoid** rather than just a set
- Hence two maps $T \rightrightarrows \mathfrak{X}$ can be **(2)-isomorphic** without being equal.
- Let $B\mathbb{G}_m = [k/\mathbb{G}_m]$ be the stacky quotient. $B\mathbb{G}_m$ is the classifying stack of \mathbb{G}_m -torsor, hence of line bundles / divisors (up to linear equivalence).

In a stack no one can hear you scream

- Back to our isogeny $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$.
- A (linear class of) divisor D on A_1 corresponds to a map $\Phi_D : A_1 \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$.
- D is algebraically equivalent to 0 if and only if this map is **invariant** (up to isomorphism) by **translation** by P for all $P \in A_1(\bar{k})$: $t_P^* \Phi_D \simeq \Phi_D$
- Equivalently: this diagram is **commutative** (up to isomorphism)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{t_P} & A_1 \\ & \searrow \Phi_D & \swarrow \Phi_D \\ & B\mathbb{G}_m & \end{array}$$

- $\widehat{A}_1 = \text{Hom}(A_1, B\mathbb{G}_m)$ (morphisms of Picard stacks)
- **Descending D to D'** on A_2 means finding a map $\Phi_{D'} : A_2 \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ such that the composition $A_1 \xrightarrow{\phi} A_2 \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$ is (isomorphic to) the map $\Phi_D : A_1 \rightarrow B\mathbb{G}_m$: $\phi^* \Phi_{D'} \simeq \Phi_D$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_1 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A_2 \\ & \searrow \Phi_D & \swarrow \Phi_{D'} \\ & B\mathbb{G}_m & \end{array}$$

- Concretely, this consists in picking up for each $P \in \text{Ker } \phi(\bar{k})$ a **choice** of an isomorphism $t_P^* \Phi_D \simeq \Phi_D$, in a **compatible way**.

Long story short

- $\phi : A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ of kernel K
- $Q \in \widehat{A}_1$ corresponds to a divisor D_Q on A_1
- $G(D_Q)$ the **theta group**:

$$G(D_Q)(\bar{k}) = \{(P, g_{P,Q})\}$$

where $g_{P,Q}$ induces an isomorphism between D_Q and $t_P^* D_Q$.

- We may see $g_{P,Q}$ as a function with divisor $t_P^* D_Q - D_Q$.
 - The fiber $\widehat{\phi}^{-1}(Q) \subset \widehat{A}_2$ is in bijection with K -descent data for D_Q
 - These descent data (datum?) correspond exactly to lifts \widetilde{K} of K to $G(D_Q)$.
 - This is **Galois equivariant**: \widetilde{K} corresponds to a rational point $Q' \in \widehat{\phi}^{-1}(Q)$ if and only if it is invariant by Galois.
-
- Any **explicit description** of $G(D_Q)$ allows to compute these descent data
 - If $X \rightarrow A_1 \times \widehat{A}_1$ is the Poincaré biextension, $G(D_Q)$ is the pullback of X to $A_1 \times Q$
 - So we can use our favorite **biextension arithmetic**: Miller's representation, cubical arithmetic, ...
 - Generic framework to recover the Galois structure of fibers of any isogeny!

Back to elliptic curves

- $P \in E_1(k)$ of order ℓ , $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2 = E_1/\langle P \rangle$
- $Q \in E_1(k)$, $D_Q = (Q) - (0_E)$
Actually it should be $(-Q) - (0_E)$ but everyone is used to the “wrong” divisor $(Q) - (0_E)$ in the literature.
- A lift of $K = \langle P \rangle$ to the theta group $G(D_Q)$ corresponds to a choice of **biextension function** $g_{P,Q}$ with divisor¹

$$\operatorname{div} g_{P,Q} = (P) + (Q) - (P + Q) - (0_E)$$

which is of **order ℓ** for the **biextension group law**.

- $\tilde{K} = \{1, g_{P,Q}, g_{2P,Q}, g_{3P,Q}, \dots\}$
- Let $\mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$ be the **biextension function normalised to 1** at 0_{E_1} .
- Then $\mathbf{g}_{\ell P,Q} = f_{\ell,P}(Q)$ where $f_{\ell,P}$ is the normalised Miller function with divisors $\ell(P) - \ell(0_{E_1})$
- The biextension function $\lambda \mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$ is of order ℓ if and only if $\lambda^{-\ell} = f_{\ell,P}(Q)$
- Since $\sigma(\mathbf{g}_{P,Q}) = \mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$, we have $\sigma(\lambda \mathbf{g}_{P,Q}) = \sigma(\lambda) \mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$
- So the fiber $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Q)$ is **Galois-isomorphic** to $\{x \mid x^\ell = f_{\ell,P}(Q)\}$.
- This gives **Condition 1** of the **geometric Tate pairing**. For **condition 2** we need to work more and use that the **biextension arithmetic** also gives the **Weil pairing**.

¹Technically: $\operatorname{div} g_{P,Q} = (-P - Q) + (0_E) - (-P) - (-Q)$ and $\operatorname{div} f_{\ell,P} = \ell(0_{E_1}) - \ell(-P)$

The case of a non rational generator

- The theta group/biextension point of view allow to handle rational kernels with **non rational generators**.
- Let $P \in E_1(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ of order ℓ which generate a \mathbb{F}_q -rational isogeny $\phi : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$ with kernel K .
- We have $\pi_q(P) = mP$ for some m prime to ℓ .
- Let $Q \in E_1(\mathbb{F}_q)$, and $\mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$ be the normalised biextension function.
- If $\lambda^{-\ell} = f_{\ell,P}(Q)$, $g_{P,Q} := \lambda \mathbf{g}_{P,Q}$ generates a lift $\tilde{K} = \{1, g_{P,Q}, g_{2P,Q}, \dots\}$ of K in $G(D_Q)$.
- This time, $\pi_q(\mathbf{g}_{P,Q})$ is the normalised biextension function $\mathbf{g}_{mP,Q}$ above (mP, Q) , so $\pi_q(g_{P,Q}) = \lambda^q \mathbf{g}_{mP,Q}$.
- So $\tilde{K} = \langle g_{P,Q} \rangle$ is rational (hence corresponds to a rational point in $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Q)$) if and only if $\pi_q(g_{P,Q}) = g_{mP,Q}$.
- Evaluating at 0_{E_1} , this gives an equation $\lambda^q = \lambda^m f_{m,P}(Q)$.
(The biextension arithmetic gives that $\mathbf{g}_{mP,Q}(0_{E_1}) = f_{mP}(Q)$.)
- More generally, $\sigma(\langle \lambda \mathbf{g}_{P,Q} \rangle) = \langle \lambda' \mathbf{g}_{P,Q} \rangle$ where λ' satisfy $\lambda^q = \lambda'^m f_{m,P}(Q)$.
- This gives the **\mathbb{F}_q -Galois structure on the solutions** $\{\langle \lambda \mathbf{g}_{P,Q} \rangle \mid \lambda^\ell = T_\ell(P, Q)\}$

Example (The geometric interpretation of the Ate pairing)

Take $P \in E[\ell]$ of eigenvalue q for the Frobenius: $\pi_q(P) = qP$. The action of π_q on the fiber $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}(Q)$ is described by $\pi_q^{-1}(f_{q,P}(Q))$ where $f_{q,P}(Q)$ is the Ate pairing! (See also [Rob24, Remark 3.21])

Last slide: The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing

- Secretly the geometric interpretation is about **étale μ_ℓ -torsors**
- $A_1/k, P \in \widehat{A}_1(k)$ ℓ -torsion, $\widehat{\phi} : \widehat{A}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{A}_2 = \widehat{A}_1/\langle P \rangle, \phi : A_2 \rightarrow A_1, Q \in A_2(k)$
- P induces an isomorphism $\text{Ker } \phi \simeq \mu_\ell, T \mapsto e_\phi(P, T)$, hence an isomorphism $B \text{Ker } \phi \simeq B\mu_\ell$
- $A_2 \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$ is $\text{Ker } \phi$ -equivariant (taking the trivial action on $\text{Spec } k$), hence induces a map

$$[A_2 / \text{Ker } \phi] \rightarrow B \text{Ker } \phi$$

We have the following **pullback diagram**:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \phi^{-1}(Q) & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec } k & & \text{Spec } k \longleftarrow \{x^\ell = t\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow Q & & \downarrow t \\
 A_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A_1 \simeq [A_2 / \text{Ker } \phi] & & \mathbb{G}_m \simeq [\mathbb{G}_m / \mu_\ell] \xleftarrow{x \mapsto x^\ell} \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \text{Spec } k & \longrightarrow & B \text{Ker } \phi & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B\mu_\ell \longleftarrow \text{Spec } k
 \end{array}$$

Last slide: The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing

We have the following pullback diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \phi^{-1}(Q) & \longrightarrow & \operatorname{Spec} k & & \operatorname{Spec} k \longleftarrow \{x^\ell = t\} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow Q & & \downarrow t \\
 A_2 & \xrightarrow{\phi} & A_1 \simeq [A_2 / \operatorname{Ker} \phi] & & \mathbb{G}_m \simeq [\mathbb{G}_m / \mu_\ell] \longleftarrow \mathbb{G}_m \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow x \mapsto x^\ell \\
 \operatorname{Spec} k & \longrightarrow & B \operatorname{Ker} \phi & \xrightarrow{\simeq} & B\mu_\ell \longleftarrow \operatorname{Spec} k
 \end{array}$$

Definition (The Tate pairing)

- Given a point $Q : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow A_1$, the **Tate pairing** is the map

$$T_\phi(P, Q) : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow B\mu_\ell$$

given by the composition $\operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow B \operatorname{Ker} \phi \rightarrow B\mu_\ell$.

- Since $B\mu_\ell(k) = k^*/k^{*,\ell}$, there is a representative t in k^* such that $T_\phi(P, Q) : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow B\mu_\ell$ is isomorphic to $t : \operatorname{Spec} k \rightarrow B\mu_\ell$, hence gives an **isomorphism of μ_ℓ -torsors**:

$$\phi^{-1}(Q) \simeq \{x^\ell = t\}$$

Bibliography

- [BRS23] R. Barbulescu, D. Robert, and N. Sarkis. “Models of Kummer lines and Galois representations”. June 2023 (cit. on p. 16).
- [CD20] W. Castryck and T. Decru. “CSIDH on the surface”. In: *International Conference on Post-Quantum Cryptography*. Springer, 2020, pp. 111–129 (cit. on p. 16).
- [DEF+25] P. Dartois, J. K. Eriksen, T. B. Fouotsa, A. H. L. Merdy, R. Invernizzi, D. Robert, R. Rueger, F. Vercauteren, and B. Wesolowski. “PEGASIS: Practical Effective Class Group Action using 4-Dimensional Isogenies”. Accepted for publication at *Crypto 2025*. Mar. 2025 (cit. on pp. 25, 26).
- [IJ10] S. Ionica and A. Joux. “Pairing the volcano”. In: *Algorithmic number theory*. Springer, 2010, pp. 201–218 (cit. on p. 20).
- [Rei25] K. Reijnders. A Note on the Advanced Use of the Tate Pairing. Cryptology ePrint Archive, Paper 2025/477. 2025. url: <https://eprint.iacr.org/2025/477> (cit. on p. 14).
- [Rob23] D. Robert. “The geometric interpretation of the Tate pairing and its applications”. Feb. 2023 (cit. on pp. 20, 28).
- [Rob24] D. Robert. “Fast pairings via biextensions and cubical arithmetic”. Apr. 2024 (cit. on pp. 29, 38).
- [Rob25] D. Robert. “Cubical arithmetic on abelian varieties: introduction and applications”. Biextension reading group. Feb. 2025. url: <http://www.normalesup.org/~robert/pro/publications/slides/2025-02-Cubical.pdf> (cit. on p. 29).