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## Model problem

Helmholtz equation

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\rho \omega^{2} u-\operatorname{div}(\mu \nabla u)=0, \quad \text { in } \Omega \\
u=0, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{1} \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial n}-i k(\omega) u=\frac{\partial u^{\mathrm{inc}}}{\partial n}-i k(\omega) u^{\mathrm{inc}}, \quad \text { on } \Gamma_{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $k(\omega)$ the wave number :

$$
k(\omega)=\omega \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\mu}}
$$

and $u^{\text {inc }}$ an incident plane wave.

## Transmission conditions



Transmission conditions:

$$
u_{1}=u_{2}, \quad \mu_{1} \partial_{n} u_{1}=\mu_{2} \partial_{n} u_{2}
$$

Iterative DDMs : produce a sequence $\left(u_{1}^{n}, u_{2}^{n}\right)$

- $\left(u_{1}^{n}, u_{2}^{n}\right)$ computed from previous iterations by solving local problems in $\Omega_{1}$ and $\Omega_{2}$
- $\left(u_{1}^{n}, u_{2}^{n}\right) \rightarrow\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ when $n$ tends to the infinity


## Transmission conditions

Concentric interfaces without intersection:


## Equivalent transmission conditions

Transmission conditions are rewritten (coefficients $\rho, \mu$ continuous across the interface $\Sigma$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mu\left(\partial_{n} u_{1}-z\left[k(\omega) u_{1}+c T\left(u_{1}\right)\right]\right)=\mu\left(\partial_{n} u_{2}-z\left[k(\omega) u_{2}+c T\left(u_{2}\right)\right]\right) \\
& \mu\left(\partial_{n} u_{1}-\bar{z}\left[k(\omega) u_{1}+c T\left(u_{1}\right)\right)\right)=\mu\left(\partial_{n} u_{2}-\bar{z}\left[k(\omega) u_{2}+c T\left(u_{2}\right)\right]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us denote

$$
B_{z, c}=\mu\left(\partial_{n}-z[k(\omega) \mathbb{I}+c T]\right)
$$

Transmission conditions given as:

$$
B_{z, c} u_{1}=B_{z, c} u_{2}, \quad B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{1}=B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{2}
$$

## Jacobi iterative algorithm

Sequence $\left(u_{1}^{n}, u_{2}^{n}\right)$ obtained with Jacobi iterative algorithm:

$$
\begin{cases}-\rho \omega^{2} u_{1}^{n}-\operatorname{div}\left(\mu u_{1}^{n}\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{1} \\ -\rho \omega^{2} u_{2}^{n}-\operatorname{div}\left(\mu u_{2}^{n}\right)=0 & \text { in } \Omega_{2} \\ B_{z, c} u_{1}^{n}=B_{z, c} u_{2}^{n-1} & \\ B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{2}^{n}=B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{1}^{n-1} & \end{cases}
$$

Relaxation with parameter $r$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{z, c} u_{1}^{n}=r B_{z, c} u_{2}^{n-1}+(1-r) B_{z, c} u_{1}^{n-1} \\
& B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{2}^{n}=r B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{1}^{n-1}+(1-r) B_{\bar{z}, c} u_{2}^{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Classical choices for operator B

Scalar Impedance

$$
z=i \quad T=0
$$

- Després (1990), Després, Joly, Roberts (1992)
- Collino, Ghanemi, Joly (1998)

Local operators :

$$
z=i \quad T=\left(\mathbb{I}-\alpha_{1} \Delta_{\Sigma}\right)^{-1}\left(\mathbb{I}-\alpha_{2} \Delta_{\Sigma}\right)
$$

- Gander, Magoules, Nataf (2002), Japhet, Nataf (2002)
- J.F. Lee (2006), Antoine, Boubendir, Geuzaine (2012)


## Exponential convergence

For a non-local operator $T$ of the form

$$
T=\Lambda \Lambda^{*}
$$

where $\Lambda$ is an isomorphism from $L^{2}(\Sigma)$ to $H^{-1 / 2}(\Sigma)$, there exists $\tau(r, z, c, \Lambda)$ such that

$$
\left\|u_{1}^{n}-u_{1}\right\|+\left\|u_{2}^{n}-u_{2}\right\| \leq C \tau^{n}
$$

Optimization of parameters $z, c$ for circular layers.

## Class of non-local operators

$\Lambda$ pseudo-differential operator of order $1 / 2$, its symbol would be

$$
\hat{\Lambda}=\left|\frac{\xi}{\omega}\right|^{1 / 2}
$$

In 2-D, an operator satisfying these properties is:

$$
(\wedge u, \varphi)=\int_{\Sigma} \int_{\Sigma} \chi(|x-y|) \sqrt{|x-y|} \partial_{s} u(x) \partial_{s} \varphi(y) d \sigma(x) d \sigma(y)
$$

Justification of this form in Collino-Joly-Lecouvez (Waves 2013)
Cut-off function $\chi$ used to obtain a quasi local operator.

## Comparison with Després method

Scattering by a disc of radius $R=4$, absorbing boundary condition set on $R=6, \omega=10 \pi$


Subdomains are concentric discs

## Comparison with Després method

Evolution of the residual for Després method ( $\mathrm{z}=\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{T}=0$ ) and optimized method with $\mathbb{P}_{4}$ finite elements (Jacobi algorithm).

$\Rightarrow$ Geometrical convergence for the optimized method.

## Influence of cut-off function

Number of iterations versus the radius of the cut-off function $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{4}\right)$

| Radius | Jacobi | Gmres(50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\lambda}{8}$ | 497 | 144 |
| $\frac{\lambda}{4}$ | 365 | 122 |
| $\frac{\lambda}{2}$ | 342 | 114 |
| $\lambda$ | 403 | 120 |
| $2 \lambda$ | 398 | 120 |
| $4 \lambda$ | 398 | 120 |

$\lambda$ is here the wavelength.

## Influence of the mesh size

Number of iterations versus the number of dofs per wavelength.

| $N$ | Jacobi | Gmres(50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 723 | 370 |
| 4 | 394 | 113 |
| 8 | 398 | 120 |
| 16 | 401 | 118 |
| 32 | 401 | 114 |
| 64 | 401 | 110 |

## Influence of the number of subdomains

Number of iterations versus the number of subdomains $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{4}\right)$.

| Number of sub-domains | Jacobi | Gmres(50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | 398 | 120 |
| 4 | 456 | 193 |
| 8 | 1322 | 435 |
| 16 | 3776 | 962 |

Computations performed with the same coefficients z, c. choosing different coefficients might reduce substantially the number of iterations

## Influence of the frequency

Number of iterations versus the pulsation $\omega\left(\mathbb{Q}_{4}\right.$ with eight degrees of freedom per wavelength)

| $\omega$ | Jacobi | Gmres(50) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pi$ | 150 | 62 |
| $2 \pi$ | 202 | 72 |
| $4 \pi$ | 266 | 86 |
| $6 \pi$ | 280 | 99 |
| $10 \pi$ | 398 | 120 |
| $20 \pi$ | 515 | 149 |
| $40 \pi$ | 746 | 189 |

$\Rightarrow$ The influence of the frequency is rather mild on this case.

## Comparison with analytical rate

Rate of convergence can be computed analytically with modes $e^{i m \theta}$


For high values of $m$, the rate differ because of discretization error. $\Rightarrow$ Maximal rate of about 0.95 instead of 0.9 for the analytical computation

## Comparison with analytical rate



Final rate of 0.95 rather independant of the number of points $N$ per wavelength.

## Comparison with other transmission conditions

Comparison with the following transmission conditions

- Després operator : Després (1990)
- OO2 : Optimized second-order operator Gander, Magoules, Nataf (2002)
- Padé(N) : Square root operator approximated by Padé expansion, Antoine, Boubendir, Geuzaine (2012)
- Non-local : our approach with $T=\Lambda^{*}$


## Comparison with other transmission conditions

## Rate of convergence for the homogeneous disk



## Comparison with other transmission conditions

Rate of convergence for a dielectric square ( $\rho=2.25, \quad \mu=1$ )


## Comparison with other transmission conditions

Number of iterations with Gmres(50) for the dielectric square to reach a relative residual lower than $10^{-8}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{6}\right)$

| Frequency | 0.01 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 4.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Després | 128 | 48 | 38 | 247 |
| OO2 | 26 | 29 | 40 | 200 |
| Padé(4) | 94 | 18 | 34 | 160 |
| Non-local | 28 | 33 | 46 | 194 |

## Prospects

- Adjonction of local operators in $T$
- Implement and test 3-D cases
- Case of intersecting interfaces
- Extension to 3-D Maxwell's equations

