

Questions about error terms in Sato-Tate distributions

Around Frobenius distributions and related topics 3

October 05, 2022

Equidistributed sequences

Let Ω be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} with a probability measure μ . A sequence $X = \{x_n\}$ of real numbers in Ω is said to be equidistributed with respect to μ (or μ -equidistributed) if for all intervals $I \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} = \int_I d\mu.$$

Equidistributed sequences

Let Ω be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} with a probability measure μ . A sequence $X = \{x_n\}$ of real numbers in Ω is said to be equidistributed with respect to μ (or μ -equidistributed) if for all intervals $I \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} = \int_I d\mu.$$

Equivalently, for any continuous function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n=1}^V \phi(x_n) = \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu.$$

Equidistributed sequences

Let Ω be a closed interval in \mathbb{R} with a probability measure μ . A sequence $X = \{x_n\}$ of real numbers in Ω is said to be equidistributed with respect to μ (or μ -equidistributed) if for all intervals $I \subseteq \Omega$,

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} = \int_I d\mu.$$

Equivalently, for any continuous function $\phi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,

$$\lim_{V \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{V} \sum_{n=1}^V \phi(x_n) = \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu.$$

A pertinent analytic question about a μ -equidistributed sequence is about error terms in the above asymptotics.

Error terms in μ -equidistributed sequences

Error terms in μ -equidistributed sequences

- Can we find explicit bounds for the discrepancies

$$D_X(I, V) := \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} - V \int_I d\mu$$

Error terms in μ -equidistributed sequences

- Can we find explicit bounds for the discrepancies

$$D_X(I, V) := \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} - V \int_I d\mu$$

and

$$D_X(\phi, V) := \sum_{n=1}^V \phi(x_n) - V \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu$$

in terms of V ?

Error terms in μ -equidistributed sequences

- Can we find explicit bounds for the discrepancies

$$D_X(I, V) := \#\{1 \leq n \leq V : x_n \in I\} - V \int_I d\mu$$

and

$$D_X(\phi, V) := \sum_{n=1}^V \phi(x_n) - V \int_{\Omega} \phi d\mu$$

in terms of V ?

- We consider sequences X in a suitable family \mathcal{F} . Can we obtain average error terms

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} D_X(I, V) \text{ and } \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} D_X(\phi, V)?$$

Does the order of the discrepancy improve upon averaging?

- Can we estimate or find asymptotics for the variance

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(I, V))^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(\phi, V))^2?$$

- Can we estimate or find asymptotics for the variance

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(I, V))^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(\phi, V))^2?$$

- This leads to questions about higher moments of $D_X(I, V)$ and $D_X(\phi, V)$.

- Can we estimate or find asymptotics for the variance

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(I, V))^2,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}|} \sum_{X \in \mathcal{F}} (D_X(\phi, V))^2?$$

- This leads to questions about higher moments of $D_X(I, V)$ and $D_X(\phi, V)$.
- Such questions can be approached by modelling $D_X(I, V)$ and $D_X(\phi, V)$ as sums of “independent” random variables.

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?
- The above question can be studied with the help of notions such as level spacing distribution, pair correlation and k -level correlations.

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?
- The above question can be studied with the help of notions such as level spacing distribution, pair correlation and k -level correlations.
- For a positive, real number $s > 0$, define

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?
- The above question can be studied with the help of notions such as level spacing distribution, pair correlation and k -level correlations.
- For a positive, real number $s > 0$, define

$$R^{(2)}(s, X, N) := \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N : |x_i - x_j| \leq \frac{s}{N} \right\}.$$

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?
- The above question can be studied with the help of notions such as level spacing distribution, pair correlation and k -level correlations.
- For a positive, real number $s > 0$, define

$$R^{(2)}(s, X, N) := \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N : |x_i - x_j| \leq \frac{s}{N} \right\}.$$

If the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R^{(2)}(s, X, N) = \int_{-s}^s R(t) dt$, then $R(t)$ is called the pair correlation function of the sequence X .

Spacing statistics

- In case the sequence X is uniformly distributed in $[0, 1]$, are the spacings between its elements distributed like those in a sequence of random points in $[0, 1]$?
- The above question can be studied with the help of notions such as level spacing distribution, pair correlation and k -level correlations.
- For a positive, real number $s > 0$, define

$$R^{(2)}(s, X, N) := \frac{1}{N} \# \left\{ 1 \leq i \neq j \leq N : |x_i - x_j| \leq \frac{s}{N} \right\}.$$

If the limit $\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} R^{(2)}(s, X, N) = \int_{-s}^s R(t) dt$, then $R(t)$ is called the pair correlation function of the sequence X .

- If $R(t) = 1$, then we say that the pair correlation function of X is Poissonian.

Fourier coefficients of modular cusp forms

- Let k and N be positive integers with k even.

Fourier coefficients of modular cusp forms

- Let k and N be positive integers with k even.
- Let $S_k(N)$ denote the space of modular cusp forms of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.

Fourier coefficients of modular cusp forms

- Let k and N be positive integers with k even.
- Let $S_k(N)$ denote the space of modular cusp forms of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.
- For $n \geq 1$, let T_n denote the n -th Hecke operator acting on $S_k(N)$. We denote the set of Hecke newforms in $S_k(N)$ by $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.

Fourier coefficients of modular cusp forms

- Let k and N be positive integers with k even.
- Let $S_k(N)$ denote the space of modular cusp forms of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.
- For $n \geq 1$, let T_n denote the n -th Hecke operator acting on $S_k(N)$. We denote the set of Hecke newforms in $S_k(N)$ by $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$. Let $s_k(N) := |\mathcal{F}_k(N)|$.

Fourier coefficients of modular cusp forms

- Let k and N be positive integers with k even.
- Let $S_k(N)$ denote the space of modular cusp forms of weight k with respect to $\Gamma_0(N)$.
- For $n \geq 1$, let T_n denote the n -th Hecke operator acting on $S_k(N)$. We denote the set of Hecke newforms in $S_k(N)$ by $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$. Let $s_k(N) := |\mathcal{F}_k(N)|$.
- Any Hecke newform $f(z) \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$ has a Fourier expansion

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{\frac{k-1}{2}} a_f(n) q^n,$$

where $a_f(1) = 1$ and

$$\frac{T_n(f(z))}{n^{\frac{k-1}{2}}} = a_f(n) f(z), \quad n \geq 1.$$

Sato-Tate sequences

Sato-Tate sequences

- Let p be a prime number such that $(p, N) = 1$. By the Ramanujan-Deligne bound, $a_f(p) \in [-2, 2]$.

Sato-Tate sequences

- Let p be a prime number such that $(p, N) = 1$. By the Ramanujan-Deligne bound, $a_f(p) \in [-2, 2]$.
- For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, and $(p, N) = 1$, we denote $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$.

Sato-Tate sequences

- Let p be a prime number such that $(p, N) = 1$. By the Ramanujan-Deligne bound, $a_f(p) \in [-2, 2]$.
- For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, and $(p, N) = 1$, we denote $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$.
- We consider the sequence $\{\theta_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N) = 1}$ lying in $[0, 1]$.

Sato-Tate sequences

- Let p be a prime number such that $(p, N) = 1$. By the Ramanujan-Deligne bound, $a_f(p) \in [-2, 2]$.
- For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, and $(p, N) = 1$, we denote $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$.
- We consider the sequence $\{\theta_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N) = 1}$ lying in $[0, 1]$.
- By the Sato-Tate equidistribution theorem, for a non-CM eigenform $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, the above sequence is equidistributed in the interval $[0, 1]$ with respect to the measure

$$\mu(t) = 2 \sin^2(\pi t) = 1 - \cos 2\pi t.$$

Sato-Tate sequences

- Let p be a prime number such that $(p, N) = 1$. By the Ramanujan-Deligne bound, $a_f(p) \in [-2, 2]$.
- For a fixed $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, and $(p, N) = 1$, we denote $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$.
- We consider the sequence $\{\theta_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N) = 1}$ lying in $[0, 1]$.
- By the Sato-Tate equidistribution theorem, for a non-CM eigenform $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, the above sequence is equidistributed in the interval $[0, 1]$ with respect to the measure

$$\mu(t) = 2 \sin^2(\pi t) = 1 - \cos 2\pi t.$$

That is, for any interval $I \subset [0, 1]$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\} = \int_I \mu(t) dt,$$

where $\pi_N(x)$ is the number of primes up to x , coprime to N .

Sato-Tate equidistribution law

Sato-Tate equidistribution law

- Equivalently, $\{a_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N)=1}$ is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}}.$$

Sato-Tate equidistribution law

- Equivalently, $\{a_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N)=1}$ is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}}.$$

- That is, for any continuous function $g : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

Sato-Tate equidistribution law

- Equivalently, $\{a_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N)=1}$ is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}}.$$

- That is, for any continuous function $g : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N)=1}} g(a_f(p)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-2}^2 g(y) \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}} dy.$$

Sato-Tate equidistribution law

- Equivalently, $\{a_f(p)\}_{p \rightarrow \infty, (p, N)=1}$ is equidistributed in $[-2, 2]$ with respect to the measure

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}}.$$

- That is, for any continuous function $g : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N)=1}} g(a_f(p)) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-2}^2 g(y) \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}} dy.$$

- It is sufficient to check whether above asymptotic holds for a collection of polynomials $P_m(y)$, $m \geq 0$ where $P_m(y)$ denotes a polynomial of degree m .

- We choose $\{U_m(y)\}_{m \geq 0}$, where $U_m(y)$ is the m -th Chebyshev polynomial given by

$$U_m(y) = \frac{\sin(m+1)\pi\theta}{\sin\pi\theta}, \quad y = 2\cos\pi\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- We choose $\{U_m(y)\}_{m \geq 0}$, where $U_m(y)$ is the m -th Chebyshev polynomial given by

$$U_m(y) = \frac{\sin(m+1)\pi\theta}{\sin\pi\theta}, \quad y = 2\cos\pi\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- We choose $\{U_m(y)\}_{m \geq 0}$, where $U_m(y)$ is the m -th Chebyshev polynomial given by

$$U_m(y) = \frac{\sin(m+1)\pi\theta}{\sin\pi\theta}, \quad y = 2\cos\pi\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- Since $U_m(a_f(p)) = a_f(p^m)$, the Sato-Tate equidistribution law is equivalent to the assertion that for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N)=1}} a_f(p^m) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-2}^2 U_m(y) \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}} dy$$

- We choose $\{U_m(y)\}_{m \geq 0}$, where $U_m(y)$ is the m -th Chebyshev polynomial given by

$$U_m(y) = \frac{\sin(m+1)\pi\theta}{\sin\pi\theta}, \quad y = 2\cos\pi\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- Since $U_m(a_f(p)) = a_f(p^m)$, the Sato-Tate equidistribution law is equivalent to the assertion that for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N)=1}} a_f(p^m) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-2}^2 U_m(y) \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}} dy \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

- We choose $\{U_m(y)\}_{m \geq 0}$, where $U_m(y)$ is the m -th Chebyshev polynomial given by

$$U_m(y) = \frac{\sin(m+1)\pi\theta}{\sin\pi\theta}, \quad y = 2\cos\pi\theta, \quad \theta \in [0, 1].$$

- Since $U_m(a_f(p)) = a_f(p^m)$, the Sato-Tate equidistribution law is equivalent to the assertion that for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N) = 1}} a_f(p^m) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-2}^2 U_m(y) \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}} dy \\ &= \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Error terms in the Sato-Tate distribution

Error terms in the Sato-Tate distribution

- Recall $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$. Let us fix an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.

Error terms in the Sato-Tate distribution

- Recall $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$. Let us fix an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$. Can one find effective estimates for the discrepancy in the Sato-Tate theorem?

Error terms in the Sato-Tate distribution

- Recall $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$. Let us fix an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$. Can one find effective estimates for the discrepancy in the Sato-Tate theorem? That is, for a non-CM $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, can we find bounds for

$$D_{f,I}(x) := \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\} - \pi_N(x) \int_I \mu(t) dt,$$

for $\mu(t) = 2 \sin^2 \pi t$?

Error terms in the Sato-Tate distribution

- Recall $a_f(p) = 2 \cos \pi \theta_f(p)$, $\theta_f(p) \in [0, 1]$. Let us fix an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$. Can one find effective estimates for the discrepancy in the Sato-Tate theorem? That is, for a non-CM $f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)$, can we find bounds for

$$D_{f,I}(x) := \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\} - \pi_N(x) \int_I \mu(t) dt,$$

for $\mu(t) = 2 \sin^2 \pi t$?

- For a continuous function g on the interval $[0, 1]$, can we find bounds for

$$D_f(g, x) := \sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N) = 1}} g(\theta_f(p)) - \pi_N(x) \int_0^1 g(t) \mu(t) dt?$$

- In 1985, V. K. Murty showed that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, N square free, $n^{1/2} a_f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

- In 1985, V. K. Murty showed that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, N square free, $n^{1/2} a_f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll x^{3/4} \sqrt{\log Nx}$$

- In 1985, V. K. Murty showed that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, N square free, $n^{1/2} a_f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll x^{3/4} \sqrt{\log Nx}$$

(under the assumption that all symmetric power L -functions associated to f are automorphic and satisfy the GRH.)

- In 1985, V. K. Murty showed that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, N square free, $n^{1/2} a_f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll x^{3/4} \sqrt{\log Nx}$$

(under the assumption that all symmetric power L -functions associated to f are automorphic and satisfy the GRH.)

- By the work of Rouse and Thorner in 2016, for all even $k \geq 2$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll \frac{x^{3/4} \log Nkx}{\log x}$$

(under similar strong analytic assumptions.)

- In 1985, V. K. Murty showed that for $f \in \mathcal{F}_2(N)$, N square free, $n^{1/2} a_f(n) \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll x^{3/4} \sqrt{\log Nx}$$

(under the assumption that all symmetric power L -functions associated to f are automorphic and satisfy the GRH.)

- By the work of Rouse and Thorner in 2016, for all even $k \geq 2$,

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll \frac{x^{3/4} \log Nkx}{\log x}$$

(under similar strong analytic assumptions.)

- Thorner (2020) proves unconditionally that

$$D_{f,l}(x) \ll \frac{\pi(x) \log(kN \log x)}{\sqrt{\log x}}.$$

Modelling by random variables

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.
- Denote $\mu(I) := \int_I \mu(t) dt$.

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.
- Denote $\mu(I) := \int_I \mu(t) dt$.
- For a prime p coprime to N , define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as

$$X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.
- Denote $\mu(I) := \int_I \mu(t) dt$.
- For a prime p coprime to N , define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as

$$X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

- Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N) = 1}} X_p(f) = \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\}.$$

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.
- Denote $\mu(I) := \int_I \mu(t) dt$.
- For a prime p coprime to N , define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as

$$X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

- Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N) = 1}} X_p(f) = \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\}.$$

- $\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$

Modelling by random variables

- We choose an interval $I \subset [0, 1]$.
- Denote $\mu(I) := \int_I \mu(t) dt$.
- For a prime p coprime to N , define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as

$$X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

- Thus,

$$\sum_{\substack{p \leq x \\ (p, N) = 1}} X_p(f) = \#\{p \leq x, (p, N) = 1 : \theta_f(p) \in I\}.$$

- $\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$
- $\sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (X_p(f) - \mu_p)^2.$

Some remarks on μ_p

Some remarks on μ_p

$$\mu_p := \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Some remarks on μ_p

$$\mu_p := \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Theorem (Sarnak, 1984 and Serre, 1997)

$$\lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \mu_p = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt,$$

where

$$\nu_p(t) = \frac{p+1}{\pi [(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2 \pi t]} \mu(t).$$

Some remarks on μ_p

$$\mu_p := \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Theorem (Sarnak, 1984 and Serre, 1997)

$$\lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \mu_p = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt,$$

where

$$\nu_p(t) = \frac{p+1}{\pi [(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2 \pi t]} \mu(t).$$

Note: The “vertical Sato-Tate” perspective goes back to the work of Sarnak in 1984, where the above result was proved for spaces of primitive Maass cusp forms.

Some remarks on μ_p

$$\mu_p := \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Theorem (Sarnak, 1984 and Serre, 1997)

$$\lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \mu_p = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt,$$

where

$$\nu_p(t) = \frac{p+1}{\pi [(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2 \pi t]} \mu(t).$$

Note: The “vertical Sato-Tate” perspective goes back to the work of Sarnak in 1984, where the above result was proved for spaces of primitive Maass cusp forms. Proved independently by Conrey, Duke and Farmer for $N = 1$.

Some remarks on μ_p

$$\mu_p := \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)).$$

Theorem (Sarnak, 1984 and Serre, 1997)

$$\lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \mu_p = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt,$$

where

$$\nu_p(t) = \frac{p+1}{\pi [(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - 4 \cos^2 \pi t]} \mu(t).$$

Note: The “vertical Sato-Tate” perspective goes back to the work of Sarnak in 1984, where the above result was proved for spaces of primitive Maass cusp forms. Proved independently by Conrey, Duke and Farmer for $N = 1$.

The trace formula for Hecke operators

Proposition (Estimates from the Eichler-Selberg trace formula)

Let k be a positive even integer, m be a positive integer and p be coprime to N . Then,

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) = \frac{\delta_{2|m}}{p^{m/2}} + O\left(\frac{p^{mc}}{kN^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right).$$

The trace formula for Hecke operators

Proposition (Estimates from the Eichler-Selberg trace formula)

Let k be a positive even integer, m be a positive integer and p be coprime to N . Then,

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) = \frac{\delta_{2|m}}{p^{m/2}} + O\left(\frac{p^{mc}}{kN^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right).$$

Here, c is an absolute constant such that $1 < c < 2$.

The trace formula for Hecke operators

Proposition (Estimates from the Eichler-Selberg trace formula)

Let k be a positive even integer, m be a positive integer and p be coprime to N . Then,

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) = \frac{\delta_{2|m}}{p^{m/2}} + O\left(\frac{p^{mc}}{kN^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right).$$

Here, c is an absolute constant such that $1 < c < 2$.

Theorem (Sarnak, Zubrilina, 2022)

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) = \frac{\delta_{2|m}}{p^{m/2}} + O\left(\frac{p^{m/8+\epsilon}}{N^{1/2-\epsilon}}\right).$$

Thus, for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} U_m(a_f(p))$$

Thus, for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} U_m(a_f(p)) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} U_m(a_f(p)) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^{m/2}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every $m \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} U_m(a_f(p)) \\ &= \lim_{\substack{k+N \rightarrow \infty \\ p \nmid N}} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} a_f(p^m) \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p^{m/2}} & \text{if } m \text{ is even} \\ 0 & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ &= \int_{-2}^2 U_m(y) \tilde{\nu}_p(y) dy, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\nu}_p(y) = \frac{p+1}{\pi [(p^{1/2} + p^{-1/2})^2 - y^2]} \sqrt{1 - \frac{y^2}{4}}.$$

What can we say about $\sum_{p \leq x} \mu_p$ and

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \sum_{p \leq x} (\chi_f(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

What can we say about $\sum_{p \leq x} \mu_p$ and

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \sum_{p \leq x} (\chi_f(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

If X_p and X_q were mutually independent, then one could try to use a CLT to predict if

What can we say about $\sum_{p \leq x} \mu_p$ and

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \sum_{p \leq x} (\chi_f(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

If X_p and X_q were mutually independent, then one could try to use a CLT to predict if

$$\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} (X_p - \mu_p)}{\sqrt{\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2}}$$

is normally distributed.

What can we say about $\sum_{p \leq x} \mu_p$ and

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \sum_{p \leq x} (\chi_f(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

If X_p and X_q were mutually independent, then one could try to use a CLT to predict if

$$\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} (X_p - \mu_p)}{\sqrt{\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2}}$$

is normally distributed.

Theorem (Sarnak, 1984)

Let p, q be distinct primes. Then, as $N + k \rightarrow \infty$ such that k is even and $p, q \nmid N$,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] \sim \nu_p(l) \nu_q(l).$$

Is $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{E}[X_p] \mathbb{E}[X_q]$ on $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$?

Is $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{E}[X_p] \mathbb{E}[X_q]$ on $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$?

Theorem

For fixed positive integers k and N (k even), a prime p coprime to N ,

Is $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{E}[X_p] \mathbb{E}[X_q]$ on $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$?

Theorem

For fixed positive integers k and N (k even), a prime p coprime to N ,

① (Murty, S, 2009)

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \nu_p(l) + O\left(\frac{\log p}{\log kN}\right),$$

where $\nu_p(l) = \int_l \nu_p(t) dt$.

Is $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{E}[X_p]\mathbb{E}[X_q]$ on $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$?

Theorem

For fixed positive integers k and N (k even), a prime p coprime to N ,

① (Murty, S, 2009)

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \nu_p(I) + O\left(\frac{\log p}{\log kN}\right),$$

where $\nu_p(I) = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt$.

② (Lau, Wang, 2011) If p and q are distinct primes coprime to N ,

$$\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \nu_p(I)\nu_q(I) + O\left(\frac{\log pq}{\log kN}\right)$$

Is $\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] = \mathbb{E}[X_p]\mathbb{E}[X_q]$ on $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$?

Theorem

For fixed positive integers k and N (k even), a prime p coprime to N ,

① (Murty, S, 2009)

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \nu_p(I) + O\left(\frac{\log p}{\log kN}\right),$$

where $\nu_p(I) = \int_I \nu_p(t) dt$.

② (Lau, Wang, 2011) If p and q are distinct primes coprime to N ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[X_p X_q] &= \nu_p(I)\nu_q(I) + O\left(\frac{\log pq}{\log kN}\right) \\ &= \mathbb{E}[X_p]\mathbb{E}[X_q] + O\left(\frac{\log pq}{\log kN}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Let $k = k(x)$ such that $\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Let $k = k(x)$ such that $\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Then, } \sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \sum_{p \leq x} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) \sim \pi(x) \mu(I)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Let $k = k(x)$ such that $\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Then, } \sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \sum_{p \leq x} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) \sim \pi(x) \mu(I)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark: The above can be interpreted as Sato-Tate on average over $\mathcal{F}_k(1)$.

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Let $k = k(x)$ such that $\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Then, } \sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \sum_{p \leq x} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) \sim \pi(x) \mu(I)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark: The above can be interpreted as Sato-Tate on average over $\mathcal{F}_k(1)$.

Wang (2014): $\sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \mu(I) + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log k} + \frac{\log x \log \log x}{x}\right)$.

Theorem (Conrey-Duke-Farmer, 1997, Nagoshi, 2006)

Let I be a fixed interval in $[0, 1]$ and $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(1) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ is given by $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$.

Let $k = k(x)$ such that $\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\text{Then, } \sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \sum_{p \leq x} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) \sim \pi(x) \mu(I)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark: The above can be interpreted as Sato-Tate on average over $\mathcal{F}_k(1)$.

Wang (2014): $\sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \mu(I) + O\left(\frac{\log x}{\log k} + \frac{\log x \log \log x}{x}\right)$.

Above results can be generalized to a higher (fixed) level N .

Theorem (Prabhu-S, 2019)

Let N be a positive integer. If $(p, N) = 1$, define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{x} \log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem (Prabhu-S, 2019)

Let N be a positive integer. If $(p, N) = 1$, define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{x} \log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 \sim \pi(x) [\mu(I) - \mu(I)^2]$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Theorem (Prabhu-S, 2019)

Let N be a positive integer. If $(p, N) = 1$, define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$ as $X_p(f) = \chi_I(\theta_f(p))$. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\sqrt{x} \log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then,

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 \sim \pi(x) [\mu(I) - \mu(I)^2]$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$. For any integer $r \geq 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) - \pi(x) \mu(I)}{\sqrt{\pi(x) [\mu(I) - \mu(I)^2]}} \right)^r \\ = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^r e^{-t^2/2} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_f by a suitable test function.

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_f by a suitable test function.
- One takes a real-valued, even test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_I by a suitable test function.
- One takes a real-valued, even test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- One then considers, for $L \geq 1$,

$$G_L(\theta) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(L(\theta + m)).$$

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_I by a suitable test function.
- One takes a real-valued, even test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- One then considers, for $L \geq 1$,

$$G_L(\theta) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(L(\theta + m)).$$

- $G_L(\theta)$ is a smooth analogue of $\chi_{[-1/L, 1/L]}$.

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_I by a suitable test function.
- One takes a real-valued, even test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- One then considers, for $L \geq 1$,

$$G_L(\theta) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(L(\theta + m)).$$

- $G_L(\theta)$ is a smooth analogue of $\chi_{[-1/L, 1/L]}$.
- The function $G_L(\theta)$ is periodic and has Fourier expansion

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{L} \hat{g}\left(\frac{m}{L}\right) e(m\theta).$$

Smooth analogue

(Joint work with S. Baier and N. Prabhu)

- Replace χ_I by a suitable test function.
- One takes a real-valued, even test function $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$.
- One then considers, for $L \geq 1$,

$$G_L(\theta) := \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} g(L(\theta + m)).$$

- $G_L(\theta)$ is a smooth analogue of $\chi_{[-1/L, 1/L]}$.
- The function $G_L(\theta)$ is periodic and has Fourier expansion

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \frac{1}{L} \hat{g}\left(\frac{m}{L}\right) e(m\theta).$$

- Define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $X_p(f) = G_L(\theta_f(p))$

- Define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $X_p(f) = G_L(\theta_f(p))$

- Note

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} G_L(\theta_f(p)).$$

- Define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $X_p(f) = G_L(\theta_f(p))$

- Note

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} G_L(\theta_f(p)).$$

-

$$\sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (G_L(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

- Define $X_p : \mathcal{F}_k(N) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $X_p(f) = G_L(\theta_f(p))$

- Note

$$\mu_p = \mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} G_L(\theta_f(p)).$$

-

$$\sigma_p^2 = \frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (G_L(\theta_f(p)) - \mu_p)^2.$$

- Distribution of

$$\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} (X_p - \mu_p)}{\sqrt{\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2}}?$$

Theorem (Baier-Prabhu-S, 2020)

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued and even function in the Schwartz class such that the Fourier transform of g is compactly supported.

Theorem (Baier-Prabhu-S, 2020)

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued and even function in the Schwartz class such that the Fourier transform of g is compactly supported. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem (Baier-Prabhu-S, 2020)

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued and even function in the Schwartz class such that the Fourier transform of g is compactly supported. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

- $\sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] \sim \pi(x) \int_0^1 G_L(t) \mu(t) dt,$

Theorem (Baier-Prabhu-S, 2020)

Let $g \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a real-valued and even function in the Schwartz class such that the Fourier transform of g is compactly supported. Let $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log k}{\log x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

- $\sum_{p \leq x} \mathbb{E}[X_p] \sim \pi(x) \int_0^1 G_L(t) \mu(t) dt,$
- $\sum_{p \leq x} \sigma_p^2 \sim \pi(x) V_{G,L},$ where

$$V_{G,L} = \int_0^1 G_L(t)^2 \mu(t) dt - \left(\int_0^1 G_L(t) \mu(t) dt \right)^2.$$

Theorem (Contd.)

- For any integer $r \geq 0$,

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} X_p(f) - \pi(x) \int_0^1 G_L(t) \mu(t) dt}{\sqrt{\pi(x) V_{G,L}}} \right)^r \\ \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^r e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

Theorem (Contd.)

- For any integer $r \geq 0$,

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} X_p(f) - \pi(x) \int_0^1 G_L(t) \mu(t) dt}{\sqrt{\pi(x) V_{G,L}}} \right)^r \\ \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^r e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

Theorem (Baier-Prabhu-S, 2020)

For $\lambda, \omega > 0$, suppose the Fourier transform \hat{g} satisfies $\hat{g}(t) \ll e^{-\lambda|t|^\omega}$, as $|t| \rightarrow \infty$. Then, the conclusions of previous theorem hold if $k = k(x) \geq 2$ satisfies $\frac{(\log k)}{(\log x)^{1+1/\omega}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Motivation and proofs

Motivation and proofs

- In 2006, Nagoshi proved the following: If $\log k / \log x \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} a_f(p)}{\sqrt{\pi(x)}} \right)^r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^r e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

Motivation and proofs

- In 2006, Nagoshi proved the following: If $\log k / \log x \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{s_k(1)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(1)} \left(\frac{\sum_{p \leq x} a_f(p)}{\sqrt{\pi(x)}} \right)^r = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} t^r e^{-t^2/2} dt.$$

- We approximate

$$\sum_{m=0}^L S^-(m) a_f(p^{2^m}) \leq \chi_I(\theta_f(p)) \leq \sum_{m=0}^L S^+(m) a_f(p^{2^m}),$$

and reduce our questions to the evaluation of moments

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{m=1}^L S^\pm(m) a_f(p^{2^m}) \right)^r.$$

- The approximation of the characteristic function by these trigonometric polynomials gives rise to (essentially) two dominant error terms involving the parameter L .

- The approximation of the characteristic function by these trigonometric polynomials gives rise to (essentially) two dominant error terms involving the parameter L .
- The process of choosing an optimal value for L that balances these two error terms is what results in the growth condition of the weights k with respect to x .

- The approximation of the characteristic function by these trigonometric polynomials gives rise to (essentially) two dominant error terms involving the parameter L .
- The process of choosing an optimal value for L that balances these two error terms is what results in the growth condition of the weights k with respect to x .
- It is natural to consider

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G(m) a_f(p^{2m}) \right)^r,$$

and seek conditions on $G(m)$ which ensure the convergence of these moments.

- The approximation of the characteristic function by these trigonometric polynomials gives rise to (essentially) two dominant error terms involving the parameter L .
- The process of choosing an optimal value for L that balances these two error terms is what results in the growth condition of the weights k with respect to x .
- It is natural to consider

$$\frac{1}{s_k(N)} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(\sum_{p \leq x} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} G(m) a_f(p^{2m}) \right)^r,$$

and seek conditions on $G(m)$ which ensure the convergence of these moments.

- This motivates us to choose the kind of test functions which ensure appropriate decay of $G(m)$. The contribution from $a_f(p^{2m})$ is measured by trace formulas.

Straightened Hecke angles

Straightened Hecke angles

- We “straighten out” the Sato-Tate sequence into a uniformly distributed sequence by defining

$$H(\theta_f(p)) := \int_0^{\theta_f(p)} \mu(t) dt.$$

Straightened Hecke angles

- We “straighten out” the Sato-Tate sequence into a uniformly distributed sequence by defining

$$H(\theta_f(p)) := \int_0^{\theta_f(p)} \mu(t) dt.$$

- By the Sato-Tate distribution theorem, the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : (p, N) = 1\}$ is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$.

Straightened Hecke angles

- We “straighten out” the Sato-Tate sequence into a uniformly distributed sequence by defining

$$H(\theta_f(p)) := \int_0^{\theta_f(p)} \mu(t) dt.$$

- By the Sato-Tate distribution theorem, the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : (p, N) = 1\}$ is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$.
- In the 1990s, Katz and Sarnak asked questions that compares the spacings between straightened Hecke angles to Poissonian spacing spacings.

Straightened Hecke angles

- We “straighten out” the Sato-Tate sequence into a uniformly distributed sequence by defining

$$H(\theta_f(p)) := \int_0^{\theta_f(p)} \mu(t) dt.$$

- By the Sato-Tate distribution theorem, the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : (p, N) = 1\}$ is uniformly distributed in the interval $[0, 1]$.
- In the 1990s, Katz and Sarnak asked questions that compares the spacings between straightened Hecke angles to Poissonian spacing spacings.
- One way to address these questions is via the pair correlation function, which looks at the spacings between unordered elements of a uniformly distributed sequence.

Pair correlation of straightened Hecke angles

Question (Katz, Sarnak)

For any $s > 0$, define the interval

$$I_x = \left[\frac{-s}{\pi_N(x)}, \frac{s}{\pi_N(x)} \right].$$

the pair correlation function of the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : p \text{ prime}, (p, N) = 1\}$ is defined as:

$$R(x, s)(f) := \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \# \left\{ (p, q) : p \neq q \leq x, (p, N) = (q, N) = 1, \right. \\ \left. H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) \in I_x + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

Pair correlation of straightened Hecke angles

Question (Katz, Sarnak)

For any $s > 0$, define the interval

$$I_x = \left[\frac{-s}{\pi_N(x)}, \frac{s}{\pi_N(x)} \right].$$

the pair correlation function of the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : p \text{ prime}, (p, N) = 1\}$ is defined as:

$$R(x, s)(f) :=$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \# \left\{ (p, q) : p \neq q \leq x, (p, N) = (q, N) = 1, \right. \\ \left. H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) \in I_x + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For any $s > 0$, does $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?

Pair correlation of straightened Hecke angles

Question (Katz, Sarnak)

For any $s > 0$, define the interval

$$I_x = \left[\frac{-s}{\pi_N(x)}, \frac{s}{\pi_N(x)} \right].$$

the pair correlation function of the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p)) : p \text{ prime}, (p, N) = 1\}$ is defined as:

$$R(x, s)(f) :=$$

$$\frac{1}{\pi_N(x)} \# \left\{ (p, q) : p \neq q \leq x, (p, N) = (q, N) = 1, \right. \\ \left. H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) \in I_x + \mathbb{Z} \right\}.$$

For any $s > 0$, does $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?
If the answer is yes, we say that the sequence $\{H(\theta_f(p))\}$ has Poissonian pair correlation.

Pair correlation in “mesoscopic” regimes

Pair correlation in “mesoscopic” regimes

- A variation of the question can be asked by restricting $\theta_f(p)$ to short intervals I , such that $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Pair correlation in “mesoscopic” regimes

- A variation of the question can be asked by restricting $\theta_f(p)$ to short intervals I , such that $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $0 < \psi < 1$ and I_δ denote intervals of the form

$$[\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta], \delta = \delta(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Pair correlation in “mesoscopic” regimes

- A variation of the question can be asked by restricting $\theta_f(p)$ to short intervals I , such that $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $0 < \psi < 1$ and I_δ denote intervals of the form

$$[\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta], \delta = \delta(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Suppose

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x)\mu(I_\delta) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Pair correlation in “mesoscopic” regimes

- A variation of the question can be asked by restricting $\theta_f(p)$ to short intervals I , such that $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.
- Let $0 < \psi < 1$ and I_δ denote intervals of the form

$$[\psi - \delta, \psi + \delta], \quad \delta = \delta(x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

- Suppose

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x)\mu(I_\delta) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

- We define

$$\tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f) := \frac{1}{\pi_N(x)\mu(I_\delta)} \#\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (p, q) : p \neq q \leq x, (p, N) = (q, N) = 1, \\ \theta_f(p), \theta_f(q) \in I_\delta, \\ H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) \in I_x \end{array} \right\}.$$

- Does the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?

- Does the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \widetilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?
- To answer this question, we need conditions on $\delta(x)$ for which the asymptotic

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x) \mu(I_\delta)$$

holds.

- Does the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?
- To answer this question, we need conditions on $\delta(x)$ for which the asymptotic

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x) \mu(I_\delta)$$

holds.

- The existence and distribution of Hecke angles in shrinking intervals I with $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is linked to effective error terms in the Sato-Tate equidistribution theorem.

- Does the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?
- To answer this question, we need conditions on $\delta(x)$ for which the asymptotic

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x) \mu(I_\delta)$$

holds.

- The existence and distribution of Hecke angles in shrinking intervals I with $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is linked to effective error terms in the Sato-Tate equidistribution theorem.
- By the result of Thorner, we get the following: Let $F(x)$ be a monotonically increasing function with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \infty$.

- Does the limit $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$ exist and is it equal to $2s$?
- To answer this question, we need conditions on $\delta(x)$ for which the asymptotic

$$\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x)\mu(I_\delta)$$

holds.

- The existence and distribution of Hecke angles in shrinking intervals I with $|I| \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ is linked to effective error terms in the Sato-Tate equidistribution theorem.
- By the result of Thorner, we get the following: Let $F(x)$ be a monotonically increasing function with $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} F(x) = \infty$. If $\delta(x) \rightarrow 0$ is chosen such that

$$\mu(I_\delta) \geq \frac{\log(kN \log x)F(x)}{\sqrt{\log x}},$$

then $\#\{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in I_\delta\} \sim \pi_N(x)\mu(I_\delta)$.

Simplifying $\tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$

Simplifying $\widetilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$

- For $0 < \psi < 1$, henceforth, we denote $A := 2 \sin^2 \pi\psi$. Let us consider intervals

$$\mathcal{I}_L := \left[\psi - \frac{1}{AL}, \psi + \frac{1}{AL} \right].$$

Simplifying $\tilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$

- For $0 < \psi < 1$, henceforth, we denote $A := 2 \sin^2 \pi \psi$. Let us consider intervals

$$\mathcal{I}_L := \left[\psi - \frac{1}{AL}, \psi + \frac{1}{AL} \right].$$

- Here, $L = L(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the Sato-Tate distribution law holds for \mathcal{I}_L .

Simplifying $\widetilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$

- For $0 < \psi < 1$, henceforth, we denote $A := 2 \sin^2 \pi \psi$. Let us consider intervals

$$\mathcal{I}_L := \left[\psi - \frac{1}{AL}, \psi + \frac{1}{AL} \right].$$

- Here, $L = L(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the Sato-Tate distribution law holds for \mathcal{I}_L .
- The advantage of localizing our intervals around ψ is that the Sato-Tate density $2 \sin^2 \pi t \sim A$ is essentially constant in short intervals around ψ and the straightening of the Hecke angles amounts to rescaling them.

Simplifying $\widetilde{R}_\delta(x, s)(f)$

- For $0 < \psi < 1$, henceforth, we denote $A := 2 \sin^2 \pi \psi$. Let us consider intervals

$$\mathcal{I}_L := \left[\psi - \frac{1}{AL}, \psi + \frac{1}{AL} \right].$$

- Here, $L = L(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, and the Sato-Tate distribution law holds for \mathcal{I}_L .
- The advantage of localizing our intervals around ψ is that the Sato-Tate density $2 \sin^2 \pi t \sim A$ is essentially constant in short intervals around ψ and the straightening of the Hecke angles amounts to rescaling them.
- Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_f &:= \# \{p \leq x : (p, N) = 1, \theta_f(p) \in \mathcal{I}_L\} \\ &\sim \pi_N(x) \int_{\psi - \frac{1}{AL}}^{\psi + \frac{1}{AL}} 2 \sin^2 \pi t \, dt \sim A \frac{2}{AL} \pi_N(x) = \frac{2\pi_N(x)}{L}. \end{aligned}$$

- If $\theta_f(p), \theta_f(q) \in \mathcal{I}_L$, then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) = \int_{\theta_f(q)}^{\theta_f(p)} 2 \sin^2 \pi t \, dt \sim A(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)).$$

- If $\theta_f(p), \theta_f(q) \in \mathcal{I}_L$, then, as $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$H(\theta_f(p)) - H(\theta_f(q)) = \int_{\theta_f(q)}^{\theta_f(p)} 2 \sin^2 \pi t \, dt \sim A(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)).$$

- Let

$$\tilde{I}_x = \left[\frac{-s}{A\pi_N(x)}, \frac{s}{A\pi_N(x)} \right].$$

- As $x \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{R}_{1/AL}(x, s)(f) \\ & \sim \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \chi_{\mathcal{I}_L}(\theta_f(p)) \chi_{\mathcal{I}_L}(\theta_f(q)) \chi_{\tilde{I}_x}(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)). \end{aligned}$$

Smooth analogue of pair correlation function

Smooth analogue of pair correlation function

- Let g, ρ be real valued, even functions $\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in the Schwartz class with Fourier transforms supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$.
- Define, for $L = L(x) \geq 1$, the functions

$$\rho_L(\theta) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(L(\theta + n)), \quad G_x(\theta) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(\pi_N(x)(\theta + n)).$$

- The smoothed pair correlation function is

$$R_2(g, \rho)(f) := \frac{L}{2\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p, q \leq x \\ (\rho, N) = (q, N) = 1 \\ p \neq q}} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) G_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)).$$

Smooth analogue of pair correlation function

- Let g, ρ be real valued, even functions $\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ in the Schwartz class with Fourier transforms supported in the interval $[-1, 1]$.
- Define, for $L = L(x) \geq 1$, the functions

$$\rho_L(\theta) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \rho(L(\theta + n)), \quad G_x(\theta) := \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} g(\pi_N(x)(\theta + n)).$$

- The smoothed pair correlation function is

$$R_2(g, \rho)(f) := \frac{L}{2\pi_N(x)} \sum_{\substack{p, q \leq x \\ (\rho, N) = (q, N) = 1 \\ p \neq q}} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) G_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)).$$

- Poissonian pair correlation: $R_2(g, \rho)(f) \sim A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$.

Expected value of the pair correlation function

Theorem (Balasubramanyam, S, 2019)

We consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$, $N = N(x)$ and even $k = k(x)$.

Expected value of the pair correlation function

Theorem (Balasubramanyam, S, 2019)

We consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$, $N = N(x)$ and even $k = k(x)$.

①

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} R_2(g, \rho)(f) &= A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0) \\ &+ O\left(\frac{1}{L}\right) + O\left(\frac{L(\log \log x)^2}{\pi_N(x)}\right) + O\left(\frac{L\pi_N(x)x^{\pi_N(x)c}\sqrt{N}}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Expected value of the pair correlation function

Theorem (Balasubramanyam, S, 2019)

We consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$, $N = N(x)$ and even $k = k(x)$.

①

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} R_2(g, \rho)(f) = A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0) + O\left(\frac{1}{L}\right) + O\left(\frac{L(\log \log x)^2}{\pi_N(x)}\right) + O\left(\frac{L\pi_N(x)x^{\pi_N(x)c}\sqrt{N}}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|}\right).$$

② If we choose L such that $L = o\left(\frac{\pi_N(x)}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$, and consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ such that $\frac{\log(k\sqrt{N}/4^{\nu(N)})}{x} \rightarrow \infty$, then,

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} R_2(g, \rho)(f) \sim A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0) \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty.$$

Second moment and variance

Theorem (Mahajan, S, 2022)

Let N, k, ρ_L, G_x, R_2 be as defined above.

Second moment and variance

Theorem (Mahajan, S, 2022)

Let N, k, ρ_L, G_x, R_2 be as defined above.

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (R_2(g, \rho)(f) - A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0))^2 \\ & \ll \frac{1}{L} + \frac{L(\log \log x)^2}{\pi_N(x)} + \frac{L^2(\log \log x)^3}{\pi_N(x)^2} + \frac{L^2(\log \log x)^4}{\pi_N(x)^3} \\ & + \frac{L^3(\log \log x)^2}{\pi_N(x)^3} + \frac{L^3(\log \log x)^4}{\pi_N(x)^4} \\ & + \frac{L^4 \pi_N(x)^2 x^{(8L+8\pi_N(x))c'} 4^{\nu(N)}}{k\sqrt{N}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $c' > 0$ is an absolute constant.

Theorem (Contd.)

If we choose $L(x) = o\left(\frac{\pi_N(x)}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$, and consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ with levels $N = N(x)$ and even weights $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log\left(k\sqrt{N}/4^{\nu(N)}\right)}{x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

Theorem (Contd.)

If we choose $L(x) = o\left(\frac{\pi_N(x)}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$, and consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ with levels $N = N(x)$ and even weights $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log\left(k\sqrt{N}/4^{\nu(N)}\right)}{x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} \left(R_2(g, \rho)(f) - A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0)\right)^2 = 0.$$

Theorem (Contd.)

If we choose $L(x) = o\left(\frac{\pi_N(x)}{(\log \log x)^2}\right)$, and consider families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ with levels $N = N(x)$ and even weights $k = k(x)$ such that

$$\frac{\log\left(k\sqrt{N}/4^{\nu(N)}\right)}{x} \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (R_2(g, \rho)(f) - A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0))^2 = 0.$$

Question: Are the above growth conditions sufficient to give us

$$\frac{1}{|\mathcal{F}_k(N)|} \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_k(N)} (R_2(g, \rho)(f))^r \sim (A^2 \widehat{g}(0) \rho * \rho(0))^r?$$

Terms of the pair correlation function

$$R_2(g, \rho)(f) := \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) g_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q))$$

Terms of the pair correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(g, \rho)(f) &:= \\ \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) g_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f L^2 \pi_N(x)} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \left[\sum_{l \geq 0} H(l) a_f(p^{2l}) \right] \left[\sum_{l' \geq 0} H(l') a_f(q^{2l'}) \right] \end{aligned}$$

Terms of the pair correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(g, \rho)(f) &:= \\ &\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) g_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f L^2 \pi_N(x)} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \left[\sum_{l \geq 0} H(l) a_f(p^{2l}) \right] \left[\sum_{l' \geq 0} H(l') a_f(q^{2l'}) \right] \\ &\times \left[4G(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} 2G(n) (a_f(p^{2n}) - a_f(p^{2n-2})) (a_f(q^{2n}) - a_f(q^{2n-2})) \right], \end{aligned}$$

Terms of the pair correlation function

$$\begin{aligned} R_2(g, \rho)(f) &:= \\ &\frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \rho_L(\theta_f(p) - \psi) \rho_L(\theta_f(q) - \psi) g_x(\theta_f(p) - \theta_f(q)) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathcal{L}_f L^2 \pi_N(x)} \sum_{p \neq q \leq x} \left[\sum_{l \geq 0} H(l) a_f(p^{2l}) \right] \left[\sum_{l' \geq 0} H(l') a_f(q^{2l'}) \right] \\ &\times \left[4G(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} 2G(n) (a_f(p^{2n}) - a_f(p^{2n-2})) (a_f(q^{2n}) - a_f(q^{2n-2})) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$H(l) = \hat{\rho} \left(\frac{l}{L} \right) (2 \cos 2\pi l \psi) - \hat{\rho} \left(\frac{l+1}{L} \right) (2 \cos 2\pi (l+1) \psi)$$

and $G(n) = \hat{g}(n/\pi_N(x))$.

Some comments

Some comments

- The variance theorem tells us that the value of the pair correlation function $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_2(g, \rho)(f)$ is more and more likely to be Poissonian for f in large families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.

Some comments

- The variance theorem tells us that the value of the pair correlation function $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_2(g, \rho)(f)$ is more and more likely to be Poissonian for f in large families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.
- However, we require the size of the families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ to grow rapidly for this asymptotic to hold.

Some comments

- The variance theorem tells us that the value of the pair correlation function $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_2(g, \rho)(f)$ is more and more likely to be Poissonian for f in large families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.
- However, we require the size of the families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ to grow rapidly for this asymptotic to hold. This limitation comes from the estimation of a term in the Eichler-Selberg trace formula.

Some comments

- The variance theorem tells us that the value of the pair correlation function $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_2(g, \rho)(f)$ is more and more likely to be Poissonian for f in large families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.
- However, we require the size of the families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ to grow rapidly for this asymptotic to hold. This limitation comes from the estimation of a term in the Eichler-Selberg trace formula. A term in the trace formula leads to estimates of the form

$$O\left(\frac{x^{D\pi_N(x)} 4^{\nu(N)}}{k\sqrt{N}}\right)$$

for a positive constant D in the pair correlation sum.

Some comments

- The variance theorem tells us that the value of the pair correlation function $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} R_2(g, \rho)(f)$ is more and more likely to be Poissonian for f in large families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$.
- However, we require the size of the families $\mathcal{F}_k(N)$ to grow rapidly for this asymptotic to hold. This limitation comes from the estimation of a term in the Eichler-Selberg trace formula. A term in the trace formula leads to estimates of the form

$$O\left(\frac{x^{D\pi_N(x)} 4^{\nu(N)}}{k\sqrt{N}}\right)$$

for a positive constant D in the pair correlation sum.