## Proximal point algorithm in Hadamard spaces

#### Miroslav Bacak

#### Télécom ParisTech

Optimisation Géométrique sur les Variétés

Paris, 21 novembre 2014

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

### 1 Basic facts on Hadamard spaces

#### 2 Proximal point algorithm

**3** Applications to computational phylogenetics

・ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < ロト</li>

Why? Well...it is used in:

- **Phylogenetics:** computing medians and means of phylogenetic trees.
- diffusion tensor imaging: the space P(n, ℝ) of symmetric positive definite matrices n × n with real entries is a Hadamard space if it is equipped with the Riemannian metric

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_A := \operatorname{Tr} \left( A^{-1} X A^{-1} Y \right), \qquad X, Y \in T_A \left( P(n, \mathbb{R}) \right),$$

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

for every  $A \in P(n, \mathbb{R})$ .

Computational biology: shape analyses of tree-like structures:

## Tree-like structures in organisms



Figure: Bronchial tubes in lungs



Figure: Transport system in plants



#### Figure: Human circulatory system

Let  $(\mathcal{H}, d)$  be a complete metric space where:

**(1)** any two points  $x_0$  and  $x_1$  are connected by a geodesic

 $x\colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{H}\colon t \mapsto x_t,$ 

2 and,

 $d(y, x_t)^2 \le (1-t)d(y, x_0)^2 + td(y, x_1)^2 - t(1-t)d(x_0, x_1)^2,$ for every  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ .

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

Then  $(\mathcal{H}, d)$  is called a Hadamard space.

For today: assume that local compactness.







A geodesic triangle in a geodesic space:



きょう しょう シュート ショー しょう

## Terminology remark



 $\mathsf{CAT}(\kappa)$  spaces, for  $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}$ , were introduced in 1987 by Michail Gromov







▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

We are particularly interested in CAT(0) spaces.

### Examples of Hadamard spaces

- 1 Hilbert spaces, the Hilbert ball
- **2** complete simply connected Riemannian manifolds with  $\operatorname{Sec} \leq 0$
- **3**  $\mathbb{R}$ -trees: a metric space T is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree if
  - for  $x, y \in T$  there is a unique geodesic [x, y]
  - if  $[x,y] \cap [y,z] = \{y\}$ , then  $[x,z] = [x,y] \cup [y,z]$

#### 4 Euclidean buildings

- **5** the BHV tree space (space of phylogenetic trees)
- **6**  $L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$ , where  $(M, \mu)$  is a probability space:

$$d_2(u,v) := \left(\int_M d\left(u(x), v(x)\right)^2 \mathrm{d}\mu(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad u, v \in L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$$

Let  $(\mathcal{H},d)$  be a Hadamard space. These spaces allow for a natural definition of convexity:

#### Definition

A set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  is **convex** if, given  $x, y \in C$ , we have  $[x, y] \subset C$ .

#### Definition

A function  $f: \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  is **convex** if  $f \circ \gamma$  is a convex function for each geodesic  $\gamma: [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{H},d)$  be a Hadamard space. These spaces allow for a natural definition of convexity:

Definition

A set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  is **convex** if, given  $x, y \in C$ , we have  $[x, y] \subset C$ .

#### Definition

A function  $f: \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  is **convex** if  $f \circ \gamma$  is a convex function for each geodesic  $\gamma: [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}$ .

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト

Let  $(\mathcal{H},d)$  be a Hadamard space. These spaces allow for a natural definition of convexity:

#### Definition

A set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  is **convex** if, given  $x, y \in C$ , we have  $[x, y] \subset C$ .

#### Definition

A function  $f: \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  is **convex** if  $f \circ \gamma$  is a convex function for each geodesic  $\gamma: [0, 1] \to \mathcal{H}$ .

#### **①** The **indicator function** of a convex closed set $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\iota_C(x) := 0$$
, if  $x \in C$ , and  $\iota_C(x) := \infty$ , if  $x \notin C$ .

2) The distance function to a closed convex subset  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$  :

$$d_C(x) := \inf_{c \in C} d(x, c), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**(3)** The **displacement function** of an isometry  $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}:$ 

$$\delta_T(x) := d(x, Tx), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

・ロト < 団ト < ヨト < ヨト < ヨト < ロト</li>

**①** The **indicator function** of a convex closed set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\iota_C(x) := 0$$
, if  $x \in C$ , and  $\iota_C(x) := \infty$ , if  $x \notin C$ .

**2** The **distance function** to a closed convex subset  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ :

$$d_C(x) := \inf_{c \in C} d(x, c), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**(3)** The **displacement function** of an isometry  $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}:$ 

$$\delta_T(x) := d(x, Tx), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □□ のQ@

**1** The **indicator function** of a convex closed set  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ :

$$\iota_C(x) := 0$$
, if  $x \in C$ , and  $\iota_C(x) := \infty$ , if  $x \notin C$ .

**2** The **distance function** to a closed convex subset  $C \subset \mathcal{H}$ :

$$d_C(x) := \inf_{c \in C} d(x, c), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**3** The **displacement function** of an isometry  $T: \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}:$ 

$$\delta_T(x) := d(x, Tx), \quad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ □□ のQ@

### Examples of convex functions

④ Let  $c: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{H}$  be a geodesic ray. The function  $b_c: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$b_c(x) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ d\left(x, c(t)\right) - t \right], \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

is called the **Busemann function** associated to the ray c. **(5)** The **energy** of a mapping  $u: M \to \mathcal{H}$  given by

$$E(u) := \iint_{M \times M} d\left(u(x), u(y)\right)^2 p(x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

where  $(M,\mu)$  is a measure space with a Markov kernel  $p(x,\mathrm{d}y).$ 

E is convex continuous on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$ .

④ Let  $c: [0, \infty) \to \mathcal{H}$  be a geodesic ray. The function  $b_c: \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$b_c(x) := \lim_{t \to \infty} \left[ d\left(x, c(t)\right) - t \right], \quad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

is called the **Busemann function** associated to the ray c. **5** The **energy** of a mapping  $u: M \to \mathcal{H}$  given by

$$E(u) := \iint_{M \times M} d\left(u(x), u(y)\right)^2 p(x, \mathrm{d}y) \mathrm{d}\mu(x),$$

where  $(M, \mu)$  is a measure space with a Markov kernel p(x, dy).

E is convex continuous on  $L^2(M, \mathcal{H})$ .

**6** Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_N > 0$ , set

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n d(x, a_n)^p, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

- If p = 1, we get **Fermat-Weber problem** for optimal facility location. A minimizer of f is called a **median**.
- If p = 2, then a minimizer of f is the **barycenter** of

$$\mu := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n \delta_{a_n},$$

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

or the **mean** of  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ .

**6** Given  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $w_1, \ldots, w_N > 0$ , set

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n d(x, a_n)^p, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

- If p = 1, we get **Fermat-Weber problem** for optimal facility location. A minimizer of f is called a **median**.
- If p = 2, then a minimizer of f is the **barycenter** of

$$\mu := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n \delta_{a_n},$$

or the **mean** of  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ .

A function  $f\colon \mathcal{H}\to (-\infty,\infty]$  is strongly convex with parameter  $\beta>0$  if

$$f((1-t)x + ty) \le (1-t)f(x) + tf(y) - \beta t(1-t)d(x,y)^2,$$

for any  $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Each strongly has a unique minimizer.

#### Example

Given  $y \in \mathcal{H}$ , the function  $f := d(y, \cdot)^2$  is strongly convex. Indeed,

$$d(y, x_t)^2 \le (1-t)d(y, x_0)^2 + td(y, x_1)^2 - t(1-t)d(x_0, x_1)^2,$$

for each geodesic  $x \colon [0,1] \to \mathcal{H}$ .

#### 1 Basic facts on Hadamard spaces

#### **2** Proximal point algorithm

#### 3 Applications to computational phylogenetics

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ ●目■ のへで

Let  $f\colon \mathcal{H}\to (-\infty,\infty]$  be convex lsc.

Optimization problem: 
$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)$$
.

#### **Recall:** no (sub)differential, no shooting (singularities).

Implicit methods are appropriate. The PPA generates a sequence

$$x_i := J_{\lambda_i} \left( x_{i-1} \right) := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d(y, x_{i-1})^2 \right].$$

where  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  is a given starting point and  $\lambda_i > 0$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

Let  $f \colon \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be convex lsc.

Optimization problem: 
$$\min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)$$
.

**Recall:** no (sub)differential, no shooting (singularities).

Implicit methods are appropriate. The PPA generates a sequence

$$\boldsymbol{x_i} := J_{\lambda_i} \left( \boldsymbol{x_{i-1}} \right) := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{\boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ f(\boldsymbol{y}) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{x_{i-1}})^2 \right].$$

where  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  is a given starting point and  $\lambda_i > 0$ , for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

#### Theorem (M.B., 2011)

Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a convex lsc function attaining its minimum. Given  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(\lambda_i)$  such that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = \infty$ , the PPA sequence  $(x_i)$  converges to a minimizer of f.

(Resolvents are firmly nonexpansive - cheap version for  $\lambda_i = \lambda$ .)

Disadvantage: The resolvents

$$x_i := J_{\lambda_i} \left( x_{i-1} \right) := \underset{y \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d(y, x_{i-1})^2 \right],$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ ▲□▶ ◆○

are often difficult to compute.

#### Theorem (M.B., 2011)

Let  $f: \mathcal{H} \to (-\infty, \infty]$  be a convex lsc function attaining its minimum. Given  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  and  $(\lambda_i)$  such that  $\sum_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_i = \infty$ , the PPA sequence  $(x_i)$  converges to a minimizer of f.

(Resolvents are firmly nonexpansive - cheap version for  $\lambda_i = \lambda$ .)

Disadvantage: The resolvents

$$\mathbf{x}_{i} := J_{\lambda_{i}}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i-1}\right) := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_{i}} d(y, x_{i-1})^{2} \right],$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ ▲□▶ ◆○

are often difficult to compute.

Let  $f_1,\ldots,f_N$  be convex lsc and consider

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Example (Median and mean)

$$f_n := d(\cdot, a_n), \qquad f_n := d(\cdot, a_n)^2.$$

・ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < ロト</li>

**Key idea:** apply resolvents  $J_{\lambda}^{n}$ 's of  $f_{n}$ 's in a cyclic or random order.

Let  $f_1,\ldots,f_N$  be convex lsc and consider

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Example (Median and mean)

$$f_n := d(\cdot, a_n), \qquad f_n := d(\cdot, a_n)^2.$$

・ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < ロト</li>

**Key idea:** apply resolvents  $J_{\lambda}^{n}$ 's of  $f_{n}$ 's in a cyclic or random order.

Let  $f_1,\ldots,f_N$  be convex lsc and consider

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} f_n(x), \qquad x \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Example (Median and mean)

$$f_n := d(\cdot, a_n), \qquad f_n := d(\cdot, a_n)^2.$$

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト

Key idea: apply resolvents  $J_{\lambda}^{n}$ 's of  $f_{n}$ 's in a cyclic or random order.

## Splitting proximal point algorithm

Let  $x_0 \in \mathcal{H}$  be a starting point. For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  we apply resolvents in **cyclic order**:

 $\begin{aligned} x_{kN+1} &\coloneqq J_{\lambda_k}^1 \left( x_{kN} \right), \\ x_{kN+2} &\coloneqq J_{\lambda_k}^2 \left( x_{kN+1} \right), \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$ 

$$x_{kN+N} := J_{\lambda_k}^N \left( x_{kN+N-1} \right),$$

or in random order:

$$x_{i+1} := J_{\lambda_i}^{r_i} \left( x_i \right),$$

where  $(r_i)$  are random variables with values in  $\{1, \ldots, N\}$ .

# Convergence of splitting proximal point algorithm

### Theorem (Cyclic order version + Random order version)

Assume that  $f_n$  are Lipschitz (or locally Lipschitz and the minimizing sequence is bounded). Then

- $\mathbf{0}$  the cyclic PPA sequence converges to a minimizer of f
- It the random PPA sequence converges to a minimizer of f almost surely.

Assumptions are satisfied for

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n d(x, a_n)^p, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

# Convergence of splitting proximal point algorithm

### Theorem (Cyclic order version + Random order version)

Assume that  $f_n$  are Lipschitz (or locally Lipschitz and the minimizing sequence is bounded). Then

- $\mathbf{0}$  the cyclic PPA sequence converges to a minimizer of f
- It the random PPA sequence converges to a minimizer of f almost surely.

Assumptions are satisfied for

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=1}^{N} w_n d(x, a_n)^p, \qquad x \in \mathcal{H},$$

where  $p \in [1, \infty)$ .

## Splitting proximal point algorithm (for mean)

Hence instead of computing (the usual PPA)

$$x_{i+1} \coloneqq \underset{z \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} d\left(z, a_n\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d\left(z, x_i\right)^2 \right],$$

we are to minimize the function

$$x_{i+1} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ d\left(z, a_n\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d\left(z, x_i\right)^2 \right],$$

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

where  $a_n$  are chosen in a cyclic or random order.

This is **one-dimensional** problem!

## Splitting proximal point algorithm (for mean)

Hence instead of computing (the usual PPA)

$$x_{i+1} := \underset{z \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \left[ \sum_{n=1}^{N} d\left(z, a_n\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d\left(z, x_i\right)^2 \right],$$

we are to minimize the function

$$x_{i+1} := \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{z \in \mathcal{H}} \left[ d\left(z, a_n\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2\lambda_i} d\left(z, x_i\right)^2 \right],$$

・ロト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト・4日ト

where  $a_n$  are chosen in a cyclic or random order.

#### This is **one-dimensional** problem!

$$\implies$$
  $x_{i+1}$  is a convex combination of  $a_n$  and  $x_i$ .

#### 1 Basic facts on Hadamard spaces

Proximal point algorithm

### 3 Applications to computational phylogenetics

◇□▶ <@▶ < E▶ < E▶ < E|= のQQ</p>



think Letwie A J B. chan ulation: C+B. The finit predation, B + D within presta distantion Then genere tinto has formed. - being willing

▲ロト ▲帰下 ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 通言 めんぐ

#### Left: One of many evolutionary trees

Right: A picture of an evolutionary tree by Charles Darwin (1837)

### Billera-Holmes-Vogtmann tree space $\mathcal{T}_d$



Figure: 5 out of 15 orthants of  $\mathcal{T}_4$ 

<□> < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

## Billera-Holmes-Vogtmann tree space $\mathcal{T}_d$



Figure: A finite set of trees in  $\mathcal{T}_4$ 

・ロト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < 団ト < ロト</li>

### Billera-Holmes-Vogtmann tree space $\mathcal{T}_d$



Figure: Consider the most frequent tree topology only

### Algorithm (SPPA with $f_n := d(\cdot, T_n)^2$ )

```
Input: T_1, \ldots, T_N \in \mathcal{T}_d

Step 1: S_1 := T_1 and i := 1

Step 2: choose r \in \{1, \ldots, N\} at random

Step 3: S_{i+1} := \frac{1}{i+1}T_r + \frac{i}{i+1}S_i

Step 4: i := i + 1

Step 5: go to Step 2
```

Geodesics can be computed in polynomial time:

The Owen-Provan algorithm (2011)

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

### Algorithm (SPPA with $f_n := d(\cdot, T_n)^2$ )

```
Input: T_1, \ldots, T_N \in \mathcal{T}_d

Step 1: S_1 := T_1 and i := 1

Step 2: choose r \in \{1, \ldots, N\} at random

Step 3: S_{i+1} := \frac{1}{i+1}T_r + \frac{i}{i+1}S_i

Step 4: i := i + 1

Step 5: go to Step 2
```

Geodesics can be computed in polynomial time:

The Owen-Provan algorithm (2011)

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

### Algorithm (SPPA with $f_n := d(\cdot, T_n)^2$ )

```
Input: T_1, ..., T_N \in T_d

Step 1: S_1 := T_1 and i := 1

Step 2: choose r \in \{1, ..., N\} at random

Step 3: S_{i+1} := \frac{1}{i+1}T_r + \frac{i}{i+1}S_i

Step 4: i := i + 1

Step 5: go to Step 2
```

Geodesics can be computed in polynomial time:

The Owen-Provan algorithm (2011)

▲ロト ▲帰下 ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 通言 めんぐ



<□> <@> < E> < E> EI= のQ@

















< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < < 回 > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >





**Space**  $T_d$ : orthant dimension = d - 2, # of orthants = (2d - 3)!!The actual dimension of  $T_d$  is d + 1 + (d - 2)(2d - 3)!!

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

# of trees = N (e.g. coming from an MCMC simulation)

**Our computation:** d = 12 hence  $\dim \approx 10^{11}$  and  $N = 10^5$ 



**Space**  $T_d$ : orthant dimension = d - 2, # of orthants = (2d - 3)!!The actual dimension of  $T_d$  is d + 1 + (d - 2)(2d - 3)!!

▲ロト ▲理 ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト ヨヨ ろくぐ

# of trees = N (e.g. coming from an MCMC simulation)

**Our computation:** d = 12 hence dim  $\approx 10^{11}$  and  $N = 10^5$ 

## Resuls (courtesy of Philipp Benner)



<sup>p</sup>ika Rabbi<u>t</u> Kangaroo rat Rat Mouse Squirrel Guinea pig Drangutan Baboon Marmoset Gorilla Human Chimpanzee Pika Rabbit Squirrel Kangaroo rat Guineă pig Rạț Mouse rangutan Gorilla Human Chimpanzee Baboon Marmoset

・ロト・4日・4日・4日・4日・900

## Resuls (courtesy of Philipp Benner) ... continued.



Figure: Approximation of the mean of the 100,000 trees.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆□▶

- M.B.: Computing medians and means in Hadamard spaces, SIAM J. Optim. 24 (2014), no. 3, 1542–1566.
- Benner, Bacak, Bourguignon: Point estimates in phylogenetic reconstructions,
   Bioinformatics, Vol 30 (2014), Issue 17.
- M.B.: Convex analysis and optimization in Hadamard spaces, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2014.



▲ロト ▲帰下 ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 通言 めんぐ