

## A SHORT PROOF FOR HOPF BIFURCATION IN GURTIN-MACCAMY'S POPULATION DYNAMICS MODEL

ARNAUD DUCROT, HAO KANG, AND PIERRE MAGAL

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we provide a short proof for the Hopf bifurcation theorem in the Gurtin-MacCamy's population dynamics model. Here we use the Crandall and Rabinowitz's approach, based on the implicit function theorem. Compared with previous methods, here we require the age-specific birth rate to be slightly smoother (roughly of bounded variation), but we have a huge gain for the length of the proof.

### 1. AGE-STRUCTURED MODELS

We consider the existence of periodic solutions for the following equation,

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} (\partial_t + \partial_a)u(t, a) = -m u(t, a), & a \in (0, +\infty), \\ u(t, 0) = f(\nu, \int_0^\infty b(a)u(t, a)da), \\ u(0, \cdot) = \psi \in L^1_+( (0, \infty), \mathbb{R}), \end{cases}$$

where  $m > 0$  and  $b \in L^1_+(0, \infty)$  are mortality rate and fertility rate of the population respectively, the nonlinear function  $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{R})$  describes the birth limitations for the population while  $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  is regarded as a bifurcation parameter. Here  $u(t, a)$  denotes the density of a population at time  $t$  with age  $a$ . This equation is referred as the *Gurtin-MacCamy's age-structured equation* and was introduced in its nonlinear form by Gurtin and MacCamy in [8] to study temporal evolution of biological populations.

The existence of nontrivial periodic solution induced by Hopf bifurcation has been observed in various specific age-structured models (Cushing [3, 4], Prüss [16], Swart [17], Kostava and Li [11], Bertoni [1], Magal and Ruan [14]). In this paper we shall use the implicit function theorem to establish the Hopf bifurcation theorem that is used to obtain the existence of nontrivial periodic solutions of the age-structured model (1.1), that is, a nontrivial periodic solution bifurcated from the equilibrium of (1.1) when the bifurcation parameter  $\nu$  takes some critical values.

For two dimensional ordinary differential equations Crandall and Rabinowitz [2] requires less regularity ( $C^2$ -right hand side) than the standard result by Hale and Kocack [9] (which requires  $C^3$ -right hand side) and Hassard, Kazarinoff and Wan [10] (which requires  $C^4$ -right hand side). Here we assume that the function  $f$  is only  $C^2$  which corresponds to the regularity imposed by Crandall and Rabinowitz [2] for their result applied to ordinary differential equations. Such a regularity assumption has been mentioned already in Liu, Magal and Ruan [12, see Remark 2.5]. In the context of partial differential equations Crandall and Rabinowitz [2] original theorem only applies to parabolic PDE since their proof strongly uses the fact that the semigroup is generated by a sectorial operator  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  on a Banach space  $X$  and verifies that the map

---

*Key words and phrases.* Age structure; Population dynamics; Hopf bifurcation.

$t \rightarrow e^{At}x$  (with  $x \in X$ ) is of class  $C^1$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . Here we are working with hyperbolic operator, therefore such a property is not satisfied. Nevertheless by exploiting the special structure of the system, and imposing some extra regularity for the birth function  $a \rightarrow b(a)$  (i.e.  $b$  has bounded variation on  $[0, \infty)$ ) we are still able to apply Crandall and Rabinowitz's ideas.

Note that the previous result by Magal and Ruan [14] and Liu, Magal and Ruan [12] only assume that the birth function  $b$  belongs to  $L^\infty(0, \infty)$ . Here the fact that  $a \rightarrow b(a)$  has bounded variation on each  $[0, \infty)$ , means that  $a \rightarrow b(a)$  has a finite number of discontinuity point on each bounded interval on  $[0, \infty)$  and that  $b(a)$  is continuous in between two successive discontinuity points. Such an assumption is sufficient for most practical examples. Finally this paper is closely related to the work of Cushing [3] in which he considered an equation with age and delay at birth. In [3], the function  $a \rightarrow b(a)$  is assumed to be of class  $C^1$  which is stronger than our bounded variation assumption.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the well-posedness result of (1.1). In Section 3, we provide the assumptions for our Hopf bifurcation theorem, while Section 4 is devoted to state and prove the Hopf bifurcation theorem.

## 2. WELL-POSEDNESS

Set

$$X = \mathbb{R} \times L^1((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}) \text{ and } X_0 = \{0_{\mathbb{R}}\} \times L^1((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}).$$

Assume that  $X$  is endowed with the product norm

$$\|x\| = |\alpha| + \|\psi\|_{L^1((0, \infty), \mathbb{R})}, \quad \forall x = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \in X.$$

Consider the linear operator  $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$  given by

$$A \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\psi(0) \\ -\psi' - m\psi \end{pmatrix}$$

with

$$D(A) = \{0_{\mathbb{R}}\} \times W^{1,1}((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}).$$

Recall that  $X_0$  is the closure of  $D(A)$  in  $X$ . In addition, note that  $A_0$ , the part of  $A$  in  $X_0$ , generates a  $C_0$ -semigroup of bounded linear operators, denoted by  $\{T_{A_0}(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and explicitly given by

$$T_{A_0}(t) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \widehat{T}_{A_0}(t)\psi \end{pmatrix},$$

wherein we have set, for all  $t \geq 0$  and  $\psi \in L^1((0, \infty), \mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\widehat{T}_{A_0}(t)(\psi)(a) = \begin{cases} e^{-mt}\psi(a-t), & \text{if } a \geq t, \\ 0, & \text{if } a \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Moreover  $A$  generates an integrated semigroup of  $X$ , denoted by  $\{S_A(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  and defined, for  $t \geq 0$  by

$$S_A(t) \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ L(t)\alpha + \int_0^t \widehat{T}_{A_0}(s)\psi ds \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} \in X,$$

where

$$L(t)(\alpha)(a) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a \geq t, \\ e^{-ma}\alpha, & \text{if } a \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Define the map  $H : \mathbb{R} \times X_0 \rightarrow X$  by

$$H\left(\nu, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \psi \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty b(a)\psi(a)da\right) \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then by identifying  $u(t)$  with  $v(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ u(t) \end{pmatrix}$ , problem (1.1) can be rewritten as the following abstract Cauchy problem

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{dv(t)}{dt} = Av(t) + H(\nu, v(t)), \quad t \geq 0, \quad v(0) = y \in X_0.$$

Since  $f$  is Lipschitz continuous on bounded sets, the general results proved in Magal and Ruan [13, see section 5] or [15, Chapter 5] ensure that the Cauchy problem (2.1) generated a maximal semiflow (with eventually some blowup), denoted below by  $\{U_\nu(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

### 3. ASSUMPTIONS

**Regularity of the birth function:** Let us recall some definition and properties of the so called bounded variation functions. Let  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be some function. For each  $a > 0$  define

$$T_F(a) := \sup \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n |F(a_j) - F(a_{j-1})| : n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 = a_0 < \dots < a_n = a \right\} \in [0, \infty],$$

where the supremum is taken over all finite strictly increasing sequences in  $[0, a]$ .

**Definition 3.1.** A function  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is said to be of bounded variation on  $[0, \infty)$  if

$$\sup_{a > 0} T_F(a) < \infty.$$

In that case the function  $a \rightarrow T_F(a)$  is bounded and increasing on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Let  $F : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a right continuous function of bounded variation on  $[0, \infty)$ , then  $a \rightarrow T_F(a)$  is also right continuous and according to Folland [7, Theorem 3.29], there exists a unique Borel measure  $\mu_F$  such that

$$\mu_F((a, b]) = F(b) - F(a), \quad \text{for all } a, b \in [0, \infty), \quad \text{with } a < b.$$

Furthermore, its total variation  $|\mu_F|$  is the positive and bounded Borel measure associated to the right continuous and increasing function  $a \rightarrow T_F(a)$ .

Next let us recall the integration by parts formula proved by Folland [7, Theorem 3.36] as well. If  $G : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is of class  $C^1$  then for all  $0 \leq a < b$ , one has

$$(3.1) \quad \int_a^b G(s) \mu_F(ds) = [G(b)F(b-) - G(a)F(a+)] - \int_a^b G'(s)F(s)ds.$$

We now make a set of assumptions on the fertility rate  $b$ .

**Assumption 3.2.** Assume that the function  $\chi(a) := b(a)e^{-ma}$  satisfies the two following properties

(i) Assume that  $\chi \in L^1(0, \infty)$  with

$$\int_0^\infty \chi(a)da = 1 \Leftrightarrow \int_0^\infty b(a)e^{-ma}da = 1.$$

(ii) We assume that the function  $\tau : a \rightarrow a\chi(a)$  is right continuous and of bounded variation on  $[0, \infty)$ . We let  $\mu_\tau$  be the unique Borel measure associated to  $\tau$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Note that when  $b \in L^\infty(0, \infty)$ , for each integer  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one has

$$a \rightarrow a^n \chi(a) = a^n b(a) e^{-ma} \in L^1((0, \infty), \mathbb{R}).$$

**Equilibria:** Recall that  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \in D(A)$  is an equilibrium of the semiflow  $\{U_\nu(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$  if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \in D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad A \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} + H \left( \nu, \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u} \end{pmatrix} \right) = 0.$$

As a consequence a positive equilibrium is given by

$$\bar{u}(a) = \bar{w}_\nu e^{-ma}, \quad a \geq 0$$

where  $\bar{w}_\nu > 0$  becomes a solution of the following equation

$$\bar{w}_\nu = f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu).$$

Our next assumption is concerned with the existence of such equilibrium point and its regularity with respect to the parameter  $\nu$ .

**Assumption 3.4.** We assume that there exists an open interval  $I$  such that for each  $\nu \in I$  there exists a constant solution  $\bar{w}_\nu \in \mathbb{R}$  of the equation

$$\bar{w}_\nu = f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu).$$

We assume further that the map  $\nu \rightarrow \bar{w}_\nu$  is continuously differentiable on the interval  $I$ . In the sequel we set

$$\bar{v}_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \bar{u}_\nu \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{u}_\nu(a) = \bar{w}_\nu e^{-ma}, \quad \forall \nu \in I.$$

In the following we will use the notation  $\mathcal{L}(Y, Z)$  to denote the space of the linear bounded operators from  $Y$  to  $Z$  where  $Y$  and  $Z$  are two Banach spaces. Define for  $\nu \in I$  the linear operator  $B_\nu : D(B_\nu) \subset X \rightarrow X$  as follows,

$$D(B_\nu) = D(A) \quad \text{and} \quad B_\nu x = Ax + \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu)x, \quad \forall x \in D(B_\nu),$$

wherein  $\partial_v$  corresponds to the partial derivative of  $H(\nu, v)$  with respect to  $v$ . The bounded linear operator  $\partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) \in \mathcal{L}(X_0, X)$  is defined by

$$\partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty b(l) \varphi(l) dl \\ 0_{L^1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \forall \begin{pmatrix} 0_{\mathbb{R}} \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \in X_0.$$

Herein  $\partial_w$  denotes the partial derivative of  $f = f(\nu, w)$  with respect to  $w$ .

By using the result of Ducrot, Liu and Magal [6], the essential growth rate of the semigroup generated by  $(B_\nu)_0$ , the part of  $B_\nu$  in the closure of its domain, satisfies

$$\omega_{0,ess}((B_\nu)_0) \leq -m < 0.$$

The following result follows from [15, Theorem 4.3.27, Lemma 4.4.2, Theorem 4.4.3-(ii)] to which we refer the reader for a proof and more details.

**Lemma 3.5.** The spectrum of  $B_\nu$  in the half plane

$$\Omega := \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} \lambda > -m\}$$

contains only isolated eigenvalues which are poles of the resolvent of  $B_\nu$ .

Recall that the characteristic function, describing the spectrum of  $B_\nu$  in  $\Omega$ , is obtained by computing the resolvent of  $B_\nu$  as presented in Liu, Magal and Ruan [12]. We define the **characteristic function** for  $\nu \in I$  and  $\lambda \in \Omega$  as follows

$$\Delta(\nu, \lambda) := 1 - \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty b(l) e^{-(m+\lambda)l} dl.$$

Recall that the resolvent set  $\rho(A)$  of  $A$  contains  $\Omega$  and for each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  the resolvent of  $A$  is defined by the following formula

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) &= e^{-(\lambda+m)a} \alpha + \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda+m)(a-s)} \psi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall some result already presented (in a more general framework in the Section 5.2 in [12])

**Lemma 3.6.** *For each  $\nu \in I$  the resolvent set  $\rho(B_\nu)$  of  $B_\nu$  satisfies*

$$\lambda \in \rho(B_\nu) \cap \Omega \Leftrightarrow \Delta(\nu, \lambda) \neq 0,$$

*or equivalently the spectrum  $\sigma(B_\nu) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(B_\nu)$  of  $B_\nu$  satisfies*

$$\lambda \in \sigma(B_\nu) \cap \Omega \Leftrightarrow \Delta(\nu, \lambda) = 0.$$

Moreover one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda I - B_\nu)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \psi \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow \varphi(a) &= e^{-(\lambda+m)a} \alpha_1 + \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda+m)(a-s)} \psi(s) ds, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\alpha_1 := \Delta(\nu, \lambda)^{-1} \left[ \alpha - \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty b(a) \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda+m)(a-s)} \psi(s) ds da \right].$$

*Proof.* For each  $\lambda \in \Omega$  the linear operator  $\lambda I - B_\nu$  is invertible if and only if the linear operator is invertible

$$I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1}.$$

In that case we have

$$(\lambda I - B_\nu)^{-1} = (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \left[ I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right]^{-1}.$$

**Computation of the inverse of  $I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  :** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} &= \left[ I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right] \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \alpha - \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty b(a) [e^{-(\lambda+m)a} \alpha + \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda+m)(a-s)} \varphi(s) ds] da \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$  is invertible (for  $\lambda \in \Omega$ ) if and only if  $\Delta(\nu, \lambda) \neq 0$  and one has

$$\begin{aligned} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} &= \left[ I - \partial_v H(\nu, \bar{v}_\nu) (\lambda I - A)^{-1} \right]^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\alpha} \\ \hat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix} \\ \Leftrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} \alpha \\ \varphi \end{pmatrix} &= \begin{pmatrix} \Delta(\nu, \lambda)^{-1} [\hat{\alpha} - \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty b(a) \int_0^a e^{-(\lambda+m)(a-s)} \hat{\varphi}(s) ds da] \\ \hat{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Assumption 3.7.** *There exists  $\nu_0 \in I$  and  $\omega_0 > 0$  such that the following properties are satisfied*

(i)

$$\Delta(\nu_0, \omega_0 i) = 0.$$

(ii) *Crandall and Rabinowitz's condition*

$$\Delta(\nu_0, k \omega_0 i) \neq 0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } k \neq 1.$$

(iii) *Simplicity of the eigenvalue  $\omega_0 i$*

$$\partial_\lambda \Delta(\nu_0, \omega_0 i) \neq 0,$$

which is also equivalent to

$$\partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty b(l) l e^{-(m+\omega_0 i)l} dl \neq 0.$$

(iv) *Transversality condition*

$$\operatorname{Re} \left( \partial_\nu \Delta(\nu_0, \omega_0 i) \times \overline{\partial_\lambda \Delta(\nu_0, \omega_0 i)} \right) \neq 0.$$

We can observe that by combining (i) and (iii) and by using the implicit function theorem there exists a branch  $\lambda : (\nu_0 - \eta, \nu_0 + \eta) \subset I \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  with some  $\eta > 0$  small enough such that for each  $\nu \in (\nu_0 - \eta, \nu_0 + \eta)$ ,  $\lambda(\nu) = \alpha(\nu) + i\omega(\nu)$  and  $\overline{\lambda(\nu)} = \alpha(\nu) - i\omega(\nu)$  satisfying solution of

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta(\nu, \lambda(\nu)) = 0$$

and

$$\lambda(\nu_0) = i\omega_0.$$

Moreover by combining (iii)-(iv) we deduce that the transversality condition is satisfied. Namely, one has

$$\operatorname{Re} \frac{d\lambda(\nu_0)}{d\nu} \neq 0.$$

Moreover by using the property (iii) in Lemma 5.8 in [12] we also deduce that  $i\omega_0$  is a simple eigenvalue of  $B_{\nu_0}$  since

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \lambda_0} \frac{\Delta(\nu_0, \lambda)}{\lambda - \lambda_0} \neq 0 \Leftrightarrow \partial_\lambda \Delta(\nu_0, \lambda_0) \neq 0. \quad (\text{with } \lambda_0 = \lambda(\nu_0)).$$

The condition (ii) avoids to assume that the purely imaginary spectrum is reduced to a single pair of purely imaginary eigenvalues. Such a condition has been introduced in the Crandall and Rabinowitz's proof [2].

#### 4. MAIN RESULT

In this section we state the main theorem of this paper. The following result is inspired by Crandall and Rabinowitz [2].

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let Assumptions 3.2, 3.4 and 3.7 be satisfied. Then there exist a constant  $\delta > 0$  and two  $C^1$  maps,  $s \rightarrow \nu(s)$  from  $(-\delta, \delta)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  and  $s \rightarrow \omega(s)$  from  $(-\delta, \delta)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that for each  $s \in (-\delta, \delta)$  there exists a  $2\pi/\omega(s)$ -periodic solution  $u(s)$  of class of  $C^1$  which is a solution of (1.1) with the parameter  $\nu = \nu(s)$ . Moreover, the branch of periodic orbit is bifurcating from  $\nu_0$  at  $\nu = \nu_0$ , that is to say that*

$$\nu(0) = \nu_0, \quad \omega(0) = \omega_0.$$

*Proof.* (Proof of Theorem 4.1) Up to time rescaling we can assume, without loss of generality, that  $\omega_0 = 1$ . Observe that Assumption 3.7-(i) implies that (1.1) linearized about  $u = \bar{u}_{\nu_0}$  for  $\nu = \nu_0$  has nontrivial  $2\pi$ -periodic solutions. We now seek nontrivial  $2\pi/\omega$ -periodic solutions of (1.1) with  $\omega$  close to 1 and  $(u, \nu)$  close to  $(\bar{u}_{\nu_0}, \nu_0)$ .

Solving (1.1) along the characteristic line  $t - a = \text{constant}$ , one obtains

$$u(t, a) = u(t - a, 0)e^{-ma}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, a > 0.$$

Thus  $v = v(t)$  given by

$$v(t) = u(t, 0),$$

satisfies the renewal equation

$$v(t) = f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty b(a)v(t-a)e^{-ma}da\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Setting

$$w(t) = v\left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right),$$

yields the following equation for the  $2\pi$ -periodic function  $w = w(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} w(t) &= v\left(\frac{t}{\omega}\right) = f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty b(a)v(t/\omega - a)e^{-ma}da\right) \\ &= f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty b(a)w(t - \omega a)e^{-ma}da\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition of  $\chi$  in Assumption 3.2 and using the change of the variable  $l = \omega a$  in the integral lead to the following equation for  $w = w(t)$

$$(4.1) \quad w(t) = f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\omega}\chi\left(\frac{l}{\omega}\right)w(t-l)dl\right), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now the existence of nontrivial  $2\pi/\omega$ -periodic solution of (1.1) becomes equivalent to the one of nontrivial  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of (4.1). Next we shall apply the implicit function theorem to investigate the existence of nontrivial  $2\pi$ -periodic solution of (4.1) for  $\nu$  close to  $\nu_0$ .

Let  $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  be the Banach space of the continuous  $2\pi$ -periodic functions. Define the map  $F : \mathbb{R}^2 \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$F(\omega, \nu, x)(t) = x(t) - f\left(\nu, \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\omega}\chi\left(\frac{l}{\omega}\right)x(t-l)dl\right), \quad \forall (\omega, \nu, x) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}).$$

We now aim at investigating the zeros of the equation

$$F(\omega, \nu, x) = 0,$$

for  $(\omega, \nu, x)$  close to  $(1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0})$  using the implicit function theorem. To do so, we need first to verify the smoothness of

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{\omega}\chi\left(\frac{l}{\omega}\right)x(t-l)dl = \int_0^\infty \chi(l)x(t-\omega l)dl,$$

with respect to  $\omega$ .

The first main step of this proof is the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.2.** *The map  $G : \mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  defined by*

$$G(\omega, x)(t) = \int_0^\infty \chi(l)x(t-\omega l)dl, \quad t \in \mathbb{R},$$

is continuously differentiable with respect to  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  and its partial derivative with respect to  $\omega$ , denoted by  $\partial_\omega G$ , is given

$$\partial_\omega G(\omega, x)(\cdot) = \int_0^\infty x(\cdot - \omega l) \mu_\tau(dl), \quad \forall (\omega, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Herein  $\tau$  and  $\mu_\tau$  are defined in Assumption 3.2-(ii).

*Proof.* First observe that using Fubini theorem, for any  $x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  and  $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$  we have, for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\omega \int_0^\infty -x'(t - \sigma l) l \chi(l) dl d\sigma &= \int_0^\omega \int_0^\omega -lx'(t - \sigma l) d\sigma \chi(l) dl \\ &= \int_0^\omega [x(t - \sigma l)]_{\sigma=0}^{\sigma=\omega} \chi(l) dl \\ &= \int_0^\omega \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl - x(t) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) dl, \end{aligned}$$

so that, since  $\int_0^\infty \chi(l) dl = 1$  (see Assumption 3.2-(i)), we get

$$(4.2) \quad \int_0^\omega \int_0^\infty -x'(t - \sigma l) l \chi(l) dl d\sigma = \int_0^\omega \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl - x(t),$$

that is for all  $(\omega, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times (C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}))$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$G(\omega, x)(t) = x(t) - \int_0^\omega \int_0^\infty x'(t - \sigma l) \tau(l) dl d\sigma.$$

We deduce that for all  $x \in C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  the map  $\omega \rightarrow G(\omega, x) = \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(\cdot - \omega l) dl$  is of class  $C^1$  and

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{d}{d\omega} \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl = - \int_0^\infty x'(t - \omega l) l \chi(l) dl.$$

Moreover by using again the formula (4.2) we get

$$\int_\omega^{\omega+\varepsilon} \int_0^\infty -x'(t - \sigma l) l \chi(l) dl d\sigma = \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - (\omega + \varepsilon)l) dl - \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl.$$

hence

$$(4.4) \quad \int_\omega^{\omega+\varepsilon} \frac{d}{d\sigma} \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \sigma l) dl d\sigma = \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - (\omega + \varepsilon)l) dl - \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl.$$

By using the integration by parts formula (3.1) and (4.3), we obtain for all  $(\omega, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times (C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}))$

$$\partial_\omega G(\omega, x)(t) = \frac{d}{d\omega} \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl = - \int_0^\infty x'(t - \omega l) l \chi(l) dl = \int_0^\infty x(t - \omega l) \mu_\tau(dl).$$

Then using Assumption 3.2-(ii) we infer from the above equality that

$$\|\partial_\omega G(\omega, x)\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|x\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} |\mu_\tau|((0, \infty)) < \infty, \quad \forall (\omega, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}),$$

wherein  $|\mu_\tau|((0, \infty))$  is nothing but the variation of  $\tau(a)$  on  $(0, \infty)$ . That is supremum over all the subdivision  $0 = t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n = M$  of

$$|\mu_\tau|((0, M]) = \sup_{0=t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_n=M} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} |\tau(t_{i+1}) - \tau(t_i)|,$$

and

$$|\mu_\tau|((0, \infty)) = \lim_{M \rightarrow +\infty} |\mu_\tau|((0, M]).$$

We now define  $L_\omega \in \mathcal{L}(C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}))$  by

$$L_\omega(x)(t) := \int_0^\infty x(t - \omega l) \mu_\tau(dl).$$

Now the result follows by using the (4.4) and the density of  $C^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ , since it implies that

$$\int_\omega^{\omega+\varepsilon} L_\sigma(x)(t) d\sigma = \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - (\omega + \varepsilon)l) dl - \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl.$$

It remains to prove that the map  $(\omega, x) \rightarrow \partial_\omega G(\omega, x) = \int_0^\infty x(\cdot - \omega l) \mu_\tau(dl)$  is continuous from  $\mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  into  $C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ . To see this fix  $(\omega_1, x_1)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  and observe that for all  $(\omega, x) \in \mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  one has:

$$\partial_\omega G(\omega, x) - \partial_\omega G(\omega_1, x_1) = \int_0^\infty [x(\cdot - \omega l) - x_1(\cdot - \omega_1 l)] \mu_\tau(dl) = J_1 + J_2,$$

wherein we have set

$$J_1 := \int_0^\infty [x(\cdot - \omega l) - x_1(\cdot - \omega l)] \mu_\tau(dl), \quad J_2 := \int_0^\infty [x_1(\cdot - \omega l) - x_1(\cdot - \omega_1 l)] \mu_\tau(dl).$$

We first observe that

$$\|J_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|x - x_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} |\mu_\tau|((0, \infty)) \rightarrow 0 \text{ uniform for } \omega \in \mathbb{R} \text{ as } \|x - x_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} \rightarrow 0.$$

On the other hand fix  $\varepsilon > 0$  and since one has

$$|\mu_\tau|((M, \infty)) := |\mu_\tau|((0, \infty)) - |\mu_\tau|((0, M]) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } M \rightarrow \infty,$$

choose  $M > 0$  large enough so that  $2\|x_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} |\mu_\tau|((M, \infty)) \leq \varepsilon$ . With such a choice we split  $J_2$  as follows  $J_2 = I_1 + I_2$  with

$$I_1 := \int_0^M [x_1(\cdot - \omega l) - x_1(\cdot - \omega_1 l)] \mu_\tau(dl), \quad I_2 := \int_M^\infty [x_1(\cdot - \omega l) - x_1(\cdot - \omega_1 l)] \mu_\tau(dl).$$

Hence we get

$$\|I_2\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2\|x_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} |\mu_\tau|((M, \infty)) \leq \varepsilon,$$

and, since  $x_1$  is continuous and  $2\pi$ -periodic, it is uniformly continuous on  $\mathbb{R}$ . Thus for all  $\omega$  is sufficiently close to  $\omega_1$ , we have

$$\|I_1\|_{C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sup_{0 \leq l \leq M} |x_1(t - \omega l) - x_1(t - \omega_1 l)| |\mu_\tau|((0, M]) \leq \varepsilon.$$

As a consequence  $\partial_\omega G$  is continuous and the proof is completed.  $\square$

**Computation of the derivatives of  $F$ :** One can calculate the following derivatives directly,

$$(4.5) \quad \partial_x F(\omega, \nu, \bar{w}_\nu)(x)(t) = x(t) - \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl,$$

$$(4.6) \quad \partial_\nu \partial_x F(\omega, \nu, \bar{w}_\nu)(x)(t) = - [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_\nu] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) x(t - \omega l) dl,$$

and by Lemma 4.2

$$(4.7) \quad \partial_w \partial_x F(\omega, \nu, \bar{w}_\nu)(x)(t) = -\partial_w f(\nu, \bar{w}_\nu) \int_0^\infty x(t - \omega l) \mu_\tau(dl).$$

**State space decomposition:** Note that by Assumption 3.7-(i) we have

$$1 = \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) e^{\pm i l} dl,$$

which re-writes as

$$(4.8) \quad 1 = \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \cos l dl \text{ and } 0 = \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \sin l dl,$$

and thus the kernel  $N(\partial_x F(1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}))$  contains the space  $X_1$  given by

$$X_1 := \text{span}\{\cos, \sin\} \subset C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}).$$

Now define the closed space

$$X_2 := \left\{ z \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) : \int_0^{2\pi} z(t) \cos(t) dt = \int_0^{2\pi} z(t) \sin(t) dt = 0 \right\}.$$

This space turns out to be a complement of  $X_1$  as stated in the next lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *We have the following state space decomposition*

$$C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) = X_1 \oplus X_2.$$

*Proof.* This property is directly inherited from the decomposition of  $L^2((0, 2\pi), \mathbb{R})$  as

$$L^2((0, 2\pi), \mathbb{R}) = X_1 \oplus X_1^\perp,$$

with

$$X_1^\perp = \left\{ z \in L^2((0, 2\pi), \mathbb{R}) : \int_0^{2\pi} z(t) e^{it} dt = 0 \right\},$$

Now if  $z \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  then  $z \in L^2((0, 2\pi), \mathbb{R})$  and the above  $L^2((0, 2\pi), \mathbb{R})$ -decomposition ensures that there exist unique  $z_1 \in X_1$  and  $z_2 \in X_1^\perp$  such that

$$z = z_1 + z_2.$$

Now since  $z_1 = c_1 \cos + c_2 \sin$  (for some constants  $c_1$  and  $c_2$ ) this ensures that  $z_2 = z - z_1$  is also continuous and  $z_2 \in X_2$ . The state space decomposition follows.  $\square$

Now let us define the map  $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \times X_2 \rightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$h(s, \omega, \nu, z) = \begin{cases} s^{-1} F(\omega, \nu, \bar{w}_\nu + s(u_1 + z)), & \text{if } s \neq 0, \\ \partial_x F(\omega, \nu, \bar{w}_\nu)(u_1 + z), & \text{if } s = 0, \end{cases}$$

where

$$u_1(t) = \cos(t), \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Now let us observe that since  $f = f(\nu, x)$  is of class  $C^2$ ,  $h$  is of class  $C^1$ . One also has  $h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0) = 0$  while the derivative with respect to  $(\omega, \nu, z)$  is given, for all  $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{z}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$ , by

$$D_{(\omega, \nu, z)} h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0)(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{z}) = \partial_x F(1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \tilde{z} + \tilde{\nu} \partial_\nu \partial_x F(1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) u_1 + \tilde{\omega} \partial_\omega \partial_x F(1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) u_1,$$

hence by using (4.5)-(4.7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(\omega, \nu, z)} h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0)(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{z}) &= \tilde{z}(t) - \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \tilde{z}(t-l) dl \\ &\quad - \tilde{\nu} [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) u_1(t-l) dl \\ &\quad - \tilde{\omega} \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty u_1(t-l) \mu_\tau(dl). \end{aligned}$$

The second main step of the proof is the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.4.** *The bounded linear operator*

$$D_{(\omega, \nu, z)} h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times X_2, C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}))$$

*is invertible.*

*Proof.* Let us first define the linear bounded operator  $K : X_2 \rightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$K\phi := \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l)\phi(\cdot - l)dl.$$

**Step 1: Let us prove that  $K(X_2) \subset X_2$ .** Indeed, by using Fubini's theorem, for all  $\phi \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  one has

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \chi(l)\phi(t-l)dl e^{it} dt = \int_0^\infty \int_0^{2\pi} \phi(t-l)e^{i(t-l)} dt e^{il} \chi(l)dl$$

and since  $t \rightarrow \phi(t)e^{it}$  is  $2\pi$ -periodic we deduce that

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \phi(t-l)e^{i(t-l)} dt = 0, \forall l \geq 0.$$

This completes the first step.

**Step 2: Let us now prove that  $N(I-K) = \{0\}$  whenever  $I-K \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$ .** In order to compute the kernel of  $I-K$  in  $X_2$ , consider  $g \in N(I-K)$ , that is  $g \in X_2$  such that

$$g(t) - \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l)g(t-l)dl = 0, \forall t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Multiplying the above equality by  $e^{-int}$ , for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and integrating between 0 and  $2\pi$  we obtain

$$[1 - \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0})\widehat{\chi}(n)]\widehat{g}(n) = 0,$$

wherein we have set

$$\widehat{g}(n) := \int_0^{2\pi} g(l)e^{-inl}dl,$$

and

$$\widehat{\chi}(n) := \int_0^\infty \chi(l)e^{-inl}dl.$$

Now for  $n \neq \pm 1$  we deduce by Assumption 3.7-(ii) that

$$\widehat{g}(n) = [1 - \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0})\widehat{\chi}(n)]^{-1} 0 = 0.$$

Since  $g \in X_2$ , it follows that  $g = 0$  and  $N(I-K) = \{0\}$ .

**Step 3: Let us prove that  $I-K \in \mathcal{L}(X_2)$  is invertible.** Next note that it follows from the continuity of the translation in  $L^1$  that  $K$  is a compact operator. Thus one has  $R(I-K) = X_2$  by Fredholm Alternative (see [15, Lemma 4.3.17]), where  $R(I-K)$  denotes the range of  $I-K$ . Hence we have that  $I-K$  is invertible and that the inverse is continuous by bounded inverse theorem.

**Step 4: Let us prove that  $D_{(\omega, \nu, z)}h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0)$  is invertible.** To prove this, let  $y \in C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  be given. Set  $L := D_{(\omega, \nu, z)}h(0, 1, \nu_0, 0)$  and let us consider the equation

$$(4.9) \quad (\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{z}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \times X_2, \quad L(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{z}) = y.$$

Define the projectors  $P_1 : C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X_1$  and  $P_2 : C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow X_2$  associated to the state space decomposition of Lemma 4.3 and set  $y_1 := P_1 y$  and  $y_2 := P_2 y$ . Next projecting (4.9) along  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  yields the following system, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} y_1(t) = & -\tilde{\nu} [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l)u_1(t-l)dl \\ & -\tilde{\omega} \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty u_1(t-l)\mu_\tau(dl), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$y_2(t) = \tilde{z}_2(t) - \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \tilde{z}_2(t-l) dl.$$

Observe that  $I - K$  is invertible in  $X_2$ , thus  $\tilde{z}_2$  can be solved by

$$\tilde{z}_2 = (I - K)^{-1} y_2.$$

Let us focus on the resolution of (4.10). To that aim, recall that  $u_1 = \cos(\cdot)$  and (4.8) so that (4.10) rewrites

$$y_1(t) = -\tilde{\nu} [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \cos ldl \cos t \\ - \tilde{\omega} \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \left[ \int_0^\infty \cos l\mu_\tau(dl) \cos t + \int_0^\infty \sin l\mu_\tau(dl) \sin t \right],$$

Furthermore by applying the integration by parts formula (3.1), we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \cos l\mu_\tau(dl) = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^M \cos l\mu_\tau(dl) \\ = \lim_{M \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ [\cos M\tau(M) - \cos 0\tau(0)] + \int_0^M \tau(l) \sin ldl \right\},$$

hence

$$\int_0^\infty \cos(l) \mu_\tau(dl) = \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \sin(l) dl.$$

Similarly, one can obtain

$$\int_0^\infty \sin l\mu_\tau(dl) = - \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \cos ldl.$$

On the other hand, since  $y_1 \in X_1$ , there exist two constants  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $y_1 = c_1 \cos(\cdot) + c_2 \sin(\cdot)$ , while the  $y_1$ -equation can be rewritten as the following system, for all  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$c_1 \cos t + c_2 \sin t \\ = -\tilde{\nu} [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \cos ldl \cos t \\ - \tilde{\omega} \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \left[ \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \sin ldl \cos t - \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \cos ldl \sin t \right],$$

and identifying the coefficients of  $\cos(\cdot)$  and  $\sin(\cdot)$  we end-up with the resolution of the following two-dimensional linear system

$$\begin{pmatrix} -[\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \cos ldl & -\partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \sin ldl \\ 0 & \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \cos ldl \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\nu} \\ \tilde{\omega} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

To solve this linear system, let us show that our assumptions for the characteristic equation, namely Assumption 3.7, ensures that the determinant of the above matrix is non-zero, that reads as

$$(4.11) \quad -\partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) \cos ldl \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \cos ldl \neq 0.$$

To check this property, recalling (4.8), it is sufficient to check that

$$[\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty l\chi(l) \cos(l) dl \neq 0.$$

Next set for  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\hat{\chi}(\theta) = \int_0^\infty \chi(l) e^{-i\theta l} dl,$$

and observe that the above condition (or equivalently (4.11)) is equivalent to

$$(4.12) \quad [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \operatorname{Im} \hat{\chi}'(1) \neq 0$$

On the other hand, by differentiating the characteristic equation (3.2) with respect to  $\nu$  at  $\nu = \nu_0$  (and recalling that  $\omega_0 = 1$ ), we have

$$\frac{d\lambda(\nu_0)}{d\nu} \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty l \chi(l) e^{-il} dl = [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \hat{\chi}(1),$$

which implies

$$\frac{d\lambda(\nu_0)}{d\nu} = \frac{[\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \int_0^\infty \chi(l) e^{-il} dl}{\partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \int_0^\infty l \chi(l) e^{-il} dl}.$$

Thus the transversality condition, that is Assumption 3.7-(iv), becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \frac{d\lambda(\nu_0)}{d\nu} &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{[\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \hat{\chi}(1)}{i \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \hat{\chi}'(1)} \right\} \\ &= \operatorname{Re} \left\{ \left[ \partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0} \right] \underbrace{\hat{\chi}(1) \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0})}_{=1} i \overline{\hat{\chi}'(1)} \right\} \\ &= [\partial_\nu \partial_w f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) + \partial_w^2 f(\nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0}) \partial_\nu \bar{w}_{\nu_0}] \operatorname{Im} (\hat{\chi}'(1)) \neq 0, \end{aligned}$$

therefore (4.12) holds true and we can find a unique  $(\tilde{\nu}, \tilde{\omega}) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  solving the above two-dimensional linear system. This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Last part of the proof of Theorem 4.1:** To conclude the proof of the Hopf bifurcation Theorem 4.1, we apply the implicit function theorem (see Deimling [5, Theorem 15.2]) to the function  $h : \mathbb{R}^3 \times X_2 \rightarrow C_{2\pi}(\mathbb{R})$  and we deduce that there exists a  $C^1$ -mapping  $(\omega, \nu, z) : (-\delta, \delta) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2 \times X_2$ , for some  $\delta > 0$  small enough, such that

$$h(s, \omega(s), \nu(s), z(s)) = 0, \quad \forall s \in (-\delta, \delta).$$

By the definition of  $h$ , this is equivalent to say that

$$F(\omega(s), \nu(s), \bar{w}_{\nu(s)} + s(u_1 + z(s))) = 0,$$

when  $s \neq 0$  with  $(\omega(0), \nu(0), z(0)) = (1, \nu_0, \bar{w}_{\nu_0})$ . We see that  $(\omega(s), \nu(s), \bar{w}_{\nu(s)} + s(u_1 + z(s)))$  is the desired curve of solutions of  $F = 0$ . Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. Bertoni. Periodic solutions for non-linear equations of structured populations. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 220(1): 250–267, 1998.
- [2] M. G. Crandall and P. H. Rabinowitz. The Hopf bifurcation theorem in infinite dimensions. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 67(1): 53–72, 1977.
- [3] J. M. Cushing. Bifurcation of time periodic solutions of the McKendrick equations with applications to population dynamics. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 9(3): 459–478, 1983.
- [4] J. M. Cushing. *An Introduction to Structured Population Dynamics*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1998.
- [5] K. Deimling. *Nonlinear Functional Analysis*. Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1985.
- [6] A. Ducrot, Z. Liu and P. Magal. Essential growth rate for bounded linear perturbation of non-densely defined Cauchy problems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 341(1): 501–518, 2008.
- [7] G. B. Folland. *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 1999.
- [8] M. E. Gurtin and R. C. MacCamy. Non-linear age-dependent population dynamics. *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 54(3): 281–300, 1974.

- [9] J.K. Hale and H. Kocak, *Dynamics and Bifurcations*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [10] B.D. Hassard, N. D. Kazarinoff, Y.-H. Wan, *Theory and Applications of Hopf Bifurcation*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1981.
- [11] T. Kostova and J. Li. Oscillations and stability due to juvenile competitive effects on adult fertility. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 32(11): 57–70, 1996.
- [12] Z. Liu, P. Magal, and S. Ruan, Hopf bifurcation for non-densely defined Cauchy problems, *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.*, 62(2): 191–222, 2011.
- [13] P. Magal, and S. Ruan, On integrated semigroups and age structured models in  $L^p$  spaces, *Differential Integral Equations*, 20(2): 197–239, 2007.
- [14] P. Magal and S. Ruan. Center manifolds for semilinear equations with non-dense domain and applications to Hopf bifurcation in age structured models. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 202(951), 2009.
- [15] P. Magal and S. Ruan. *Theory and Applications of Abstract Semilinear Cauchy Problems*. Springer, New York, 2018.
- [16] J. Prüss. On the qualitative behaviour of populations with age-specific interactions. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 9(3): 327–339, 1983.
- [17] J. H. Swart. Hopf bifurcation and the stability of non-linear age-dependent population models. *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 15(6-8): 555–564, 1988.

NORMANDIE UNIV, UNIHAVRE, LMAH, FR-CNRS-3335, ISCN, 76600 LE HAVRE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `arnaud.ducrot@univ-lehavre.fr`

NORMANDIE UNIV, UNIHAVRE, LMAH, FR-CNRS-3335, ISCN, 76600 LE HAVRE, FRANCE  
*Email address:* `hao.kang@univ-lehavre.fr`

UNIV. BORDEAUX, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 TALENCE, FRANCE, CNRS, IMB, UMR 5251, F-33400 TALENCE, FRANCE.  
*Email address:* `pierre.magal@u-bordeaux.fr`