

Asymptotic stability of homogeneous equilibria for screened Vlasov-Poisson systems

Daniel Han-Kwan

CNRS & École polytechnique
Palaiseau, France

Bordeaux
French-Korean conference
Nov 27 2019

Introduction

We consider the following **Vlasov-Poisson** system with **screening** :

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f + E \cdot \nabla_v f = 0, \quad t \geq 0, (x, v) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \\ E = -\nabla_x \phi, \\ \phi - \Delta_x \phi = \rho - 1, \quad \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dv \\ f|_{t=0} = f_0 \geq 0. \end{array} \right.$$

- Dynamics of **charged particles** (ions) in a **plasma**.

$f(t, x, v) \geq 0$: distribution function in phase space $\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$

$\rho(t, x)$: density of ions

$E(t, x)$: electric field

- **Screening** : Coulomb potential $\frac{1}{r} \hookrightarrow$ Yukawa potential $\frac{e^{-r}}{r}$.
- Equivalently, in Fourier space, **low frequency regularization** : $\frac{1}{|\xi|^2} \hookrightarrow \frac{1}{1+|\xi|^2}$.

The question of stability of homogeneous equilibria

Any $\mu(v) \geq 0$ (with the normalization $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mu dv = 1$) is a trivial stationary solution of the system (with $E = 0$).

MAIN QUESTION :

Asymptotic Stability of such homogeneous equilibria $\mu(v)$?

Remarks :

- There exist (linearly) unstable equilibria [Penrose, 1960].
- As $\int_{\mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3} \mu dv dx = +\infty$: infinite mass solution.

Stability of the equilibrium $\mu = 0$

Theorem 1 (*[Bardos, Degond 1985]*)

Consider Vlasov-Poisson without the -1 . Assume f_0 is compactly supported and

$$\|f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} + \|\nabla_{x,v} f_0\|_{L_{x,v}^\infty} \ll 1.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution to the Vlasov-Poisson system, satisfying

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|\nabla_x \rho(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} + \|E(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \searrow_{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0,$$

with algebraic decay.

Remarks :

- Originally written for Vlasov-Poisson **without** screening.
- Mainly based on **dispersion for the free transport operator** on \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Higher derivatives : [\[Hwang, Rendall, Velázquez 2011\]](#), [\[Smulevici 2016\]](#) .
- In the screened case, works as well in 2D [\[Choi, Ha, Lee 2011\]](#).

Dispersion for the free transport equation

Lemma 1

Let f be the solution to

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f = 0, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0 \geq 0.$$

Then $\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f dv$ satisfies for all $t > 0$

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \leq \frac{1}{t^3} \|f_0\|_{L_x^1 L_v^\infty},$$

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L_x^p} \leq \frac{1}{t^{p/3}} \|f_0\|_{L_x^1 L_v^p},$$

$$\|\nabla_x^k \rho(t)\|_{L_x^p} \lesssim \frac{1}{t^{k + \frac{3}{p}}} \|\nabla_x^k f_0\|_{L_x^1 L_v^p}.$$

Based on

$$f(t, x, v) = f_0(x - tv, v).$$

Lagrangian structure of the Vlasov-Poisson system

- Introduce the characteristics curves $(X_{s,t}, V_{s,t})$ solving

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d}{ds} X_{s,t}(x, v) = V_{s,t}(x, v), & X_{t,t}(x, v) = x, \\ \frac{d}{ds} V_{s,t}(x, v) = E(s, X_{s,t}(x, v)), & V_{t,t}(x, v) = v. \end{cases}$$

- The solution to the Vlasov-Poisson system satisfies

$$f(t, x, v) = f_0(X_{0,t}(x, v), V_{0,t}(x, v)).$$

Ensuring that $(X_{0,t}, V_{0,t})$ is a **small perturbation of the free flow** yields the dispersive estimate

$$\|\rho(t)\|_{L_x^\infty} \lesssim 1/t^3,$$

hence the theorem (prove this by **bootstrap**).

Stability for non-trivial μ

Theorem 2 (*[Bedrossian, Masmoudi, Mouhot 2018]*)

Assume μ satisfies the **Penrose stability condition**. Let $n \gg 1$. Let $k > \frac{3}{2}$. Assume

$$\|\langle v \rangle^k (f_0 - \mu)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} + \|\langle v \rangle^k \nabla_{x,v}^n (f_0 - \mu)\|_{L^2_{x,v}} \ll 1.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution to the Vlasov-Poisson system, satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(t) - 1\| + \|E(t)\| &\searrow_{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \\ \exists g_\infty(x, v), \quad \|(f - \mu)(t, x + tv, v) - g_\infty(x, v)\| &\searrow_{t \rightarrow +\infty} 0, \end{aligned}$$

with algebraic decay.

Penrose stability condition : $\exists \kappa > 0$,

$$\inf_{\gamma \geq 0} \inf_{\tau \in \mathbb{R}, \xi \in \mathbb{R}^3} \left| 1 - \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i\tau t - \gamma t} \frac{i\xi}{1 + |\xi|^2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla_v \mu}(\xi t) dt \right| \geq \kappa.$$

Any **radial and positive** equilibrium μ satisfies the Penrose stability condition.

Remarks on the paper of Bedrossian-Masmoudi-Mouhot

- The proof is mainly based on Fourier analysis and inspired by the study of **Landau damping** (same stability problem set on $\mathbb{T}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$) [Mouhot, Villani 2011], [Bedrossian, Masmoudi, Mouhot 2016].
- On \mathbb{T}^d , dispersion is replaced by **phase mixing**.
- On \mathbb{T}^d , the main obstruction to asymptotic stability are the so-called “**plasma echoes**” that correspond to certain resonances appearing in the study of nonlinearities. Their effect is tamed using **high regularity solutions** (Gevrey or analytic spaces).
- On \mathbb{R}^d , **dispersion is used to show that these resonances are very rare**.
- The proof is not simpler in the case $\mu = 0$ or in higher dimensions.

Main result

Theorem 3 ([HK, Nguyen, Rousset])

Assume μ satisfies the **Penrose stability condition**.

Let $k > 3$. Assume

$$\|\langle v \rangle^k (f_0 - \mu)\|_{W^{1,\infty}} + \|f_0 - \mu\|_{W^{1,1}} + \|f_0 - \mu\|_{L_x^1 L_v^\infty} + \|\nabla_{x,v}(f_0 - \mu)\|_{L_x^1 L_v^\infty} \ll 1.$$

Then there exists a unique global solution such that

$$\|\rho(t) - 1\|_{L^1} + \langle t \rangle \|\nabla_x \rho(t)\|_{L^1} + \langle t \rangle^3 \|\rho(t) - 1\|_{L^\infty} + \langle t \rangle^4 \|\nabla_x \rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} \ll \log(2+t),$$

and

$$\exists g_\infty(x, v), \quad \|(f - \mu)(t, x + tv, v) - g_\infty(x, v)\|_{L^\infty} \ll \frac{\log(2+t)}{t^2}.$$

Remarks on the result

- The strategy of the proof is based on the **lagrangian structure** of the Vlasov-Poisson system. It can be seen as a generalization of the Bardos-Degond result for $\mu = 0$.
- It does not rely on the strategy developed for Landau damping on the torus. We completely avoid the study of plasma echoes.
- We only ask for an initial control of one derivative as in the Bardos-Degond result.

Lagrangian structure of the Vlasov-Poisson system

- Write $f(t, x, v) = \mu(v) + g(t, x, v)$. The perturbation satisfies

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t g + v \cdot \nabla_x g + E \cdot \nabla_v g = -E \cdot \nabla_v \mu, \\ E = -\nabla_x \phi, \\ \phi - \Delta_x \phi = \rho, \quad \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g dv \\ g|_{t=0} = g_0 \quad (= f_0 - \mu). \end{cases}$$

- Duhamel formula :

$$g(t, x, v) = g_0(X_{0,t}(x, v), V_{0,t}(x, v)) - \int_0^t (E \cdot \nabla_v \mu)(s, X_{s,t}(x, v), V_{s,t}(x, v)) ds.$$

- Compared to the case $\mu = 0$, there is a **source term**. Rewrite this as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}g &= g_0(X_{0,t}(x, v), V_{0,t}(x, v)) \\ &+ \int_0^t E(s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) ds - \int_0^t (E \cdot \nabla_v \mu)(s, X_{s,t}(x, v), V_{s,t}(x, v)) ds \end{aligned}$$

where \mathcal{L} is the linear operator defined as

$$\mathcal{L}g := g + \int_0^t E(s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) ds.$$

Comparison with Bedrossian-Masmoudi-Mouhot

$$\mathcal{L}g := g - \int_0^t [\nabla_x(1 - \Delta_x)^{-1} \rho](s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) ds.$$

Whereas Bedrossian-Masmoudi-Mouhot rather see the Vlasov equation as

$$\partial_t g + v \cdot \nabla_x g + E \cdot \nabla_v \mu = -E \cdot \nabla_v g,$$

getting

$$\mathcal{L}g = g_0(x - tv, v) - \int_0^t (E \cdot \nabla_v g)(s, x - (t-s)v, v) ds$$

we rely on the lagrangian structure of the system, yielding

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}g &= g_0(X_{0,t}(x, v), V_{0,t}(x, v)) \\ &+ \int_0^t E(s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) ds - \int_0^t (E \cdot \nabla_v \mu)(s, X_{s,t}(x, v), V_{s,t}(x, v)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

→ **Same linearized operator, different way to write the source term.**

Plan of the proof

The strategy is as follows :

- There is a preferred quantity in order to propagate **global regularity** for the Vlasov-Poisson system, that is the **density**

$$\rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} g dv.$$

- I) Obtain pointwise in time estimates on the L^1 or L^∞ norm of the **density** for the linear operator \mathcal{L} , **saturating** the dispersive estimates for the free flow.
- II) **Bootstrap** analysis
 - Prove that the characteristics are close to the free ones.
 - Use of elementary bilinear “dispersive” estimates to handle the nonlinear terms.

I) The linearized problem (1/3)

We study

$$\mathcal{L}g = \mathcal{S}(t, x, v), \quad t > 0.$$

Integrating in v and setting

$$S(t, x) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathcal{S}(t, x, v) dv,$$

we obtain

$$\rho(t, x) = \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} [\nabla_x (1 - \Delta_x)^{-1} \rho](s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) dv ds + S(t, x), \quad t \geq 0,$$

with ρ and S extended by zero for $t < 0$, so that we end up with

$$\rho(t, x) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathbb{1}_{(t-s) \geq 0} [\nabla_x (1 - \Delta_x)^{-1} \rho](s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) dv ds + S(t, x),$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

I) The linearized problem (2/3)

Theorem 4

Assume μ satisfies the Penrose condition. Then, there exists $M > 0$ such that for all $S \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, L^1(\mathbb{R}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3))$, ρ satisfies the estimates

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho(t)\|_{L^1} + t^3 \|\rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq M \log(1+t) \|S\|_{Y_t^0}, \\ t \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_{L^1} + t^4 \|\nabla \rho(t)\|_{L^\infty} &\leq M \log(1+t) \|S\|_{Y_t^1},\end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq 1$, where

$$\|S\|_{Y_t^0} = \sup_{[0,t]} (\|S(s)\|_{L^1} + (1+s)^3 \|S(s)\|_{L^\infty}),$$

$$\|S\|_{Y_t^1} = \sup_{[0,t]} (\|S(s)\|_{L^1} + (1+s) \|\nabla S(s)\|_{L^1} + (1+s)^4 \|\nabla S(s)\|_{L^\infty}).$$

By-product : solutions to the linearized Vlasov-Poisson system

$$\partial_t f + v \cdot \nabla_x f - \nabla_x (1 - \Delta_x)^{-1} \rho \cdot \nabla_v \mu = 0, \quad f|_{t=0} = f_0.$$

decay as solutions to free transport, up to a log loss.

I) The linearized problem (3/3)

Idea of the proof :

By the **Penrose condition**, we can rewrite the equation for ρ as

$$\rho = S + G \star S,$$

where \star denotes convolution in t and x , and

$$\widehat{G}(\tau, \xi) = \frac{\int_0^{+\infty} e^{i\tau t} \frac{i\xi}{1+|\xi|^2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla_v \mu}(\xi t) dt}{1 - \int_0^{+\infty} e^{i\tau t} \frac{i\xi}{1+|\xi|^2} \cdot \widehat{\nabla_v \mu}(\xi t) dt}.$$

Prove pointwise in time decay estimates for the L^1 or L^∞ norm of G , using the **fine properties of the symbols** and **Littlewood-Paley** decomposition in time and space.

This allows to go “beyond” Hörmander-Mikhlin and Calderón-Zygmund theories for this operator (that would only yield $L_t^p L_x^q$ type estimates, for $1 < p, q < +\infty$).

II) A glimpse of the Bootstrap analysis (1/2)

Set

$$\mathcal{N}(t) = \sup_{[0,t]} \frac{1}{\log(2+s)} \left(\|\rho(s)\|_{L^1} + \langle s \rangle^3 \|\rho(s)\|_{L^\infty} + \langle s \rangle \|\nabla \rho(s)\|_{L^1} + \langle s \rangle^4 \|\nabla \rho(s)\|_{L^\infty} \right).$$

- Local well-posedness theory in Sobolev spaces for Vlasov-Poisson allows to set up a bootstrap analysis.
Let $T_0 > 0$ be the maximal existence time.
- For $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough introduce

$$T^* := \sup \left\{ t \in (0, T_0), \mathcal{N}(t) \leq \varepsilon \right\}.$$

The goal is to show $T^* = T_0 = +\infty$.

II) A glimpse of the Bootstrap analysis (2/2)

Recall

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}g &= g_0(X_{0,t}(x, v), V_{0,t}(x, v)) \\ &+ \underbrace{\int_0^t E(s, x - (t-s)v) \cdot \nabla_v \mu(v) ds - \int_0^t (E \cdot \nabla_v \mu)(s, X_{s,t}(x, v), V_{s,t}(x, v)) ds}_{=:\mathcal{S}(t, x, v)} \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to the linear theorem

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}(t) &\lesssim \sup_{[0,t]} \|S\|_{Y_s^0} + \|S\|_{Y_s^1} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \text{“what comes from the nonlinear term”} \\ &\lesssim \varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon^2 \quad \dots \text{hopefully...} \end{aligned}$$

To this end, prove that on $[0, T^*)$, characteristics remain close to the free ones, and that they can be straightened up to a small error of order ε .

Thanks for your attention !