



Figure 5. A non-geometrically irreducible integral conic.

**Example 2.12.** Let  $K$  be a non-trivial finite extension of  $k$ , and let  $X = \text{Spec } K$ . If  $K/k$  is purely inseparable, then  $X$  is reduced but not geometrically reduced, because  $X_K = \text{Spec}(K \otimes_k K)$ , and hence  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is not reduced. If  $K/k$  is separable, then  $X$  is integral but not geometrically integral, nor even geometrically connected, because  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is made up of  $[K : k]$  isolated points.

**Example 2.13.** Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic different from 2, and let  $a \in k$  which is not a square. Let us consider the projective variety

$$X = \text{Proj } k[u, v, w]/(u^2 - av^2).$$

Let  $\alpha \in \bar{k}$  be a square root of  $a$  and  $K = k[\alpha]$ . Then we easily verify that  $X$  is integral, while  $X_K = \text{Proj } K[u, v, w]/(u - \alpha v)(u + \alpha v)$  is not. See Figure 5 below.

**Corollary 2.14.** Let  $X$  be an integral algebraic variety over  $k$ , with function field  $K(X)$ .

- (a) Let us suppose that  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$ . Let  $L := k^{p^{-\infty}}$  be the perfect closure of  $k$ . Then  $X$  is geometrically reduced if and only if  $X_L$  is reduced.
- (b) Let  $k^s$  be the separable closure of  $k$ . Then  $X$  is geometrically connected (resp. irreducible) if and only if  $X_{k^s}$  is connected (resp. irreducible).
- (c) The variety  $X$  is geometrically integral if and only if  $K(X)$  and  $\bar{k}$  are linearly disjoint over  $k^1$ . Moreover, in that case we have  $K(X_{\bar{k}}) = K(X) \otimes_k \bar{k}$ .
- (d)  $X$  is geometrically irreducible if and only if  $K(X) \cap k^s = k$ .

**Proof** (a) and (b) result from Proposition 2.7(b) (indeed,  $\bar{k}$  is separable over  $k^{p^{-\infty}}$ ) and (c).

(c) Let us suppose  $K(X)$  and  $\bar{k}$  are linearly disjoint over  $k$ . Then  $K(X) \otimes_k \bar{k}$  is an integral domain. For any affine open subset  $U$  of  $X$ , we have  $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\bar{k}}}(U_{\bar{k}}) = \mathcal{O}_X(U) \otimes_k \bar{k} \subseteq K(X) \otimes_k \bar{k}$ . Therefore  $U_{\bar{k}}$  is integral. Taking an affine open covering of  $X$ , we see that  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is reduced. This implies that  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is integral by (d). Conversely, let us suppose  $X_{\bar{k}}$  is integral. Let  $U = \text{Spec } A$  be an affine open subset of  $X$ . Then  $K(X) \otimes_k \bar{k} = \text{Frac}(A) \otimes_k \bar{k}$  is a localization of  $A \otimes_k \bar{k}$ , and is therefore an integral domain. Moreover, it is integral over  $K(X)$  because  $\bar{k}$

<sup>1</sup>Two  $k$ -extensions  $E, F$  endowed with  $k$ -homomorphisms  $i : E \rightarrow \Omega, j : F \rightarrow \Omega$  in a  $k$ -extension  $\Omega$  are said to be *linearly disjoint* when the canonical homomorphism  $E \otimes_k F \rightarrow \Omega$  is injective. When  $E$  or  $F$  is algebraic over  $k$ , this is equivalent to  $E \otimes_k F$  being a field (which is then isomorphic to the compositum of  $i(E)$  and  $j(F)$  in  $\Omega$ ). In particular, in this case, linear disjunction is independent on  $\Omega$  and  $i, j$ .