

# Brownian motion and Ricci curvature in sub-Riemannian geometry

Anton Thalmaier  
Université du Luxembourg

(Joint work with *Li-Juan Cheng* and *Erlend Grong*)

Journée Analyse et Probabilité

Institut de Mathématiques, Université de Bordeaux

Nov 28, 2019

## Outline

- 1 Sub-Riemannian structures
- 2 Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates
- 3 Ricci curvature and analysis on path space
- 4 Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds
- 5 Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

## I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- $(M, H, g_H)$  where
  - $M$  smooth manifold,  $\dim M = n$
  - $H \subsetneq TM$  subbundle (“horizontal directions”),  $\text{rank } H = m$
  - $g_H$  fiberwise inner product on  $H$

## I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- $(M, H, g_H)$  where
  - $M$  smooth manifold,  $\dim M = n$
  - $H \subsetneq TM$  subbundle (“horizontal directions”),  $\text{rank } H = m$
  - $g_H$  fiberwise inner product on  $H$

### Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$  geometry intrinsically associated to  $(M, H, g_H)$ .

## I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- $(M, H, g_H)$  where
  - $M$  smooth manifold,  $\dim M = n$
  - $H \subsetneq TM$  subbundle (“horizontal directions”),  $\text{rank } H = m$
  - $g_H$  fiberwise inner product on  $H$

### Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$  geometry intrinsically associated to  $(M, H, g_H)$ .

- Let

$$d_H(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \dot{\gamma}(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)} \forall t \right\}$$

## I. Sub-Riemannian structures

- $(M, H, g_H)$  where
  - $M$  smooth manifold,  $\dim M = n$
  - $H \subsetneq TM$  subbundle (“horizontal directions”),  $\text{rank } H = m$
  - $g_H$  fiberwise inner product on  $H$

### Sub-Riemannian geometry

$\hat{=}$  geometry intrinsically associated to  $(M, H, g_H)$ .

- Let

$$d_H(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \left\{ \int_0^1 |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt : \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \dot{\gamma}(t) \in H_{\gamma(t)} \forall t \right\}$$

- $H$  bracket generating (i.e.  $\text{Lie}(H)(x) = T_x M$  for each  $x \in M$ )  
 $\implies (M, d_H)$  metric space

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

$A_1, \dots, A_m$  local orthonormal frame of  $H$ ,  
 $Z$  first order term (horizontal vector field)

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

$A_1, \dots, A_m$  local orthonormal frame of  $H$ ,  
 $Z$  first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for  $Z$ !



- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

$A_1, \dots, A_m$  local orthonormal frame of  $H$ ,  
 $Z$  first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for  $Z$ !

- Some notation:

- ① Consider

$$\sharp^H: T^*M \rightarrow H \subset TM, \quad \langle \sharp^H \alpha, v \rangle_{g_H} := \alpha(v),$$

for  $\alpha \in T_x^*M$ ,  $v \in H_x$ ,  $x \in M$ .

Note that  $\ker \sharp^H = \text{Ann } H$ .

- Canonical sub-Riemannian Laplacian?

$$\Delta^H = \sum_{i=1}^m A_i^2 + Z \quad (\text{locally})$$

$A_1, \dots, A_m$  local orthonormal frame of  $H$ ,  
 $Z$  first order term (horizontal vector field)

In general, no canonical choice for  $Z$ !

- Some notation:

① Consider

$$\sharp^H: T^*M \rightarrow H \subset TM, \quad \langle \sharp^H \alpha, v \rangle_{g_H} := \alpha(v),$$

for  $\alpha \in T_x^*M$ ,  $v \in H_x$ ,  $x \in M$ .

Note that  $\ker \sharp^H = \text{Ann } H$ .

② The map  $\sharp^H$  induces a (**degenerate**) co-metric  $g_H^*$  on  $T^*M$  via

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle_{g_H^*} = \langle \sharp^H \alpha, \sharp^H \beta \rangle_{g_H}.$$

- Let  $L$  be a second order partial differential operator on  $M$ . Its symbol  $\sigma(L)$  is the symmetric, bilinear 2-tensor on  $T^*M$  determined by the relation

$$\sigma(L)(df, dh) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fh) - fLh - hLf), \quad f, h \in C^\infty(M).$$

- Let  $L$  be a second order partial differential operator on  $M$ . Its symbol  $\sigma(L)$  is the symmetric, bilinear 2-tensor on  $T^*M$  determined by the relation

$$\sigma(L)(df, dh) = \frac{1}{2}(L(fh) - fLh - hLf), \quad f, h \in C^\infty(M).$$

- A second order PDO  $L$  (without constant term) is called **sub-Laplacian** with respect to  $(M, H, g_H)$  if

$$\sigma(L) = g_H^*.$$

We write  $L = \Delta^H$ .

① **Example 1** Let  $\mu$  be a (smooth) volume measure on  $M$ . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \sharp^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

① **Example 1** Let  $\mu$  be a (smooth) volume measure on  $M$ . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \#^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

② **Example 2** Assume  $\exists$  Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  such that

$$g|_H = g_H$$

Let

$$TM = H \oplus V, \quad g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad (\text{where } V := H^\perp).$$

- 1 **Example 1** Let  $\mu$  be a (smooth) volume measure on  $M$ . Then

$$\Delta^H f = \operatorname{div}_\mu \#^H df$$

is a sub-Laplacian.

- 2 **Example 2** Assume  $\exists$  Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  such that

$$g|_H = g_H$$

Let

$$TM = H \oplus V, \quad g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad (\text{where } V := H^\perp).$$

Define

$$\nabla^H f = \operatorname{pr}_H \nabla f \equiv \#^H df$$

and let  $\Delta^H$  be the generator of the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(f, h) := - \int_M \langle \nabla^H f, \nabla^H h \rangle_H \, d\operatorname{vol}_g.$$

Then  $\Delta^H := -(\nabla^H)^* \nabla^H = \operatorname{trace}_H \nabla^2$  is a sub-Laplacian.

In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  : sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_o = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$



In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  : sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_0 = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$

In the limit only horizontal curves have finite length.

In the situation of the last example:

- Canonical variation of the metric

$$\varepsilon > 0 : \quad g_\varepsilon := g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V$$

$\varepsilon \downarrow 0$  : sub-Riemannian limit

$$d_\varepsilon \rightarrow d_0 = d_H \quad (\text{sub-Riemannian distance})$$

In the limit only horizontal curves have finite length.

- Observation

$$\text{Ric}^{g_\varepsilon}(u, u) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} -\infty \quad \text{for any horizontal unit vector } u$$

## Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, H, g_H)$

- Would like to have a connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  which is **horizontally compatible** with  $(H, g_H)$  in the sense that the horizontal subbundle  $H$  is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric  $g_H$

## Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, H, g_H)$

- Would like to have a connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  which is **horizontally compatible** with  $(H, g_H)$  in the sense that the horizontal subbundle  $H$  is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric  $g_H$
- Actually, a metric **partial connection**

$$\nabla: \Gamma(H) \times \Gamma(H) \rightarrow \Gamma(H), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \nabla_A B,$$

will be sufficient.

## Natural connections on a sub-Riemannian manifold $(M, H, g_H)$

- Would like to have a connection  $\nabla$  on  $M$  which is **horizontally compatible** with  $(H, g_H)$  in the sense that the horizontal subbundle  $H$  is preserved under parallel transport, as well as its metric  $g_H$
- Actually, a metric **partial connection**

$$\nabla: \Gamma(H) \times \Gamma(H) \rightarrow \Gamma(H), \quad (A, B) \mapsto \nabla_A B,$$

will be sufficient.

- In terms of the corresponding **horizontal Hessian**,

$$\nabla^2 f \equiv \text{Hess } f \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H^*), \quad (\nabla^2 f)(A, B) = ABf - (\nabla_A B)f,$$

the associated **sub-Laplacian**  $\Delta^H$  is given by

$$\Delta^H f = \text{trace}_H \nabla^2 f, \quad f \in C^\infty(M)$$

the associated sub-Laplacian.

- Note that horizontally compatible connections  $\nabla$  will always have torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- Note that horizontally compatible connections  $\nabla$  will always have torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map  $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$  does not depend on the choice of  $\nabla$ .

- Note that horizontally compatible connections  $\nabla$  will always have torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map  $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$  does not depend on the choice of  $\nabla$ .
- A horizontally compatible connection  $\nabla$  is uniquely determined by its torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ .



- Note that horizontally compatible connections  $\nabla$  will always have torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ :

$$\nabla_A B - \nabla_B A - [A, B] = \mathbf{T}(A, B), \quad A, B \in \Gamma(H).$$

- The map  $(A, B) \mapsto \mathbf{T}(A, B) \bmod H$  does not depend on the choice of  $\nabla$ .
- A horizontally compatible connection  $\nabla$  is uniquely determined by its torsion  $\mathbf{T}$ .
- Let  $V$  be a choice of complement to  $H$ . There exists a unique horizontally compatible partial connection  $\nabla$  with

$$\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$$

**Example** Let again  $(M, g)$  and  $g_H = g|_H$ . Then  $TM = H \oplus_{\perp} V$  and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V$$

Denote by  $\nabla^g$  the Levi-Civita connection on  $M, g$ .

- (**Bott connection**) There is a canonical connection  $\nabla$  preserving the decomposition  $TM = H \oplus V$ :

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_H([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_V([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V), \\ \text{pr}_V(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(V), \end{cases}$$

**Example** Let again  $(M, g)$  and  $g_H = g|_H$ . Then  $TM = H \oplus_{\perp} V$  and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V$$

Denote by  $\nabla^g$  the Levi-Civita connection on  $M, g$ .

- (**Bott connection**) There is a canonical connection  $\nabla$  preserving the decomposition  $TM = H \oplus V$ :

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_H([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H), \\ \text{pr}_V([X, Y]), & X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V), \\ \text{pr}_V(\nabla_X^g Y), & X, Y \in \Gamma(V), \end{cases}$$

- $\nabla g = 0$
- its torsion  $T^{\nabla}(X, Y)$  is vertical for  $X$  and  $Y$  horizontal, and zero if either  $X$  or  $Y$  is vertical

## Assumptions

- Let  $V$  be a choice of a complement to  $H$  in  $(M, H, g_H)$ .  
Let  $\text{pr}_H$  and  $\text{pr}_V$  be the corresponding projections. Write  $\nabla$  for the unique partial connection with  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$ .

## Assumptions

- Let  $V$  be a choice of a complement to  $H$  in  $(M, H, g_H)$ .  
Let  $\text{pr}_H$  and  $\text{pr}_V$  be the corresponding projections. Write  $\nabla$  for the unique partial connection with  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$ .
- We shall extend

$$\nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(H),$$

to an affine connection on  $M$  as follows:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H) \\ \text{pr}_V[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V) \end{cases}$$

while  $\nabla$  on  $V$  can be an arbitrary partial connection on  $V$  in the direction of  $V$ .

## Assumptions

- Let  $V$  be a choice of a complement to  $H$  in  $(M, H, g_H)$ .  
Let  $\text{pr}_H$  and  $\text{pr}_V$  be the corresponding projections. Write  $\nabla$  for the unique partial connection with  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$ .
- We shall extend

$$\nabla_X Y, \quad X, Y \in \Gamma(H),$$

to an affine connection on  $M$  as follows:

$$\nabla_X Y = \begin{cases} \text{pr}_H[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(V), Y \in \Gamma(H) \\ \text{pr}_V[X, Y] & \text{if } X \in \Gamma(H), Y \in \Gamma(V) \end{cases}$$

while  $\nabla$  on  $V$  can be an arbitrary partial connection on  $V$  in the direction of  $V$ .

- Connections of this form satisfy the following properties:
  - ❶ both  $H$  and  $V$  are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$
  - ❷  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
  - ❸  $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$ .

Conversely, any connection satisfying (i)-(iii) is of this form.

- (Metric preserving complement  $V$ ) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where  $L_Z$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $Z$ .

- (Metric preserving complement  $V$ ) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where  $L_Z$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $Z$ .

- Let  $\text{Ric}: TM \rightarrow TM$  be the Ricci tensor with respect to  $\nabla$ :

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(v, \cdot) \cdot$$

The object of our interest is

$$\text{Ric}^H \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H), \quad \text{Ric}^H := \text{Ric}|_H \quad (\text{horizontal Ricci})$$



- (Metric preserving complement  $V$ ) For simplicity, assume that

$$(L_Z \text{pr}_H^* g_H)(X, X) = 0 \quad \text{for all } Z \in \Gamma(V) \text{ and } X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where  $L_Z$  denotes the Lie derivative with respect to  $Z$ .

- Let  $\text{Ric}: TM \rightarrow TM$  be the Ricci tensor with respect to  $\nabla$ :

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(v, \cdot) \times$$

The object of our interest is

$$\text{Ric}^H \in \Gamma(H^* \otimes H), \quad \text{Ric}^H := \text{Ric}|_H \quad (\text{horizontal Ricci})$$

- We have

$$\text{Ric}(v) = \text{pr}_H \text{Ric}^H \text{pr}_H v, \quad v \in TM,$$

where  $\text{pr}_H: TM \rightarrow H$  is the projection with kernel  $V$ .

- **Example**

Let  $(M, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold and  $g_H = g|_H$  such that  $TM = H \oplus V$ , and

$$g = g_H \oplus g_V \quad \text{and} \quad g_\varepsilon = g_H \oplus \frac{1}{\varepsilon} g_V, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Then

$$\text{Ric}_{g_\varepsilon}(X, X) = \text{Ric}^H(X, X) + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \langle J^2 X, X \rangle_H, \quad X \in \Gamma(H),$$

where for  $Z \in \Gamma(V)$ ,  $J_Z \in \Gamma(\text{End} TM)$  is defined by

$$\langle J_Z X, Y \rangle_{g_H} = \langle Z, T^\nabla(X, Y) \rangle_{g_V},$$

and, for  $Z_1, \dots, Z_r$  any local vertical frame,

$$J^2 := \sum_{i=1}^r J_{Z_i} J_{Z_i}.$$

- (*Laplacian*) For a compatible connection  $\nabla$  as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  is taken over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ )

- (*Laplacian*) For a compatible connection  $\nabla$  as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  is taken over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ )

- (*Sub-Riemannian Brownian*) A sub-Riemannian Brownian motion is a diffusion process  $X_t$  with generator  $\Delta^H$

- (*Laplacian*) For a compatible connection  $\nabla$  as above let

$$\Delta^H = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be the subelliptic Laplacian (the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  is taken over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ )

- (*Sub-Riemannian Brownian*) A **sub-Riemannian Brownian motion** is a diffusion process  $X_t$  with generator  $\Delta^H$
- (*Stochastic development*) Let  $X_0 = x$  then

$$dX_t = //_{0,t} \circ dB_t \quad \text{or} \quad dB_t = //_{0,t}^{-1} \circ dX_t$$

where  $B_t$  is a (classical) Brownian motion in  $H_x$  and

$$//_{0,t} := U_t \circ U_0^{-1} : H_x M \rightarrow H_{X_t} M$$

is **stochastic parallel transport along** of horizontal vectors along  $X$  (by construction isometries with respect to  $g_H$ ).

Here  $U_t$  is the horizontal lift of  $X_t$  to the orthonormal frame bundle  $O(H)$  over  $M$ .

## Functional inequalities

- Consider the semigroup generated by  $\Delta^H$ :

$$P_t f = e^{t\Delta^H} f$$

We have

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}], \quad x \in M.$$

## Functional inequalities

- Consider the semigroup generated by  $\Delta^H$ :

$$P_t f = e^{t\Delta^H} f$$

We have

$$P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbb{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}], \quad x \in M.$$

- **Question:** How is  $\text{Ric}^H$  related to functional inequalities for  $P_t$ ?

## II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates



## II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates

- Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and

$$L = \Delta + Z \quad \text{with } Z \in \Gamma(TM)$$

## II. Ricci curvature bounds and gradient estimates

- Let  $(M, g)$  be a complete Riemannian manifold and

$$L = \Delta + Z \quad \text{with } Z \in \Gamma(TM)$$

- (Bakry-Émery Ricci tensor)

$$\text{Ric}^Z = \text{Ric} - \nabla Z$$

where  $\text{Ric}^Z(X, Y) := \text{Ric}(X, Y) - \langle \nabla_X Z, Y \rangle$

## Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$  and  $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$  be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

## Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$  and  $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$  be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

- (Semigroup formula) Then  $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}]$ .

## Theorem (classical probabilistic representations)

Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_b(M)$  and  $u(x, t) = P_t f(x)$  be the (minimal) solution to

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} u = Lu, \quad u|_{t=0} = f.$$

- (Semigroup formula) Then  $P_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[f(X_t^x) \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \zeta(x)\}}]$ .
- (Derivative formula) If  $f \in C_b^1(M)$  and  $\text{Ric}^Z$  bounded below,

$$(\nabla P_t f)(x) = \mathbb{E}\left[Q_t //_{t}^{-1} \nabla f(X_t^x)\right]$$

where the random transformations  $Q_t \in \text{Hom}(T_x M, T_x M)$  are defined as solution to the pathwise ODE

$$dQ_t = -Q_t \text{Ric}_{//_t}^Z dt, \quad Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}.$$

Here

$$\text{Ric}_{//_t}^Z := //_{t}^{-1} \circ \text{Ric}_{X_t}^Z \circ //_{t} \in \text{End}(T_x M)$$

is the equivariant representation of  $\text{Ric}^Z$ .

- In particular, if

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM,$$

for some constant  $K$ , then

$$|Q_t| \leq e^{-Kt}$$

and

$$\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$$

- In particular, if

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM,$$

for some constant  $K$ , then

$$|Q_t| \leq e^{-Kt}$$

and

$$\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$$

- Actually, for  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  the following two conditions are equivalent:

- $\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}(v, v) \geq K|v|^2, \quad v \in TM.$

- $\text{(gradient estimate)} \quad |\nabla P_t f| \leq e^{-Kt} P_t |\nabla f|, \quad f \in C_b^1(M).$

**Well-known and classical:** Let  $K$  be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$



**Well-known and classical:** Let  $K$  be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for  $p \in [1, \infty[$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

**Well-known and classical:** Let  $K$  be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for  $p \in [1, \infty[$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for  $p \in (1, 2]$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left( P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

**Well-known and classical:** Let  $K$  be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for  $p \in [1, \infty[$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for  $p \in (1, 2]$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left( P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

- **(log-Sobolev inequality)** for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - (P_t f^2) \log(P_t f^2) \leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t |\nabla f|^2.$$

**Well-known and classical:** Let  $K$  be a real constant.

The following conditions are equivalent:

- **(Bakry-Émery lower curvature bound)**

$$\text{CD}(K, \infty) \quad \text{Ric}^Z(X, X) \geq K|X|^2, \quad X \in TM;$$

- **(gradient estimate)** for  $p \in [1, \infty[$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^p \leq e^{-\rho K t} P_t |\nabla f|^p;$$

- **(Poincaré inequality)** for  $p \in (1, 2]$  and all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\frac{p}{4(p-1)} \left( P_t f^2 - (P_t f^{2/p})^p \right) \leq \frac{1 - e^{-2Kt}}{2K} P_t |\nabla f|^2;$$

- **(log-Sobolev inequality)** for all  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$ ,

$$P_t(f^2 \log f^2) - (P_t f^2) \log(P_t f^2) \leq \frac{2(1 - e^{-2Kt})}{K} P_t |\nabla f|^2.$$

Many other equivalent statements, e.g., transportation-cost inequalities; convexity properties of the entropy; Wang's dimension-free Harnack inequalities; Wang's log-Harnack inequalities, ...

## Comparison with the sub-Riemannian case

- Example (Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}$ )

$$X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \quad [X, Y] = Z, \quad [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \text{span}(X, Y), \quad V = \mathbb{R} \cdot Z$$

Let

$$\Delta^H := X^2 + Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_t f = (e^{t\Delta^H})f$$

## Comparison with the sub-Riemannian case

- Example (Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}$ )

$$X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(\mathbb{H}), \quad [X, Y] = Z, \quad [X, Z] = [Y, Z] = 0$$

$$\mathbb{H} = \text{span}(X, Y), \quad V = \mathbb{R} \cdot Z$$

Let

$$\Delta^H := X^2 + Y^2 \quad \text{and} \quad P_t f = (e^{t\Delta^H})f$$

**Theorem** (Hong-Quan Li, 2006)

$$\exists C > 0, \quad |\nabla^H P_t f|_{g_H} \leq C P_t |\nabla^H f|_{g_H}, \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{H}),$$

where  $\nabla^H f = \text{pr}_H \nabla f$ .

The constant  $C$  must be strictly larger than 1!

## Riemannian geometry

### Boundedness of Ric

The problem of characterizing boundedness of Ric in Riemannian geometry has been solved by A. Naber via **analysis on path space**:

$|\text{Ric}| \leq K$  (i.e.  $-K \leq \text{Ric} \leq K$  for some constant  $K \geq 0$ )

$\iff$  certain functional inequalities on path space

### III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed  $T > 0$ , let  $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on  $W^T$ .



### III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed  $T > 0$ , let  $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on  $W^T$ .

- Denote

$$X_{[0,T]} = \{X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

### III. Ricci curvature and analysis on path space

- For fixed  $T > 0$ , let  $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}.$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on  $W^T$ .

- Denote

$$X_{[0,T]} = \{X_t : 0 \leq t \leq T\}.$$

- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ , the intrinsic gradient is defined as

$$D_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla^i f(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n}), \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where  $\nabla^i$  denotes the gradient with respect to the  $i$ -th component.

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ( $K \geq 0$ ):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ ;

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ( $K \geq 0$ ):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ ;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0'' F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r'' F| dr \right].$$

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ( $K \geq 0$ ):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ ;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0'' F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r'' F| dr \right].$$

- ( $L^2$  gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0'' F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r'' F|^2 dr \right].$$

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ( $K \geq 0$ ):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ ;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0'' F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r'' F| dr \right].$$

- ( $L^2$  gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0'' F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r'' F|^2 dr \right].$$

**Important observation** It is sufficient to check the estimates for very special  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ . Namely:

**Theorem** [A. Naber (2015) and R. Haslhofer and A. Naber (2018)]

The following conditions are equivalent ( $K \geq 0$ ):

- $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$ ;
- (Gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right| \leq \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0^{//} F| + K \int_0^T e^{Kr} |D_r^{//} F| dr \right].$$

- ( $L^2$  gradient inequality on path space) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ ,

$$\left| \nabla_x \mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]}^x)] \right|^2 \leq e^{KT} \mathbb{E}^x \left[ |D_0^{//} F|^2 + K \int_0^T e^{K(r-T)} |D_r^{//} F|^2 dr \right].$$

**Important observation** It is sufficient to check the estimates for very special  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$ . Namely:

- for  $F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = f(X_t^x)$ , and
- for 2-point cylindrical functions of the form

$$F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = f(x) - \frac{1}{2} f(X_t^x)$$



From this observation, equivalence of the following two items follows:

- (i)  $|\text{Ric}^Z| \leq K$  for  $K \geq 0$ ;
- (ii) for  $f \in C_c^\infty(M)$  and  $t > 0$ ,

$$|\nabla P_t f|^2 \leq e^{2Kt} P_t |\nabla f|^2 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left| \nabla f - \frac{1}{2} \nabla P_t f \right|^2 \leq e^{Kt} \mathbb{E} \left[ \left| \nabla f - \frac{1}{2} \nabla f(X_t) \right|^2 + \frac{1}{4} (e^{Kt} - 1) |\nabla f|^2(X_t) \right].$$

## Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ . Consider the gradients:

- (intrinsic gradient)

$$D_t^{\parallel} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

## Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ . Consider the gradients:

- (intrinsic gradient)

$$D_t^{\parallel} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

- (damped gradient)

$$D_t F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} Q_{t,t_i} \parallel_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x)$$

where  $Q_{t,r}$  takes values in the linear automorphisms of  $T_{X_t^x} M$  satisfying for fixed  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\frac{dQ_{t,r}}{dr} = -Q_{t,r} \text{Ric}_{\parallel_{t,r}}^Z, \quad Q_{t,t} = \text{id}; \quad r \geq t$$

## Path space characterization of pinched curvature

Let  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ . Consider the gradients:

- (intrinsic gradient)

$$D_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x);$$

- (damped gradient)

$$D_t F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t < t_i\}} Q_{t,t_i} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x)$$

where  $Q_{t,r}$  takes values in the linear automorphisms of  $T_{X_t^x} M$  satisfying for fixed  $t \geq 0$ :

$$\frac{dQ_{t,r}}{dr} = -Q_{t,r} \text{Ric}_{//_{t,r}}^Z, \quad Q_{t,t} = \text{id}; \quad r \geq t$$

- (balanced gradient) For constants  $k_1 \leq k_2$  let

$$\bar{D}_t^{\prime\prime} F(X_{[0,T]}^x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{k_1+k_2}{2}(t_i-t)} //_{t,t_i}^{-1} \nabla_i f(X_{t_1}^x, \dots, X_{t_n}^x).$$

## Theorem (Path space characterization of pinched curvature)

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $k_1 \leq \text{Ric}^Z \leq k_2$ ;

(ii) (Gradient estimate) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ ,

$$|\nabla_x \mathbb{E} F(X_{[0,T]}^x)| \leq \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_0'' F| + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_0^T e^{-k_1 s} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F| ds;$$

## Theorem (Path space characterization of pinched curvature)

The following conditions are equivalent:

(i)  $k_1 \leq \text{Ric}^Z \leq k_2$ ;

(ii) (Gradient estimate) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$ ,

$$|\nabla_x \mathbb{E} F(X_{[0,T]}^x)| \leq \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_0'' F| + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_0^T e^{-k_1 s} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F| ds;$$

(iii) (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  and  $t_1 < t_2$  in  $[0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_2}] \log \mathbb{E}[F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_2}] \right] \\ & \quad - \mathbb{E} \left[ \mathbb{E}[F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \log \mathbb{E}[F^2(X_{[0,T]}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_1}] \right] \\ & \leq 2 \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left( 1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} ds \right) \\ & \quad \times \left( \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_t'' F|^2 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} \mathbb{E} |\bar{D}_s'' F|^2 ds \right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem (continuation)

(iv) (Poincaré type inequality) for  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  and  $t_1 < t_2$  in  $[0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]})|\mathcal{F}_{t_2}]^2\right] - \mathbb{E}\left[\mathbb{E}[F(X_{[0,T]})|\mathcal{F}_{t_1}]^2\right] \\ & \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \left(1 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} ds\right) \\ & \quad \times \left(\mathbb{E}|\bar{D}_t'' F|^2 + \frac{k_2 - k_1}{2} \int_t^T e^{-k_1(s-t)} \mathbb{E}|\bar{D}_s'' F|^2 ds\right) dt. \end{aligned}$$

The theorem allows to characterize

- Einstein manifolds ( $\text{Ric}$  is a multiple of the metric  $g$ )
- Ricci solitons ( $\text{Ric} + \text{Hess}f = c g$ )
- manifolds such that  $\text{Ric} = \nabla Z$
- etc



- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0, T]}) dt \right].$$

- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |D_t' F|^2(X_{[0, T]}) dt \right].$$

- The log-Sobolev inequality or Poincaré inequality on path space can be used to derive spectral gap-lower bounds for the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator defined as generator associated to the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt \right].$$

- The log-Sobolev inequality or Poincaré inequality on path space can be used to derive spectral gap-lower bounds for the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .
- It is well-known that a log-Sobolev inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2 \log F^2] - \mathbb{E}[F^2] \log \mathbb{E}[F^2] \leq 2 H(T, k_1, k_2) \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt$$

or a Poincaré inequality

$$\mathbb{E}[(F - \mathbb{E}[F])^2] \leq H(T, k_1, k_2) \int_0^T |D_t'' F|^2(X_{[0,T]}) dt$$

for some explicit bound  $H(T, k_1, k_2)$ , give the spectral gap lower bound  $H(T, k_1, k_2)^{-1}$  for the operator  $\mathcal{L}$ .

## IV. Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds

(cf. also F. Baudoin, Qi Feng, M. Gordina, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019))

Let again  $\nabla$  be a partial connection on  $H$ , extended as above to a compatible connection on  $M$ .

### Weitzenböck formula

- Consider the corresponding rough sub-Laplacian

$$L(\nabla) := \text{trace}_H \nabla^2$$

(on functions and 1-forms).

## IV. Analysis on path space over sub-Riemannian manifolds

(cf. also F. Baudoin, Qi Feng, M. Gordina, J. Funct. Anal. **277** (2019))

Let again  $\nabla$  be a partial connection on  $H$ , extended as above to a compatible connection on  $M$ .

### Weitzenböck formula

- Consider the corresponding rough sub-Laplacian

$$L(\nabla) := \text{trace}_H \nabla^2$$

(on functions and 1-forms).

- Would like to have a Weitzenböck type **commutation formula** of the form:

$$dLf = (L - \mathcal{R})df, \quad L = L(\nabla),$$

where  $\mathcal{R} \in \Gamma(\text{End}(T^*M))$ .

- Let  $\hat{\nabla}$  be the **adjoint connection** to  $\nabla$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- Let  $\hat{\nabla}$  be the **adjoint connection** to  $\nabla$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- **Proposition** Let  $L$  be a rough sub-Laplacian of a connection on  $M$ . There exists a vector bundle endomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$$

such that

$$(L - \mathcal{R})df = dLf, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

if and only if  $L = L(\hat{\nabla})$  for some adjoint  $\hat{\nabla}$  of a connection  $\nabla$  that is compatible with  $(H, g_H)$ .

- Let  $\hat{\nabla}$  be the **adjoint connection** to  $\nabla$ , i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \mathbf{T}(X, Y).$$

- Proposition** Let  $L$  be a rough sub-Laplacian of a connection on  $M$ . There exists a vector bundle endomorphism

$$\mathcal{R} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$$

such that

$$(L - \mathcal{R})df = dLf, \quad f \in C^\infty(M),$$

if and only if  $L = L(\hat{\nabla})$  for some adjoint  $\hat{\nabla}$  of a connection  $\nabla$  that is compatible with  $(H, g_H)$ .

- In this case,

$$\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$$

where for  $(\alpha, \nu) \in T^*M \oplus TM$ ,

$$\text{Ric}^\nabla(\alpha)(\nu) = \text{trace}_H R^\nabla(\cdot, \nu)\alpha(\cdot)$$



- **Proposition** (Weitzenböck formula)

Then, for all  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$(L(\hat{\nabla}) - \mathcal{R})df = dL(\hat{\nabla})f = dL(\nabla)f = d\Delta^H f$$

## Derivative formula

- Define  $\hat{Q}_t = \hat{Q}_t(x) \in \text{End}(T_x M)$  by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{Q}_t = -\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\Pi}_t} \hat{Q}_t, \quad \hat{Q}_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M},$$

where  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\Pi}_t} = \hat{\Pi}_t^{-1} \mathcal{R} \hat{\Pi}_t$ .

## Derivative formula

- Define  $\hat{Q}_t = \hat{Q}_t(x) \in \text{End}(T_x M)$  by

$$\frac{d}{dt} \hat{Q}_t = -\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\mathbb{I}}_t} \hat{Q}_t, \quad \hat{Q}_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M},$$

where  $\mathcal{R} = \text{Ric}^\nabla$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{\hat{\mathbb{I}}_t} = \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t^{-1} \mathcal{R} \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t$ .

- (Derivative formula) For  $P_t = e^{t\Delta_H}$  and  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ , we have

$$dP_t f(x) = \mathbb{E}[\hat{Q}_t^* \hat{\mathbb{I}}_t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}]$$

## Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let  $(M, H, g_H)$  be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection  $\nabla$  and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ .

## Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let  $(M, H, g_H)$  be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection  $\nabla$  and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ .

- Assume that there is a decomposition  $TM = H \oplus V$  such that
  - Ⓐ both  $H$  and  $V$  are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$
  - Ⓑ  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
  - Ⓒ  $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$ .

## Integration by parts on path space over a sub-Riemannian manifold

- Let  $(M, H, g_H)$  be a sub-Riemannian manifold equipped with a compatible connection  $\nabla$  and let

$$L = \text{trace}_H \nabla_{x,x}^2$$

be defined as the trace of the Hessian  $\nabla^2$  over  $H$  with respect to the inner product  $g_H$ .

- Assume that there is a decomposition  $TM = H \oplus V$  such that
  - a both  $H$  and  $V$  are parallel with respect to  $\nabla$
  - b  $\mathbf{T}(H, H) \subseteq V$
  - c  $\mathbf{T}(H, V) = 0$ .

No choice of a Riemannian metric  $g$  on  $M$  satisfying  $g|_H = g_H$  is required.

Assume again that the complement  $V$  metric preserving.

- Let  $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$  be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator  $L$  such that  $X_0(x) = x$  and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that  $B_t^x$  is a standard Brownian motion in  $H_x$ .

- Let  $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$  be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator  $L$  such that  $X_0(x) = x$  and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that  $B_t^x$  is a standard Brownian motion in  $H_x$ .

- (Cameron-Martin space) Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ h: [0, T] \rightarrow H_x \text{ abs. cont. } \left| \int_0^T |\dot{h}(t)|_{g_H}^2 dt < \infty \right. \right\}$$

which becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(t), \dot{h}_2(t) \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$



- Let  $X_t(x) \equiv X_t^x$  be the sub-Riemannian Brownian motion with generator  $L$  such that  $X_0(x) = x$  and

$$dB_t^x = //_t^{-1} \circ dX_t(x), \quad B_0 = 0 \in H_x$$

Recall that  $B_t^x$  is a standard Brownian motion in  $H_x$ .

- (Cameron-Martin space) Let

$$\mathbb{H} = \left\{ h: [0, T] \rightarrow H_x \text{ abs. cont.} \mid \int_0^T |\dot{h}(t)|_{g_H}^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

which becomes a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle h_1, h_2 \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_1(t), \dot{h}_2(t) \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$

As usual, we write  $\langle h, B^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^t \langle \dot{h}_s, dB_s^x \rangle_{g_H}$ .

## Derivatives on path space of sub-Riemannian manifolds

- For fixed  $T > 0$ , let  $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on  $W^T$ .

## Derivatives on path space of sub-Riemannian manifolds

- For fixed  $T > 0$ , let  $W^T = C([0, T]; M)$  and

$$\mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty = \left\{ W^T \ni \gamma \mapsto f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}) : \right. \\ \left. 0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq T, f \in C_c^\infty(M^n) \right\}$$

be the class of smooth cylindrical functions on  $W^T$ .

- Let the operator  $A_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$  be given by

$$A_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_t}(\circ dB_t^X, \cdot)$$

(Note that  $A_t(H_x) \subseteq V_x$  and  $A_t(V_x) = 0$ )

- For an adapted process  $h$  with paths in  $\mathbb{H}$  let

$$\begin{aligned} S(h)_t &= h_t + \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s}(\circ dB_s^X, h_s) \\ &= h_t + \int_0^t dA_s h_s = \int_0^t (\text{id} + A_t + A_s) dh_s. \end{aligned}$$

- (Derivative operator on path space) For  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$  and  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ , let

$$D_h F(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}), S(h)_{t_i} \rangle$$

- **(Derivative operator on path space)** For  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$  and  $h \in \mathbb{H}$ , let

$$D_h F(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^n \langle //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}), S(h)_{t_i} \rangle$$

- **Motivation** For any horizontal curve  $\gamma$  on  $M$  (starting from  $x$ ) with anti-development  $u = \text{Dev}^{-1}(\gamma)$  in  $H_x$ , we have that

$$\left\{ \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \Big|_{\varepsilon=0} \text{Dev}(u + \varepsilon k) : k \in \mathbb{H} \right\} = \{ D_h|_\gamma : h \in \mathbb{H} \}$$

where the vector field  $D_h$  on path space is defined by

$$D_h|_\gamma = //_t^{-1} \left( h_t + \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s} (du_s, h_s) \right) = //_t^{-1} \left( h_t + \int_0^t dA_s h_s \right)$$

with  $A_t = \int_0^t \mathbf{T}_{//_s} (du_s, h_s)$ .

- Defining  $D_t F \in H_x$  by

$$D_t F := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \#^H(\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n}),$$

we have

$$D_h F = \int_0^t \langle D_t F, \dot{h}_t \rangle_{g_H} dt.$$

- The *gradient*  $DF$  is then defined by the relation

$$\langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = D_h F$$

### Proposition (Integration by parts formula)

- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  and any adapted process  $h_t$  with paths in  $\mathbb{H}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle DF, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \mathbb{E}\left[F \int_0^T \langle \dot{h}_t + \text{Ric}_{//t} h_t, dB_t \rangle_{g_H}\right].$$

- In particular, for  $f \in C^\infty(M)$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\langle //t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}, S(h)_t \rangle\right] = \mathbb{E}\left[f(X_t(x)) \int_0^t \langle \dot{h}_s + \text{Ric}_{//s} h_s, dB_s \rangle_{g_H}\right].$$

## Damped gradients

- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ , define

$$\tilde{D}_t F(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \#^H // t^{-1} \hat{Q}_{t,t_i}^* // \hat{\Gamma}_{t,t_i}^{-1} df(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_h F = \langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \tilde{D}_t F dh_t$$



## Damped gradients

- For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  with  $F(\gamma) = f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$ , define

$$\tilde{D}_t F(\gamma) := \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} \# //_{t_i}^{-1} \hat{Q}_{t,t_i}^* //_{t,t_i}^{-1} d_i f(\gamma_{t_1}, \dots, \gamma_{t_n})$$

and

$$\tilde{D}_h F = \langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \int_0^T \tilde{D}_t F dh_t$$

- (Quasi-invariance) For adapted process  $h$  with paths in  $\mathbb{H}$  one has

$$\mathbb{E}_x[\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E} \left[ \frac{F(X_{[0,T]}^\varepsilon) - F(X_{[0,T]})}{\varepsilon} \right]$$

where

$$dX_t^\varepsilon = //_{t_i}^\varepsilon \circ dB_t + \varepsilon //_{t_i}^\varepsilon dh_t, \quad X_0^\varepsilon = x$$

- Let  $Q_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$  be the solution of

$$Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}, \quad dQ_t = -\text{Ric}_{//t} Q_t dt$$

- Let  $Q_t : T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$  be the solution of

$$Q_0 = \text{id}_{T_x M}, \quad dQ_t = -\text{Ric}_{//t} Q_t dt$$

- For any adapted process  $h_t$  with paths in  $\mathbb{H}$ , we then have

$$\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \langle DF, k \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}, \quad k_t = Q_t \int_0^t Q_s^{-1} dh_s$$

and hence

$$\mathbb{E}[\langle \tilde{D}F, h \rangle_{\mathbb{H}}] = \mathbb{E} \left[ F \int_0^T \langle h, B^x \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} \right]$$

## V. Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

- (Derivative formula on path space)

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$D_t \mathbb{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{D}_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

## V. Ricci curvature bounds in sub-Riemannian geometry

- (Derivative formula on path space)

For  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_{0,T}^\infty$  and  $t > 0$ , we have

$$D_t \mathbb{E}[F | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbb{E}[\tilde{D}_t F | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

- (Semigroup derivative formula)

$$dP_t f(v) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left\langle \mathbb{I}_t^{-1} df_{X_t(x)}, Q_t v + \int_0^t dA_r Q_r v \right\rangle \right], \quad v \in T_x M.$$

## Theorem (Characterization of horizontal Ricci curvature)

Assume that  $V$  is metric preserving. For a non-negative constant  $K$  the following conditions are equivalent:

- 1 (Bounded Ricci curvature) the horizontal Ricci curvature  $\text{Ric}^H = \text{Ric}|_H \in \text{End}(H)$  is bounded by  $K$ , i.e.

$$-K \leq \text{Ric}^H \leq K$$

- 2 (Gradient estimate) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_0^\infty$ ,

$$|D_0 \mathbb{E}_x[F]|_{g_H} \leq \mathbb{E}_x \left[ |D_0 F|_{g_H} + K \int_0^T e^{Ks} |D_s F|_{g_H} ds \right]$$

- 3 ( $L^2$  gradient estimate) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F} C_0^\infty$ ,

$$|D_0 \mathbb{E}_x[F]|_{g_H}^2 \leq e^{-KT} \mathbb{E}_x \left[ |D_0 F|_{g_H}^2 + K \int_0^T e^{Ks} |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right]$$

## Theorem (continuation)

- iii (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$  and  $t > 0$  in  $[0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \mathbb{E}_x [F^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \log \mathbb{E}_x [F^2 | \mathcal{F}_t] \right] - \mathbb{E}_x [F^2] \log \mathbb{E}_x [F^2] \\ & \leq 2 \int_0^t e^{K(T-r)} \left( \mathbb{E}_x |D_r F|_{g_H}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \int_r^T e^{K(s-r)} \mathbb{E}_x |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right) dr; \end{aligned}$$

- iv (Poincaré inequality) for any  $F \in \mathcal{F}C_0^\infty$  and  $t > 0$  in  $[0, T]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E}_x \left[ \mathbb{E}_x [F | \mathcal{F}_t]^2 \right] - \mathbb{E}_x [F]^2 \\ & \leq \int_0^t e^{K(T-r)} \left( \mathbb{E}_x |D_r F|_{g_H}^2 + \frac{K}{2} \int_r^T e^{K(s-r)} \mathbb{E}_x |D_s F|_{g_H}^2 ds \right) dr. \end{aligned}$$

- For non-symmetric bounds, i.e.  $K_1 \leq \text{Ric}^H \leq K_2$ , one can give similar equivalent conditions redefining  $\bar{D}_t F$  by

$$\bar{D}_t F = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{K_1 + K_2}{2}(t_i - t)} \#^H (\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} d_i F$$

- (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator)

For  $F, G \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  let

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \mathbb{E} \langle DF, DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \langle D_t F, D_t G \rangle_{g_H} dt \right].$$

Integration by parts formula implies the closability of the form.



- For non-symmetric bounds, i.e.  $K_1 \leq \text{Ric}^H \leq K_2$ , one can give similar equivalent conditions redefining  $\bar{D}_t F$  by

$$\bar{D}_t F = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\{t \leq t_i\}} e^{-\frac{K_1+K_2}{2}(t_i-t)} \#^H (\text{id} + A_{t_i} - A_t)^* //_{t_i}^{-1} d_i F$$

- (Ornstein-Uhlenbeck operator)

For  $F, G \in \mathcal{F} C_{0,T}^\infty$  let

$$\mathcal{E}(F, G) = \mathbb{E} \langle DF, DG \rangle_{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T \langle D_t F, D_t G \rangle_{g_H} dt \right].$$

Integration by parts formula implies the closability of the form.

- Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be the generator of the the Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}(F, F) = \mathbb{E} \left[ \int_0^T |D_t F|_{g_H}^2 dt \right].$$

Let  $\text{gap}(\mathcal{L})$  denote its spectral gap.

**Theorem** Suppose there exists a constant  $K \geq 0$  such that

$$|\text{Ric}^H| \leq K.$$

Then

(i) (Poincaré inequality) for any  $F \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\mathbb{E}[F] = 0$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2] \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{KT} + 1) \mathcal{E}(F, F)$$

(ii) (Log-Sobolev inequality) for any  $F \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{E})$  with  $\mathbb{E}[F^2] = 1$ ,

$$\mathbb{E}[F^2 \log F^2] \leq (e^{KT} + 1) \mathcal{E}(F, F)$$

(iii) (Spectral gap estimate) the following estimate holds:

$$\text{gap}(\mathcal{L})^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{2}(e^{KT} + 1)$$