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## Jacobi operators

Suppose that $J$ is a bounded selfadjoint operator on $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$. If $J$ has a cyclic vector $\psi$, that is,

$$
\left\{J^{n} \psi\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \text { is dense in } \ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})
$$

then there is an appropriate basis such that $J$ is represented by a matrix of the form
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\end{array}\right)
$$

with real entries in the diagonal and positive entries above/below.
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J=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
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a_{1} & b_{2} & a_{2} & & \\
& a_{2} & b_{3} & a_{3} & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
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with real entries in the diagonal and positive entries above/below. Moreover, there is a probability measure $d \mu$ on $\sigma(J)$ so that $J$ is unitarily equivalent to the operator of multiplication by the identity function in the Hilbert space $L^{2}(\mathbb{R}, d \mu)$.
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are orthonormal with respect to the measure $d \mu$, that is,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_{n}(x) P_{m}(x) d \mu(x)=\delta_{n, m}
$$

Fact: There is a one-one correspondence between bounded Jacobi parameters $\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and nontrivial probability measures $d \mu$ on $\mathbb{R}$ with compact support.

In spectral theory, one seeks to relate properties of the Jacobi parameters to properties of the measure of orthogonality, and vice versa.
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To be even more specific, $d \mu / d t=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m_{\mu}(t+i 0)$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mu(\{t\})=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{lm} m_{\mu}(t+i \varepsilon)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.
Hence, isolated mass points of $d \mu$ are poles of the $m$-function.
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## Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$, we have $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(J)=[-2,2]$.
And if $\Sigma_{\text {ac }}(J)=\sigma_{\text {ess }}(J)=[-2,2]$, then $a_{n} \rightarrow 1$ and $b_{n} \rightarrow 0$.
Level II: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets)
When the Jacobi parameters are periodic sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.
But a general finite gap set leads to quasi-periodic parameters.
Level III: Infinite gap sets
Almost periodic parameters tend to produce Cantor spectrum. In this talk, we focus on inverse spectral theory for a certain class of infinite gap sets.
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$$

where $\bigcup_{j}$ is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals.
A classical example is the Cantor set $\mathcal{C}\left(\varepsilon_{1}, \varepsilon_{2}, \ldots\right)$ with

$$
0 \leq \varepsilon_{i}<1 \text { for all } i .
$$

[ Remove the middle $\varepsilon_{1}$ part of $[0,1]$, the middle $\varepsilon_{2}$ part of the two remaining intervals, etc ]
This set has Lebesgue measure zero if and only if $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}=\infty$.
When $\sum_{i} \varepsilon_{i}<\infty$, the set is homogeneous in the sense of Carleson.
By definition, this means there is an $\varepsilon>0$ so that

$$
|(t-\delta, t+\delta) \cap \mathrm{E}| \geq \delta \varepsilon \text { for all } t \in \mathrm{E} \text { and all } \delta<\operatorname{diam}(\mathrm{E})
$$
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Let $g$ be the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash E$ with pole at $\infty$ and recall that
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While $g$ vanishes on E , it is concave on $\left(\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right)$ for each $j$. So there is precisely one critical point $c_{j}$ per gap in $E$.

Defn. We say that E is a Parreau-Widom set if

$$
\sum_{j} g\left(c_{j}\right)<\infty
$$

## Comb-like domains

 E

## Comb-like domains



## Comb-like domains



$$
\sup _{j}\left\{\frac{g\left(c_{j}\right)}{\left|v_{j}-v\right|}\right\}<\infty \text { for a.e. } v \in(0, \pi) \text {. }
$$

## Comb-like domains



$$
\sup _{j}\left\{\frac{g\left(c_{j}\right)}{\left|v_{j}-v\right|}\right\}<\infty \text { for a.e. } v \in(0, \pi) \text {. }
$$

This is always the case when $\sum_{j} g\left(c_{j}\right)<\infty$ (i.e., E is PW).
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Equivalently,

$$
\left(a_{n}^{\prime}\right)^{2} m_{n}^{+}(t+i 0) \overline{m_{n}^{-}(t+i 0)}=1 \text { for a.e. } t \in \mathrm{E} \text { and all } n
$$

where $m_{n}^{+}$is the $m$-function for $J_{n}^{+}=\left\{a_{n+k}^{\prime}, b_{n+k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $m_{n}^{-}$the $m$-function for $J_{n}^{-}=\left\{a_{n-k}^{\prime}, b_{n+1-k}^{\prime}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.
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By compactness, any bounded $J=\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has accumulation points when the coefficients are shifted to the left.

Such two-sided limit points are also called right limits of $J$.
Let $\mathrm{E} \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and assume that $|\mathrm{E}|>0$.
If $\sigma_{\text {ess }}(J)=\mathrm{E}$ and the spectral measure $d \rho=f(t) d t+d \rho_{\mathrm{s}}$ of $J$ obeys

$$
f(t)>0 \text { for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{E}
$$

then any right limit of $J$ belongs to $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{E}}$. [Ann. of Math. 2011]
The theorem says that the left-shifts of $J$ approach $\mathcal{T}_{E}$ as a set. Hence, $\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{E}}$ is the natural limiting object associated with $E$.
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We shall equip $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{E}}$ with the product topology.
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$$
G(x)=\frac{-1}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)}} \prod_{j} \frac{x-y_{j}}{\sqrt{\left(x-\alpha_{j}\right)\left(x-\beta_{j}\right)}},
$$

where $y_{j} \in\left[\alpha_{j}, \beta_{j}\right]$ for each $j$.
Using the relation

$$
\left(a_{0}^{\prime}\right)^{2} m^{+}(x)-1 / m^{-}(x)=-1 / G(x)
$$
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- the absolutely continuous part of $d \mu$ obeys the Szegó condition

$$
\int_{\mathbf{E}} \log f(t) d \mu_{\mathbf{E}}(t)>-\infty,
$$

- the isolated mass points of $d \mu$ in $\mathbb{R} \backslash E$ satisfy the Blaschke condition
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$$

Q: What can we say about $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ when $J \in \operatorname{Sz}(E)$ ?
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If $J=\left\{a_{n}, b_{n}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to the Szegő class for $E$ then there is a unique $J^{\prime}=\left\{a_{n}^{\prime}, b_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{T}_{E}$ such that

$$
\left|a_{n}-a_{n}^{\prime}\right|+\left|b_{n}-b_{n}^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow 0
$$

Consequently, $a_{n}$ and $b_{n}$ are asymptotically almost periodic.
Moreover, if $d \mu^{\prime}$ is the spectral measure of $J^{\prime}$ restricted to $\ell^{2}(\mathbb{N})$, then

$$
P_{n}(x, d \mu) / P_{n}\left(x, d \mu^{\prime}\right)
$$

has a limit for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \backslash \mathbb{R}$.
Hence, $\Pi\left(a_{n} / a_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\sum\left(b_{n}-b_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ converge conditionally.
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Both conjectures are true when E is an interval. But only the first conjecture (aka the generalized Nevai conjecture) has been settled for general finite gap sets.

In this talk, I shall merely focus on the first conjecture.
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Assume for now that we can prove the latter (to be discussed a little later).
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When E is a fat Cantor set, we have $g \in \operatorname{Lip} 1 / 2$ (thm of Totik). The series may be convergent for some $p<1$, but not all.

When E is homogeneous, we have $g \in \operatorname{Lip} \gamma$ for some $\gamma \leq 1 / 2$.
But even in the simple case $\alpha_{j}=1 / 2^{j}$ and $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{j}+1 / 2^{j+1}$, one can show that $\gamma<1 / 2$.
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where $f^{*}$ is the a.c. part of a suitable reference measure.
The bound should be independent of $f_{J^{+}}\left(\right.$as $J^{\prime}$ varies on $\left.\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{E}}\right)$.
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When E is a finite gap set, this choice of $f^{*}$ vanishes like a square root at the band edges.
And the $f_{J^{+}}$coming from an element on the isospectral torus either vanishes like $\sqrt{ }$ or blows up like $1 / \sqrt{ }$.

Q: Is $f_{\mathrm{E}}(t) f_{J^{+}}(t) \geq C>0$ uniformly for $t \in \mathrm{E}$ and all $J^{\prime} \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{E}}$ ?
We believe this is true for fat Cantor sets, but do not have a rigorous proof.

However, it seems to fail for the simple homogeneous set given by $\alpha_{j}=1 / 2^{j}$ and $\beta_{j}=\alpha_{j}+1 / 2^{j+1}$.

## Merci beaucoup pour votre attention!
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