

Orthogonal polynomials on infinite gap sets

Jacob Stordal Christiansen

Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Lund University

Spectral theory and its applications University of Bordeaux, Oct 8–10, 2014





Introduction

- Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials



Introduction

- Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials

Spectral theory

— Jacobi parameters \rightsquigarrow spectral measure



Introduction

- Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials

Spectral theory

— Jacobi parameters <> spectral measure

Infinite gap sets

— of Parreau–Widom type



Introduction

- Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials

Spectral theory

— Jacobi parameters 🖘 spectral measure

Infinite gap sets

— of Parreau–Widom type

The isospectral torus

- Remling's theorem



Introduction

- Jacobi matrices and orthogonal polynomials

Spectral theory

— Jacobi parameters 🖘 spectral measure

Infinite gap sets

— of Parreau–Widom type

The isospectral torus

- Remling's theorem
- Szegő class theory
 - and discussion of two conjectures



Jacobi operators

Suppose that J is a bounded selfadjoint operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$. If J has a cyclic vector ψ , that is,

$$\{J^n\psi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
 is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$,

then there is an appropriate basis such that J is represented by a matrix of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & & \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \\ & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \end{pmatrix}$$

with real entries in the diagonal and positive entries above/below.



Jacobi operators

Suppose that J is a bounded selfadjoint operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}).$ If J has a cyclic vector $\psi,$ that is,

$$\{J^n\psi\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$$
 is dense in $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$,

then there is an appropriate basis such that J is represented by a matrix of the form

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 & a_1 & & \\ a_1 & b_2 & a_2 & \\ & a_2 & b_3 & a_3 & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

with real entries in the diagonal and positive entries above/below.

Moreover, there is a probability measure $d\mu$ on $\sigma(J)$ so that J is unitarily equivalent to the operator of multiplication by the identity function in the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{R}, d\mu)$.



Jacobi matrices are intimately related to orthogonal polynomials.



Jacobi matrices are intimately related to orthogonal polynomials.

The polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ generated by the three-term recurrence relation

$$P_0(x) = 1, \quad a_1 P_1(x) = x - b_1,$$
$$x P_n(x) = a_{n+1} P_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+1} P_n(x) + a_n P_{n-1}(x),$$

are orthonormal with respect to the measure $d\mu$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x) P_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = \delta_{n,m}$$

n > 1



Jacobi matrices are intimately related to orthogonal polynomials.

The polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ generated by the three-term recurrence relation

$$P_0(x) = 1$$
, $a_1 P_1(x) = x - b_1$,

$$xP_n(x) = a_{n+1}P_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+1}P_n(x) + a_nP_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 1$$

are orthonormal with respect to the measure $d\mu$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x) P_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = \delta_{n,m}$$

Fact: There is a one-one correspondence between bounded Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and nontrivial probability measures $d\mu$ on \mathbb{R} with compact support.



Jacobi matrices are intimately related to orthogonal polynomials.

The polynomials $\{P_n\}_{n\geq 0}$ generated by the three-term recurrence relation

$$P_0(x) = 1$$
, $a_1 P_1(x) = x - b_1$,

$$xP_n(x) = a_{n+1}P_{n+1}(x) + b_{n+1}P_n(x) + a_nP_{n-1}(x), \quad n > 1$$

are orthonormal with respect to the measure $d\mu$, that is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} P_n(x) P_m(x) \, d\mu(x) = \delta_{n,m}$$

Fact: There is a one-one correspondence between bounded Jacobi parameters $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and nontrivial probability measures $d\mu$ on \mathbb{R} with compact support.

In spectral theory, one seeks to relate properties of the Jacobi parameters to properties of the measure of orthogonality, and vice versa.



A key role is played by the m-function defined by

$$m(x) \coloneqq m_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \operatorname{supp}(d\mu).$$



A key role is played by the m-function defined by

$$m(x) \coloneqq m_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{supp}(d\mu).$$

This analytic function is a Pick function (i.e., Im m(x) > 0 for Im x > 0) and we have

$$m(x) = -1/x + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})$$
 near ∞ .



A key role is played by the *m*-function defined by

$$m(x) \coloneqq m_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \operatorname{supp}(d\mu).$$

This analytic function is a Pick function (i.e., Im m(x) > 0 for Im x > 0) and we have

$$m(x) = -1/x + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})$$
 near ∞ .

The boundary values $m(t + i0) := \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} m(t + i\varepsilon)$ exist for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \lim m_{\mu}(t + i\varepsilon) dt \xrightarrow{w} d\mu$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.



A key role is played by the *m*-function defined by

$$m(x) \coloneqq m_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{supp}(d\mu).$$

This analytic function is a Pick function (i.e., Im m(x) > 0 for Im x > 0) and we have

$$m(x) = -1/x + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})$$
 near ∞ .

The boundary values $m(t + i0) \coloneqq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} m(t + i\varepsilon)$ exist for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \lim m_{\mu}(t + i\varepsilon) dt \xrightarrow{w} d\mu$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

To be even more specific, $d\mu/dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m_{\mu}(t+i0)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} and $\mu(\{t\}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} m_{\mu}(t+i\varepsilon)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.



A key role is played by the *m*-function defined by

$$m(x) \coloneqq m_{\mu}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{d\mu(t)}{t-x}, \quad x \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{supp}(d\mu).$$

This analytic function is a Pick function (i.e., Im m(x) > 0 for Im x > 0) and we have

$$m(x) = -1/x + \mathcal{O}(x^{-2})$$
 near ∞ .

The boundary values $m(t + i0) \coloneqq \lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} m(t + i\varepsilon)$ exist for a.e. $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{\pi} \lim m_{\mu}(t + i\varepsilon) dt \xrightarrow{w} d\mu$ as $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$.

To be even more specific, $d\mu/dt = \frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Im} m_{\mu}(t+i0)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R} and $\mu(\{t\}) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} \varepsilon \operatorname{Im} m_{\mu}(t+i\varepsilon)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, isolated mass points of $d\mu$ are poles of the *m*-function.



Level I: A single compact interval



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \rightarrow 1$ and $b_n \rightarrow 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$.



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$. And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.



Level I: A single compact interval

When
$$a_n \to 1$$
 and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$.
And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

Level II: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets)



Level I: A single compact interval

When
$$a_n \to 1$$
 and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$.
And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

<u>Level II</u>: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets) When the Jacobi parameters are *periodic* sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$. And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

<u>Level II</u>: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets) When the Jacobi parameters are *periodic* sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.

But a general finite gap set leads to quasi-periodic parameters.



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$. And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

<u>Level II</u>: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets) When the Jacobi parameters are *periodic* sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.

But a general finite gap set leads to quasi-periodic parameters.

Level III: Infinite gap sets



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$. And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

<u>Level II</u>: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets) When the Jacobi parameters are *periodic* sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.

But a general finite gap set leads to quasi-periodic parameters.

Level III: Infinite gap sets

Almost periodic parameters tend to produce Cantor spectrum.



Level I: A single compact interval

When $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$, we have $\sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$. And if $\Sigma_{ac}(J) = \sigma_{ess}(J) = [-2, 2]$, then $a_n \to 1$ and $b_n \to 0$.

<u>Level II</u>: A finite union of compact intervals (or finite gap sets) When the Jacobi parameters are *periodic* sequences, the spectrum is a finite gap set.

But a general finite gap set leads to quasi-periodic parameters.

Level III: Infinite gap sets

Almost periodic parameters tend to produce Cantor spectrum. In this talk, we focus on inverse spectral theory for a certain class of infinite gap sets.



In this talk, we consider infinite gap sets of the form

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j),$$

where \bigcup_i is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals.



In this talk, we consider infinite gap sets of the form

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j),$$

where \bigcup_j is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals. A classical example is the Cantor set $C(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ...)$ with

 $0 \le \varepsilon_i < 1$ for all *i*.

Remove the middle ε_1 part of [0,1], the middle ε_2 part of the two remaining intervals, etc



In this talk, we consider infinite gap sets of the form

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j),$$

where \bigcup_j is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals. A classical example is the Cantor set $C(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ...)$ with

$$0 \le \varepsilon_i < 1$$
 for all *i*.

[Remove the middle ε_1 part of [0, 1], the middle ε_2 part of the two remaining intervals, etc.] This set has Lebesgue measure zero if and only if $\sum_i \varepsilon_i = \infty$.



In this talk, we consider infinite gap sets of the form

 $\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j),$

where \bigcup_j is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals. A classical example is the Cantor set $C(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ...)$ with

 $0 \le \varepsilon_i < 1$ for all *i*.

[Remove the middle ε_1 part of [0,1], the middle ε_2 part of the two remaining intervals, etc.] This set has Lebesgue measure zero if and only if $\sum_i \varepsilon_i = \infty$. When $\sum_i \varepsilon_i < \infty$, the set is *homogeneous* in the sense of Carleson.



In this talk, we consider infinite gap sets of the form

 $\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j),$

where \bigcup_j is a countable union of disjoint open subintervals. A classical example is the Cantor set $C(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, ...)$ with

 $0 \le \varepsilon_i < 1$ for all *i*.

[Remove the middle ε_1 part of [0,1], the middle ε_2 part of the two remaining intervals, etc.] This set has Lebesgue measure zero if and only if $\sum_i \varepsilon_i = \infty$. When $\sum_i \varepsilon_i < \infty$, the set is *homogeneous* in the sense of Carleson. By definition, this means there is an $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $|(t - \delta, t + \delta) \cap \mathbf{E}| \ge \delta \varepsilon$ for all $t \in \mathbf{E}$ and all $\delta < \operatorname{diam}(\mathbf{E})$.



A more general class of infinite gap sets is defined as follows.



A more general class of infinite gap sets is defined as follows.

Let g be the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ with pole at ∞ and recall that

$$g(x) = \int \log |t-x| d\mu_{\mathsf{E}}(t) - \log(\mathsf{Cap}(\mathsf{E})).$$

Here, $d\mu_{\rm E}$ is the equilibrium measure of E and Cap denotes the logarithmic capacity.



A more general class of infinite gap sets is defined as follows.

Let g be the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ with pole at ∞ and recall that

$$g(x) = \int \log |t-x| d\mu_{\mathsf{E}}(t) - \log(\mathsf{Cap}(\mathsf{E})).$$

Here, $d\mu_{\rm E}$ is the equilibrium measure of E and Cap denotes the logarithmic capacity.

While g vanishes on E, it is concave on (α_j, β_j) for each j. So there is precisely one critical point c_j per gap in E.





A more general class of infinite gap sets is defined as follows.

Let g be the Green's function for $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus E$ with pole at ∞ and recall that

$$g(x) = \int \log |t-x| d\mu_{\mathsf{E}}(t) - \log(\mathsf{Cap}(\mathsf{E})).$$

Here, $d\mu_{\rm E}$ is the equilibrium measure of E and Cap denotes the logarithmic capacity.

While g vanishes on E, it is concave on (α_j, β_j) for each j. So there is precisely one critical point c_j per gap in E.

Defn. We say that E is a Parreau-Widom set if

$$\sum_{j} g(c_j) < \infty$$


Comb-like domains













The isospectral torus

We denote by \mathcal{T}_{E} the set of all two-sided matrices $J' = \{a'_{n}, b'_{n}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ that are *reflectionless* on E and for which $\sigma(J') = E$.



The isospectral torus

We denote by \mathcal{T}_{E} the set of all two-sided matrices $J' = \{a'_{n}, b'_{n}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ that are *reflectionless* on E and for which $\sigma(J') = E$.

The term reflectionless means that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\delta_n, (J' - (t + i0))^{-1}\delta_n) = 0$$
 for a.e. $t \in E$ and all n .



The isospectral torus

We denote by \mathcal{T}_{E} the set of all two-sided matrices $J' = \{a'_{n}, b'_{n}\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ that are *reflectionless* on E and for which $\sigma(J') = E$.

The term reflectionless means that

$$\operatorname{Re}(\delta_n, (J' - (t + i0))^{-1}\delta_n) = 0$$
 for a.e. $t \in E$ and all n

$$J' = \begin{pmatrix} \ddots & \ddots & | \\ \ddots & b'_{n-1} & a'_{n-1} & | \\ -\frac{a'_{n-1}}{---} & \frac{b'_n}{---} & | & a'_n \\ -\frac{a'_{n-1}}{---} & \frac{b'_{n+1}}{----} & -\frac{a'_n}{----} \\ & | & a'_{n+1} & b'_{n+2} & \ddots \\ & & | & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Equivalently,

 $(a'_n)^2 m_n^+(t+i0) \overline{m_n^-(t+i0)} = 1$ for a.e. $t \in \mathbf{E}$ and all n,

where m_n^+ is the *m*-function for $J_n^+ = \{a'_{n+k}, b'_{n+k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and m_n^- the *m*-function for $J_n^- = \{a'_{n-k}, b'_{n+1-k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$.



By compactness, any bounded $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has accumulation points when the coefficients are shifted to the left.

Such two-sided limit points are also called *right limits* of J.



By compactness, any bounded $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has accumulation points when the coefficients are shifted to the left.

Such two-sided limit points are also called *right limits* of J.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and assume that |E| > 0. If $\sigma_{ess}(J) = E$ and the spectral measure $d\rho = f(t)dt + d\rho_s$ of J obeys f(t) > 0 for a.e. $x \in E$,

then any right limit of J belongs to \mathcal{T}_{E} . [Ann. of Math. 2011]



By compactness, any bounded $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has accumulation points when the coefficients are shifted to the left.

Such two-sided limit points are also called *right limits* of J.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and assume that |E| > 0. If $\sigma_{ess}(J) = E$ and the spectral measure $d\rho = f(t)dt + d\rho_s$ of J obeys f(t) > 0 for a.e. $x \in E$,

then any right limit of J belongs to \mathcal{T}_{E} . [Ann. of Math. 2011]

The theorem says that the left-shifts of J approach T_E as a set.



By compactness, any bounded $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ has accumulation points when the coefficients are shifted to the left.

Such two-sided limit points are also called *right limits* of J.

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a compact set and assume that |E| > 0. If $\sigma_{ess}(J) = E$ and the spectral measure $d\rho = f(t)dt + d\rho_s$ of J obeys f(t) > 0 for a.e. $x \in E$,

then any right limit of J belongs to \mathcal{T}_{E} . [Ann. of Math. 2011]

The theorem says that the left-shifts of J approach T_E as a set. Hence, T_E is the natural limiting object associated with E.



Recall that

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$



Recall that

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$

As described below, there is a natural way to introduce a torus of dimension equal to the number of gaps in E.



Recall that

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$

As described below, there is a natural way to introduce a torus of dimension equal to the number of gaps in E.

The set \mathcal{D}_{E} of *divisors* consists of all formal sums

$$D = \sum_j (y_j, \pm), \quad y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j],$$

where $(y_j, +)$ and $(y_j, -)$ are identified when y_j is equal to α_j or β_j .



Recall that

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$

As described below, there is a natural way to introduce a torus of dimension equal to the number of gaps in E.

The set \mathcal{D}_{E} of *divisors* consists of all formal sums

$$D = \sum_{j} (y_j, \pm), \quad y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j],$$

where $(y_j, +)$ and $(y_j, -)$ are identified when y_j is equal to α_j or β_j .





Recall that

$$\mathsf{E} = [\alpha, \beta] \setminus \bigcup_j (\alpha_j, \beta_j).$$

As described below, there is a natural way to introduce a torus of dimension equal to the number of gaps in E.

The set \mathcal{D}_E of *divisors* consists of all formal sums

$$D = \sum_{j} (y_j, \pm), \quad y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j],$$

where $(y_j, +)$ and $(y_j, -)$ are identified when y_j is equal to α_j or β_j .



We shall equip \mathcal{D}_E with the product topology.



When $J' \in \mathcal{T}_E$, we know that $G(x) \coloneqq \langle \delta_0, (J'-x)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ and has purely imaginary boundary values a.e. on E.



When $J' \in \mathcal{T}_E$, we know that $G(x) \coloneqq \langle \delta_0, (J'-x)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ and has purely imaginary boundary values a.e. on E.

Such a Pick function admits a representation of the form

$$G(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)}} \prod_{j} \frac{x-y_j}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha_j)(x-\beta_j)}},$$

where $y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ for each j.



When $J' \in \mathcal{T}_E$, we know that $G(x) \coloneqq \langle \delta_0, (J'-x)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ and has purely imaginary boundary values a.e. on E.

Such a Pick function admits a representation of the form

$$G(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)}} \prod_{j} \frac{x-y_j}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha_j)(x-\beta_j)}},$$

where $y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ for each j.

Using the relation

$$(a'_0)^2 m^+(x) - 1/m^-(x) = -1/G(x),$$

it follows that every $y_j \in (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ is a pole of either m^+ or $1/m^-$.



When $J' \in \mathcal{T}_E$, we know that $G(x) \coloneqq \langle \delta_0, (J'-x)^{-1} \delta_0 \rangle$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$ and has purely imaginary boundary values a.e. on E.

Such a Pick function admits a representation of the form

$$G(x) = \frac{-1}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha)(x-\beta)}} \prod_{j} \frac{x-y_j}{\sqrt{(x-\alpha_j)(x-\beta_j)}},$$

where $y_j \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ for each j.

Using the relation

$$(a'_0)^2 m^+(x) - 1/m^-(x) = -1/G(x),$$

it follows that every $y_j \in (\alpha_j, \beta_j)$ is a pole of either m^+ or $1/m^-$. As m^+ and $1/m^-$ have no common poles, this in turn allows us to define a map $\mathcal{T}_E \to \mathcal{D}_E$.



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.

<u>Defn.</u> A Jacobi matrix $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with spectral measure $d\mu = f(t)dt + d\mu_s$ belongs to the *Szegő class* for E if



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.

<u>Defn.</u> A Jacobi matrix $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with spectral measure $d\mu = f(t)dt + d\mu_s$ belongs to the *Szegő class* for E if

• the essential support of $d\mu$ is equal to E,



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.

<u>Defn.</u> A Jacobi matrix $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with spectral measure $d\mu = f(t)dt + d\mu_s$ belongs to the *Szegő class* for E if

- the essential support of $d\mu$ is equal to E,
- ${\scriptstyle f o}$ the absolutely continuous part of $d\mu$ obeys the Szegő condition

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} \log f(t) d\mu_{\mathbf{E}}(t) > -\infty,$$



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.

<u>Defn.</u> A Jacobi matrix $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with spectral measure $d\mu = f(t)dt + d\mu_s$ belongs to the *Szegő class* for E if

- the essential support of $d\mu$ is equal to E,
- the absolutely continuous part of $d\mu$ obeys the Szegő condition

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} \log f(t) d\mu_{\mathbf{E}}(t) > -\infty,$$

• the isolated mass points of $d\mu$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ satisfy the Blaschke condition

 $\sum_k g(x_k) < \infty.$



In what follows, let E be an arbitrary Parreau-Widom set.

<u>Defn.</u> A Jacobi matrix $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ with spectral measure $d\mu = f(t)dt + d\mu_s$ belongs to the *Szegő class* for E if

- the essential support of $d\mu$ is equal to E,
- the absolutely continuous part of $d\mu$ obeys the Szegő condition

$$\int_{\mathbf{E}} \log f(t) d\mu_{\mathbf{E}}(t) > -\infty,$$

• the isolated mass points of $d\mu$ in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ satisfy the Blaschke condition

 $\sum_k g(x_k) < \infty.$

Q: What can we say about a_n and b_n when $J \in Sz(E)$?



When J belongs to the Szegő class for E, we always have

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} < \infty.$$



When J belongs to the Szegő class for E, we always have

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} < \infty.$$

In fact, if we assume the Blaschke condition holds true, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0,$$

that is, the product $(a_1 \cdots a_n)/\operatorname{Cap}(E)^n$ does not converge to 0.



When J belongs to the Szegő class for E, we always have

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} < \infty.$$

In fact, if we assume the Blaschke condition holds true, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0,$$

that is, the product $(a_1 \cdots a_n)/\operatorname{Cap}(E)^n$ does not converge to 0.

This 'if and only if' statement is also called Szegő's theorem.



When J belongs to the Szegő class for E, we always have

$$0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{a_1 \cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathbf{E})^n} < \infty.$$

In fact, if we assume the Blaschke condition holds true, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0,$$

that is, the product $(a_1 \cdots a_n)/\operatorname{Cap}(E)^n$ does not converge to 0.

This 'if and only if' statement is also called Szegő's theorem. But can't we say more about a_n and what about b_n ?



Szegő asymptotics

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Parreau–Widom set and assume that the direct Cauchy theorem holds on $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus E.$



Szegő asymptotics

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Parreau–Widom set and assume that the direct Cauchy theorem holds on $\mathbb{C} \smallsetminus E$.

If $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to the Szegő class for E then there is a unique $J' = \{a'_n, b'_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{T}_E such that

$$|a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| \to 0.$$

Consequently, a_n and b_n are asymptotically almost periodic.



Szegő asymptotics

Let $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a Parreau–Widom set and assume that the direct Cauchy theorem holds on $\mathbb{C} \setminus E$.

If $J = \{a_n, b_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ belongs to the Szegő class for E then there is a unique $J' = \{a'_n, b'_n\}_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ in \mathcal{T}_E such that

$$|a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| \to 0.$$

Consequently, a_n and b_n are asymptotically almost periodic.

Moreover, if $d\mu'$ is the spectral measure of J' restricted to $\ell^2(\mathbb{N})$, then $P_{\mu}(\mu, d\mu) \neq P_{\mu}(\mu, d\mu')$

$$P_n(x,d\mu)/P_n(x,d\mu')$$

has a limit for all $x \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{R}$.

Hence, $\prod (a_n/a'_n)$ and $\sum (b_n - b'_n)$ converge conditionally.



Two conjectures

Our goal is to prove the following two conjectures:

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 1}}_{\text{belongs to Sz(E).}} \text{ If } \sum |a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| < \infty \text{ for some } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{E}}, \text{ then } J \text{ belongs to Sz(E).}$

 $\underbrace{\text{Conj. 2}}_{\text{a unique }J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}} \text{ If } J \text{ lies in } Sz(E), \text{ then } \sum (a_n - a'_n)^2 + (b_n - b'_n)^2 < \infty \text{ for } a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}.$



Two conjectures

Our goal is to prove the following two conjectures:

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 1}}_{\text{belongs to Sz}} |\text{If } \sum |a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| < \infty \text{ for some } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{E}}, \text{ then } J \text{ belongs to Sz}(\text{E}).$

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 2}}_{\text{a unique }J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{E}}. } \text{ If } J \text{ lies in } \text{Sz}(\texttt{E}) \text{, then } \sum (a_n - a'_n)^2 + (b_n - b'_n)^2 < \infty \text{ for } a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{E}}.$

If true, these conjectures would place the Szegő class as lying between the ℓ^2 and ℓ^1 perturbations of points in $\mathcal{T}_E.$



Two conjectures

Our goal is to prove the following two conjectures:

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 1}}_{\text{belongs to Sz}} | \text{If } \sum |a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| < \infty \text{ for some } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{E}}, \text{ then } J$

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 2}}_{a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}. } \text{ If } J \text{ lies in } \operatorname{Sz}(\mathtt{E}), \text{ then } \sum (a_n - a'_n)^2 + (b_n - b'_n)^2 < \infty \text{ for } a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}.$

If true, these conjectures would place the Szegő class as lying between the ℓ^2 and ℓ^1 perturbations of points in $\mathcal{T}_E.$

Both conjectures are true when E is an interval. But only the first conjecture (aka the generalized Nevai conjecture) has been settled for general finite gap sets.


Two conjectures

Our goal is to prove the following two conjectures:

 $\underline{ \text{Conj. 1}}_{\text{belongs to Sz}(E).} \ \text{If } \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |a_n - a'_n| + |b_n - b'_n| < \infty \ \text{for some } J' \in \mathcal{T}_E, \ \text{then } J \\ \text{belongs to Sz}(E).$

 $\underline{\text{Conj. 2}}_{a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}. } \text{ If } J \text{ lies in } \operatorname{Sz}(\mathtt{E}), \text{ then } \sum (a_n - a'_n)^2 + (b_n - b'_n)^2 < \infty \text{ for } a \text{ unique } J' \in \mathcal{T}_{E}.$

If true, these conjectures would place the Szegő class as lying between the ℓ^2 and ℓ^1 perturbations of points in $\mathcal{T}_E.$

Both conjectures are true when E is an interval. But only the first conjecture (aka the generalized Nevai conjecture) has been settled for general finite gap sets.

In this talk, I shall merely focus on the first conjecture.



Let $\{x_k\}$ be the eigenvalues in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ of some $J \in Sz(E)$.

If we can prove that

$$\sum_{k} g(x_{k}) \leq C_{1} + C_{2} \sum |a_{n} - a'_{n}| + |b_{n} - b'_{n}|,$$

then Conjecture 1 is an easy consequence.



Let $\{x_k\}$ be the eigenvalues in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ of some $J \in Sz(E)$.

If we can prove that

$$\sum_{k} g(x_{k}) \leq C_{1} + C_{2} \sum |a_{n} - a'_{n}| + |b_{n} - b'_{n}|,$$

then Conjecture 1 is an easy consequence.

For when $\sum_k g(x_k) < \infty$, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0$$



Let $\{x_k\}$ be the eigenvalues in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ of some $J \in Sz(E)$.

If we can prove that

$$\sum_{k} g(x_{k}) \leq C_{1} + C_{2} \sum |a_{n} - a'_{n}| + |b_{n} - b'_{n}|,$$

then Conjecture 1 is an easy consequence.

For when $\sum_{k} g(x_k) < \infty$, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0$$

— and this condition is fulfilled if we have $\sum |a_n - a'_n| < \infty$!



Let $\{x_k\}$ be the eigenvalues in $\mathbb{R} \setminus E$ of some $J \in Sz(E)$.

If we can prove that

$$\sum_{k} g(x_{k}) \leq C_{1} + C_{2} \sum |a_{n} - a'_{n}| + |b_{n} - b'_{n}|,$$

then Conjecture 1 is an easy consequence.

For when $\sum_k g(x_k) < \infty$, the Szegő condition is equivalent to

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{a_1\cdots a_n}{\operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{E})^n}>0$$

— and this condition is fulfilled if we have $\sum |a_n - a'_n| < \infty$!

Unfortunately, we don't know how to get this critical bound (which was obtained by Frank-Simon for finite gap sets).



Green's functions

Following Hundertmark–Simon, we seek to get bounds close to the critical. Another approach would be to follow work of Kupin et al.



Green's functions

Following Hundertmark–Simon, we seek to get bounds close to the critical. Another approach would be to follow work of Kupin et al.

The first step is to control the (Dirichlet) Green's function

$$G_{nm}(\lambda) := G_{nm}(\lambda; J') = \langle \delta_n, (J^+ - \lambda)^{-1} \delta_m \rangle.$$



Green's functions

Following Hundertmark–Simon, we seek to get bounds close to the critical. Another approach would be to follow work of Kupin et al.

The first step is to control the (Dirichlet) Green's function

$$G_{nm}(\lambda) := G_{nm}(\lambda; J') = \langle \delta_n, (J^+ - \lambda)^{-1} \delta_m \rangle.$$

For finite gap sets, the appropriate estimate is

$$|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda - \alpha_j|^{-1/2}, \quad \alpha_j < \lambda \leq c_j < \beta_j.$$

[G(t,t)' + wG(t,t')]......

Green's functions

Following Hundertmark–Simon, we seek to get bounds close to the critical. Another approach would be to follow work of Kupin et al.

The first step is to control the (Dirichlet) Green's function

$$G_{nm}(\lambda) := G_{nm}(\lambda; J') = \langle \delta_n, (J^+ - \lambda)^{-1} \delta_m \rangle.$$

For finite gap sets, the appropriate estimate is

$$|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda - \alpha_j|^{-1/2}, \quad \alpha_j < \lambda \leq c_j < \beta_j.$$

There seems to be at least two possibilities for generalization:

(1)
$$|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C/g(\lambda)$$
, (2) $|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C g'(\lambda)$.

[G(t,t)' + wG(t,t')]......

Green's functions

Following Hundertmark–Simon, we seek to get bounds close to the critical. Another approach would be to follow work of Kupin et al.

The first step is to control the (Dirichlet) Green's function

$$G_{nm}(\lambda) := G_{nm}(\lambda; J') = \langle \delta_n, (J^+ - \lambda)^{-1} \delta_m \rangle.$$

For finite gap sets, the appropriate estimate is

$$|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C |\lambda - \alpha_j|^{-1/2}, \quad \alpha_j < \lambda \leq c_j < \beta_j.$$

There seems to be at least two possibilities for generalization:

(1)
$$|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C/g(\lambda)$$
, (2) $|G_{nn}(\lambda)| \leq C g'(\lambda)$.

Assume for now that we can prove the latter (to be discussed a little later).



For p > 0, define

$$f(x) \coloneqq \int_{\alpha_j}^x g(\lambda)^{p-1} d\lambda$$
 for $\alpha_j \le x \le c_j < \beta_j$.



For p > 0, define

$$f(x) \coloneqq \int_{\alpha_j}^{x} g(\lambda)^{p-1} d\lambda \text{ for } \alpha_j \leq x \leq c_j < \beta_j.$$

$$\sum_{\substack{x_k \in (\alpha_i, c_i)}} f(x_k) = \int_{\alpha_j}^{c_j} f'(\lambda) \#_{e.v.} \{ J \in (\lambda, c_j) \} d\lambda.$$

Then



For p > 0, define

$$f(x) \coloneqq \int_{\alpha_j}^x g(\lambda)^{p-1} d\lambda$$
 for $\alpha_j \le x \le c_j < \beta_j$.

Then

$$\sum_{x_k \in (\alpha_j, c_j)} f(x_k) = \int_{\alpha_j}^{c_j} f'(\lambda) \#_{e.v.} \{ J \in (\lambda, c_j) \} d\lambda.$$

As the conditions on J lead to bounds on the number of eigenvalues, we deduce that

$$\int_{\alpha_j}^{c_j} f'(\lambda) g'(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty \implies \sum_{x_k \in (\alpha_j, c_j)} f(x_k) < \infty.$$

22 / 25



For p > 0, define

$$f(x) \coloneqq \int_{\alpha_j}^x g(\lambda)^{p-1} d\lambda$$
 for $\alpha_j \le x \le c_j < \beta_j$.

Then

$$\sum_{x_k \in (\alpha_j, c_j)} f(x_k) = \int_{\alpha_j}^{c_j} f'(\lambda) \#_{e.v.} \{ J \in (\lambda, c_j) \} d\lambda.$$

As the conditions on J lead to bounds on the number of eigenvalues, we deduce that

$$\int_{\alpha_j}^{c_j} f'(\lambda) g'(\lambda) d\lambda < \infty \implies \sum_{x_k \in (\alpha_j, c_j)} f(x_k) < \infty.$$

This works for all gaps (also at the right ends) and

$$\int f'g' = \int g^{p-1}g' = \sum_{j} \int_{0}^{h_{j}} x^{p-1} dx = \sum_{j} h_{j}^{p}, \quad h_{j} = g(c_{j}).$$



We have $\sum_j h_j^p < \infty \implies \sum_k f(x_k) < \infty$, with $f = \int g^{p-1}$.



We have $\sum_{j} h_{j}^{p} < \infty \implies \sum_{k} f(x_{k}) < \infty$, with $f = \int g^{p-1}$.

If g behaves like $\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha_j}$ near α_j , then f behaves like g^{p+1} . Hence, the critical bound corresponds to the limit $p \downarrow 0$.



We have $\sum_{j} h_{j}^{p} < \infty \implies \sum_{k} f(x_{k}) < \infty$, with $f = \int g^{p-1}$.

If g behaves like $\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha_j}$ near α_j , then f behaves like g^{p+1} . Hence, the critical bound corresponds to the limit $p \downarrow 0$.

— But does g possess this square root behavior?

— And is the series $\sum_j h_j^p$ convergent for all p < 1?



We have $\sum_j h_j^p < \infty \implies \sum_k f(x_k) < \infty$, with $f = \int g^{p-1}$.

If g behaves like $\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha_j}$ near α_j , then f behaves like g^{p+1} . Hence, the critical bound corresponds to the limit $p \downarrow 0$.

- But does g possess this square root behavior?

— And is the series $\sum_{j} h_{j}^{p}$ convergent for all p < 1?

When E is a fat Cantor set, we have $g \in \text{Lip } 1/2$ (thm of Totik). The series may be convergent for some p < 1, but *not* all.



We have $\sum_j h_j^p < \infty \implies \sum_k f(x_k) < \infty$, with $f = \int g^{p-1}$.

If g behaves like $\sqrt{\lambda - \alpha_j}$ near α_j , then f behaves like g^{p+1} . Hence, the critical bound corresponds to the limit $p \downarrow 0$.

- But does g possess this square root behavior?

— And is the series $\sum_{j} h_{j}^{p}$ convergent for all p < 1?

When E is a fat Cantor set, we have $g \in \text{Lip } 1/2$ (thm of Totik). The series may be convergent for some p < 1, but *not* all.

When E is homogeneous, we have $g \in \text{Lip } \gamma$ for some $\gamma \leq 1/2$. But even in the simple case $\alpha_j = 1/2^j$ and $\beta_j = \alpha_j + 1/2^{j+1}$, one can show that $\gamma < 1/2$.



There are two explicit solutions u, v to the recursion for J' so that

$$G_{nn} = \frac{u_n v_n}{Wr}.$$



There are two explicit solutions u, v to the recursion for J' so that

$$G_{nn} = \frac{u_n v_n}{Wr}.$$

One can show that 1/Wr is essentially the *m*-function for $d\mu_{\rm E}$, which equals the derivative of g in every gap of E.



There are two explicit solutions u, v to the recursion for J' so that

$$G_{nn} = \frac{u_n v_n}{Wr}.$$

One can show that 1/Wr is essentially the *m*-function for $d\mu_{\rm E}$, which equals the derivative of g in every gap of E.

So the question is whether or not the product $u_n v_n$ is bounded.



There are two explicit solutions u, v to the recursion for J' so that

$$G_{nn} = \frac{u_n v_n}{Wr}.$$

One can show that 1/Wr is essentially the *m*-function for $d\mu_{\rm E}$, which equals the derivative of g in every gap of E.

So the question is whether or not the product u_nv_n is bounded. This in turn is equivalent to boundedness of the outer function

$$\exp\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log\left(\frac{f^{*}(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))}{f_{J^{+}}(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))}\right) \frac{d\theta}{4\pi}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

where f^* is the a.c. part of a suitable reference measure.



There are two explicit solutions u, v to the recursion for J' so that

$$G_{nn} = \frac{u_n v_n}{Wr}.$$

One can show that 1/Wr is essentially the *m*-function for $d\mu_{\rm E}$, which equals the derivative of g in every gap of E.

So the question is whether or not the product u_nv_n is bounded. This in turn is equivalent to boundedness of the outer function

$$\exp\left\{\int_{0}^{2\pi} \frac{e^{i\theta} + z}{e^{i\theta} - z} \log\left(\frac{f^{*}(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))}{f_{J^{+}}(\mathbf{x}(e^{i\theta}))}\right) \frac{d\theta}{4\pi}\right\}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

where f^* is the a.c. part of a suitable reference measure. The bound should be independent of f_{J^+} (as J' varies on \mathcal{T}_E).



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.

When E is a finite gap set, this choice of f^* vanishes like a square root at the band edges.



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.

When E is a finite gap set, this choice of f^* vanishes like a square root at the band edges.

And the f_{J^+} coming from an element on the isospectral torus either vanishes like $\sqrt{-}$ or blows up like $1/\sqrt{-}$.



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.

When E is a finite gap set, this choice of f^* vanishes like a square root at the band edges.

And the f_{J^+} coming from an element on the isospectral torus either vanishes like $\sqrt{}$ or blows up like $1/\sqrt{}$.

Q: Is $f_{\mathsf{E}}(t)f_{J^+}(t) \ge C > 0$ uniformly for $t \in \mathsf{E}$ and all $J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{E}}$?



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.

When E is a finite gap set, this choice of f^* vanishes like a square root at the band edges.

And the f_{J^+} coming from an element on the isospectral torus either vanishes like $\sqrt{}$ or blows up like $1/\sqrt{}$.

Q: Is $f_{\mathsf{E}}(t)f_{J^+}(t) \ge C > 0$ uniformly for $t \in \mathsf{E}$ and all $J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{E}}$?

We believe this is true for fat Cantor sets, but do not have a rigorous proof.



A natural choice of f^* is $1/f_E$, with $f_E(t) = d\mu_E/dt$.

When E is a finite gap set, this choice of f^* vanishes like a square root at the band edges.

And the f_{J^+} coming from an element on the isospectral torus either vanishes like $\sqrt{}$ or blows up like $1/\sqrt{}$.

Q: Is $f_{\mathsf{E}}(t)f_{J^+}(t) \ge C > 0$ uniformly for $t \in \mathsf{E}$ and all $J' \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathsf{E}}$?

We believe this is true for fat Cantor sets, but do not have a rigorous proof.

However, it seems to fail for the simple homogeneous set given by $\alpha_j = 1/2^j$ and $\beta_j = \alpha_j + 1/2^{j+1}$.

Merci beaucoup pour votre attention!



Some references

- M. Sodin and P. Yuditskii. Almost periodic Jacobi matrices with homogeneous spectrum, infinite dimensional Jacobi inversion, and Hardy spaces of character-automorphic functions.
 - J. Geom. Anal. 7 (1997) 387-435
- F. Peherstorfer and P. Yuditskii. Asymptotic behavior of polynomials orthonormal on a homogeneous set. *J. Anal. Math.* **89** (2003) 113–154
- C. Remling. The absolutely continuous spectrum of Jacobi matrices. *Ann. Math.* **174** (2011) 125–171
- J. S. Christiansen. Szegő's theorem on Parreau–Widom sets. *Adv. Math.* **229** (2012) 1180–1204
- J. S. Christiansen. Dynamics in the Szegő class and polynomial asymptotics.